Hydrogeological Assessment and Aquifer Recharge Potential within the Lephalale (Ellisras) Local Municipality Area

Report Nr: PWMA 01/A42/00/02209_01

Prepared by

VSALEBOA CONSULTING

For the

Directorate: Water Resource Planning Systems (WRPS)

FINAL REPORT

20 January 2010



Title:	Hydrogeological Recharge Potentia Local Municipality	Hydrogeological Assessment and Aquifer Recharge Potential within the Lephalale (Ellisras) Local Municipality Area			
Authors:	S Veltman Specialists	Veltwater	Groundwater		
Te Elwanion Meradua	F Botha	Praxos			
	RV Weidemann	VSA Leboa	Consulting		
GIS:	AM Cronje	VSA Leboa	Consulting		
DWA Report Number	PWMA 01/A42/00/02209_01				
Status of Report:	Final				

VSA Leboa Consulting (Pty) Ltd Approved for VSA Leboa Consulting (Pty) Ltd

Detman

Director/Project Manager: VSA Leboa Consulting (Pty) Ltd Mr RV Weidemann

re

Project Manager: Department of Water Affairs **Mr Fanus Fourie**

Hydrogeomodel_Lephalale_VSA_Final.doc 2010-01-20



Department of Water Affairs Water Resources Planning Systems

]

]

Approved for the Department of Water Affairs

Director:

Water Resource Planning Systems Dr Beason Mwaka

Deputy Director: Water Resource Planning Systems **Mr Elias Ne**l

Hydrogeomodel_Lephalale_VSA_Final.doc 2010-01-20



Published by Department of Water Affairs Private Bag X313 Pretoria 0001 Republic of South Africa Tel: (012) 336-7500

Copyright reserved

No part of the publication may be reproduced in any manner without full acknowledgement of the source

This report should be cited as:

Department of Water Affairs, 2010. Hydrogeological Assessment and Aquifer Recharge Potential within the Lephalale (Ellisras) Local Municipality Area dated January 2010.

Disclaimer:

Although the information contained in this document is presented in good faith and believed to be correct, the Department of Water Affairs makes no representations or warranties as to the completeness or accuracy of the information, which is only based on actual information received, and makes no commitment to update or correct information.

Professional Service Provider:

VSA Leboa Consulting VSA Leboa Consulting (Pty) Ltd



Plot 41 Tweefontein Polokwane 0700

PO Box 222 Polokwane 0700

Tel: 082 315 2977 Fax: 0866857724



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Note on the Department of Water Affairs (DWA)

The Department of Water Affairs (DWA) was until recently known as the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF). The Department of Water Affairs is part of the Ministry of Water and Environmental Affairs, under a single Minister. The acronyms "DWA" and "DWAF" both appear in this report, the latter mainly as references to past work done when the Department was known as the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The town of Lephalale is situated in the northern part of South Africa, 200 km northwest of Pretoria in a semi-arid climate zone. The Mokolo River flows through the area, joining up with the Limpopo River in the north. Lephalale is a major role-player in economic development within Limpopo and continual economic development requires development of sustainable water resources. VSA Leboa was appointed by the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry to characterise the aquifers, determine the groundwater potential and the artificial recharge options available to Lephalale.

The Lephalale area lies within the Beit Bridge Complex and is characterised by aquifers of the Karoo Sequence, Waterberg Formation and alluvial aquifers. The Mokolo basin is extremely block faulted with a 250 meter down-throw in the Eenzaamheid fault to the north. In the Lephalale area some major faults, including the Daarby and Eenzaamheid may have changed aquifer properties and these structures where targeted to understand to what extent these changes have occurred.

Groundwater flow around Lephalale takes place in the direction of surface topography and according to structural controls of geology. Semi-confined conditions in the Waterberg occur south of the contact with the Ecca Formation. The fault extends at depth and fractures related to the fault / bedding planes will most likely be encountered at depth anywhere within the fault system.

North of the contact the Ecca overlies the Waterberg and the whole aquifer is confined. The piezometric water level is as a result of the pressure built up in the underlying confined Waterberg which has a hydrostatic connection with the semi-confined Waterberg.

The alluvial aquifer and Waterberg confined aquifer is separated by the impermeable Ecca Formation. The alluvial aquifer in this area does not recharge the Waterberg confined aquifer, since hydrostatic pressure and the confining Ecca layers will not allow for it. The Waterberg semi-confined aquifer discharges in the weathered zone into the alluvial aquifer at the contact of the Eenzaamheid FS with the alluvial aquifer.

Groundwater exploration of the structures found the main water strikes in the Waterberg Group between 120 - 270 mbgl (meters below ground level) with blow yields ranging from 0.2 - >20 L/s. Some of the boreholes drilled through the alluvial deposits into the Waterberg Group encountered alluvial deposits at depth e.g.

conglomerate "pebbles rocks" found at 160 mbgl. This indicates that typical river gravels were deposited within the Waterberg and very high yields can be expected from boreholes intersecting these palæo drainage channels.

Boreholes drilled into the shallow alluvial aquifer had blow yields of 0.7 - 10 L/s. The Ecca Formation only yielded minor water strikes 0.05 - 0.1 L/s and was not considered for further evaluation of the groundwater potential for this area.

Numerical modelling for the Waterberg aquifer was performed to test the recommended yields of the existing exploration boreholes, as well as test different scenarios for utilising the undeveloped groundwater. In the 10 km radius target area 8 existing exploration boreholes, totalling 1.4 Mm³/a yield, can be equipped and operated as a well field for water supply at Lephalale. Water quality constraints include high concentrations of NaCl and F. No treatment is required for industrial use; however, treatment or blending is required for domestic use of the groundwater.

Planning scenarios for future development options shows that an additional volume of $8000 - 17000 \text{ m}^3/\text{d}$ can be developed, resulting in $4 - 7.5 \text{ Mm}^3/\text{a}$ available from the Waterberg aquifer for augmentation. The higher abstraction volume (17000 m³/d) is not sustainable and can only be accessed for short periods of time.

Impacts to the existing users from the Waterberg aquifer are dependent on the amount of groundwater abstracted, with only minor drops in water levels expected for the Scenarios 1, 2, 3 and 5. Scenario 4 has the highest impact on these users.

The water users from the alluvial aquifer will be affected if the inflows from the river to the aquifer are not augmented with releases from upstream. However, the higher abstraction rate scenarios have a greater benefit when comparing the impact to the volume of water that becomes available from groundwater.

The alluvial aquifer was not adequately explored and it is recommended that this aquifer be drilled further to obtain better estimates for yield potential and artificial recharge potential. First estimates shows alluvial groundwater storage potential at $31 \text{ Mm}^3/a$ or $3.8 \text{ Mm}^3/a$ for every 1 m drop in water levels within the alluvial.

Various artificial recharge (AR) options looks promising from the aquifer properties, but all the aquifers at Lephalale should be developed and storage created by abstraction from them for any AR scheme to become useful.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRO	DUCTION	1
	1.1 Obje	CTIVES	1
2	HVDR	OCEOLOGY	3
4	III DAV		
	2.1 KAR	00 Aquifer	3
	2.2 WAT	ERBERG AQUIFER	3
	2.3 Allu	JVIAL AQUIFER	4
	2.4 Exis		4
	2.4.1	Harvest and Exploitation Potential	4
	2.4.2	Groundwater Use and Availability	4
	2.4.3	Groundwater Flow	5
	2.4.4	Water Quality	6
3	GROU	NDWATER EXPLORATION	7
	3.1 Hyd	ROCENSUS	7
	3.2 Stru	jctural Geology	8
	3.2.1	Target Areas	8
	3.3 Geoi	PHYSICS & DRILLING	9
	3.3.1	Results of Targets Drilled	10
	3.3.2	Water Strikes	11
	3.4 Test	ING	12
	3.4.1	FC Method	12
	3.4.2	Aquifer Characteristics	13
	3.5 WAT	ER LEVELS	15
	3.5.1	Groundwater Flow	16
	3.6 WAT	ER QUALITY	17
	3.6.1	Diagnostic Diagrams	17
	3.6.2	Drinking Water Standards	21
	3.6.3	Stable Isotopes	22
	3.6.4	Mixing Ratios and Groundwater Chemistry	24
	3.7 Cone	CEPTUAL MODELS OF LEPHALALE AQUIFERS	25
	3.8 AQU	IFER POTENTIAL	28
	3.8.1	Current Accessible Yield	28
	3.8.2	Alluvial Aquifer Development	29
	3.8.3	Waterberg Aquifer Development	29
	3.9 AUG	MENTATION SCHEME VIABILITY FROM THE WATERBERG AQUIFER	31
4	MONI	FORING	32
	41 Дат	A LOGGERS	34
	\dots ν_{AII}		

5	ARTIF	FICIAL RECHARGE AT LEPHALALE	
	5.1 WH	AT IS ARTIFICIAL RECHARGE?	
	5.2 AR	POTENTIAL AT LEPHALALE	
	5.2.1	Waterberg Aquifer	
	5.2.2	Alluvial Aquifer	
	5.2.3	Default AR in Progress	
6	NUME	ERICAL MODELLING	41
	6.1 LEPI	HALALE MODEL ENVIRONMENT	
	6.1.1	Hydrogeological Units and Boundaries	
	6.1.2	Initial Conditions	
	6.2 SEN	SITIVITY ANALYSES	46
	6.3 CAL	IBRATION AND VERIFICATION	47
	6.3.1	Steady State Calibration	
	6.3.2	Transient State Calibration	
	6.3.3	Water Balance	
	6.4 GRC	DUNDWATER DEVELOPMENT & IMPACTS	54
	6.4.1	Scenario 1	
	6.4.2	Scenario 2	
	6.4.3	Scenario 3	
	6.4.4	Scenario 4	
	6.4.5	Scenario 5	
	6.4.6	Comparison	
7	CONC	CLUSIONS	67
8	RECO	MMENDATIONS	
9	REFEI	RENCES	69

LIST OF APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A: LEPHALALE PROJECT MAPS APPENDIX B: DESKTOP STUDY MAPS
- APPENDIX C: GEOPHYSICAL PROFILES
- APPENDIX D: DRILLING LOGS
- APPENDIX E: MANAGEMENT REPORTS
- APPENDIX F: WATER QUALITY
- APPENDIX G: DATA LOGGER GRAPHS
- APPENDIX H: NUMERICAL MODEL MAPS
- APPENDIX I: RPTSOLV DIAGRAMS
- APPENDIX J: SCENARIO IMPACT MAPS

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1: GROUNDWATER RECHARGE, HARVEST POTENTIAL, EXPLOITATION POTENTIAL AND USE F	FOR
THE LEPHALALE AREA	5
FIGURE 2: BAYESIAN WATER LEVEL CORRELATION	15
FIGURE 3: EXPLORATION BOREHOLES' WATER LEVEL COMPARISON	16
FIGURE 4: PIPER DIAGRAM OF LEPHALALE EXPLORATION BOREHOLES	18
FIGURE 5: SAR DIAGRAM OF LEPHALALE EXPLORATION BOREHOLES	18
FIGURE 6: SCHOËLLER DIAGRAM OF WATERBERG EXPLORATION BOREHOLES	19
FIGURE 7: SCHOËLLER DIAGRAM OF MOGOL RIVER AND ALLUVIAL BOREHOLES	19
FIGURE 8: STIFF DIAGRAMS OF WATERBERG EXPLORATION BOREHOLES	20
FIGURE 9: STIFF DIAGRAMS OF MOGOL RIVER AND ALLUVIAL BOREHOLES	20
FIGURE 10: STABLE ISOTOPE DATA IN RELATION TO GLOBAL METEORIC WATER LINE	23
FIGURE 11: NS CROSS-SECTION OF WATERBERG AQUIFER OVER EENZAAMHEID FAULT	26
FIGURE 12: EW CROSS-SECTION OF ALLUVIAL AND WATERBERG CONFINED AQUIFERS NORTH OF	
EENZAAMHEID FAULT	27
FIGURE 13: COMPARISON BETWEEN MOKOLO RIVER LEVELS AND H21-0703 MONITORING WATER	
LEVELS	35
FIGURE 14 SCHEMATIC EXAMPLE OF ASR IN A CONFINED AQUIFER	37
FIGURE 15: SCREEN SHOT OF MODEL DOMAIN	44
FIGURE 16: BAYESIAN CORRELATION OF REGIONAL WATER LEVEL DATA SET	45
FIGURE 17: SENSITIVITY ANALYSES AT EENZAAMHEID FAULT STRUCTURE	46
FIGURE 18: SENSITIVITY ANALYSES AT CONFINED AQUIFER	46
FIGURE 19: SENSITIVITY ANALYSES A42G QUATERNARY BOUNDARY	47
FIGURE 20: SENSITIVITY ANALYSES A42H QUATERNARY BOUNDARY	47
FIGURE 21: STEADY STATE OBSERVATION BOREHOLES' TOPOGRAPHY AND WATER LEVELS	49
FIGURE 22: STEADY STATE OBSERVATION BOREHOLES' BAYESIAN CORRELATION	49
FIGURE 23: CONFINED AQUIFER TRANSIENT CALIBRATION RESULTS FOR H21-0681 PUMPING	52
FIGURE 24: EENZAAMHEID FAULT SYSTEM TRANSIENT CALIBRATION RESULTS FOR H21-0665 PUMPI	NG
	52
FIGURE 25: EENZAAMHEID FAULT SYSTEM TRANSIENT CALIBRATION RESULTS FOR H21-0700 PUMPI	NG
FIGURE 26: GROUNDWATER LEVEL DRAWDOWN (MBGL) FOR H21-0700 PUMPING	53
FIGURE 27: WATER LEVEL DRAWDOWN (M) FOR USERS' BOREHOLES	56
FIGURE 28: GROUNDWATER LEVEL DRAWDOWN (MBGL) FOR CURRENT BOREHOLES PUMPING	57
FIGURE 29: WATER LEVEL DRAWDOWN (M) FOR USERS' BOREHOLES	58
FIGURE 30: GROUNDWATER LEVEL DRAWDOWN (MBGL) FOR CURRENT AND FUTURE BOREHOLES	
PUMPING	59
FIGURE 31: WATER LEVEL DRAWDOWN (M) FOR USERS' BOREHOLES	60

FIGURE 32: GROUNDWATER LEVEL DRAWDOWN (MBGL) FOR CURRENT AND FUTURE BOREHOLES
PUMPING (2 YEAR HIGH ABSTRACTION)6
FIGURE 33: WATER LEVEL DRAWDOWN (M) FOR USERS' BOREHOLES
FIGURE 34: GROUNDWATER LEVEL DRAWDOWN (MBGL) FOR CURRENT AND FUTURE BOREHOLES
PUMPING (2 YEAR HIGH ABSTRACTION, 6 MONTH RESTING PERIOD, 2 YEAR HIGH ABSTRACTION) 6
FIGURE 35: WATER LEVEL DRAWDOWN (M) FOR USERS' BOREHOLES

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1: INFORMATION SUMMARY OF AVAILABLE GROUNDWATER – LEPHALALE REGION
TABLE 2: SUMMARY OF TARGETS AND EXPLORATION BOREHOLES DRILLED AT LEPHALALE
TABLE 3: CONSTANT DISCHARGE TEST RESULTS FOR EXPLORATION BOREHOLES AT LEPHALALE
TABLE 4: GROUNDWATER BALANCE FOR QUATERNARY CATCHMENTS AT LEPHALALE
TABLE 5: CURRENT ACCESSIBLE GROUNDWATER FROM AQUIFERS AT LEPHALALE
TABLE 6: WATER LEVEL MONITORING BOREHOLES AT LEPHALALE
TABLE 7: WATER QUALITY MONITORING BOREHOLES AT LEPHALALE 33
TABLE 8: STORATIVITY VALUES FOR THE WATERBERG AQUIFER FROM RPTSOLV RESULTS
TABLE 9: CURRENT ACCESSIBLE GROUNDWATER FROM WATERBERG AQUIFER (SINGLE BOREHOLE
PUMPING)
TABLE 10: WATER USERS SURROUNDING POTENTIAL WELL-FIELD FROM CURRENT EXPLORATION
BOREHOLES
TABLE 11: WATER BALANCE AND EXPECTED F (MG/L) VALUES FOR DIFFERENT SCENARIOS65
TABLE 12: MOKOLO RIVER CONTRIBUTION TO GROUNDWATER ABSTRACTION FOR DIFFERENT SCENARIOS

1 INTRODUCTION

The town of Lephalale is situated in the northern part of South Africa, some 200 km north west of Pretoria (see Plan 1, Appendix A). The town is situated in a semi-arid climate zone, with an average annual rainfall less than 400 mm and an annual evaporation higher than 2000 mm, making water highly vulnerable to droughts. The Mokolo River is flowing through the study area, joining up with the Limpopo River in the north.

Lephalale is a major role-player in economic development within Limpopo. Local coal deposits are leading to industrial and mining development and this continual economic development requires development of sustainable water resources and therefore the need to investigate every possible water resource option. As a result, Lephalale Local Municipality is constantly investigating new augmentation options, and these options include use of groundwater and aquifer storage and recovery of storm water and/or return flows. VSA Leboa was appointed by the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry to characterise the aquifers, determine the groundwater potential and the artificial recharge options available to Lephalale.

1.1 Objectives

The main objectives of the study are to review the regional hydrogeological conditions at Lephalale, investigate the linear structures within the vicinity of the town, at various depths, to determine the possibility of supplying sufficient potable water from groundwater sources and the possibilities of artificial recharge of the groundwater at Lephalale. The study was divided into four phases and will take two years to complete. The following phases where identified:

- Phase 1 Installation and testing of monitoring wells;
- Phase 2 Detail assessment of local hydrogeology;
- Phase 3 Detail assessment of artificial recharge potential; and
- Phase 4 EIA study.

This report deals with *Phase 1* and *Phase 2*, including a discussion on the options of artificial recharge to assess in detail during Phase 3. The objectives of these two phases were:

- To determine how much water is available through groundwater exploration and testing of the various aquifers at Lephalale;
- Database development to handle and support data acquisition, interpretation and presentation;
- Conceptualise the groundwater systems on site;
- Aquifer yield potential recommendations;
- Installation of piezometric monitoring network, on quality and quantity of groundwater, based on the above;
- To propose artificial recharge systems or methods to increase the yield of the system and enhance water conservation in this area; and
- To give recommendations on typical groundwater exploration methods or techniques that was successful in the Waterberg aquifer, for further use in other areas where this aquifer occurs.

Phase 3 will be covered in a subsequent report:

Report Nr: PWMA 01/A42/00/02209_02

2 HYDROGEOLOGY

The northern section of the Limpopo Province is underlain by the Beit Bridge Complex (Zba) with the Limpopo River as the northern boundary. The Beit Bridge Complex is composed of metamorphic rock (meta-sediments including quartzite, marble, meta-pelites and banded iron formation (Johnson, *et. al.*, 2006)) and is classified as an intergranular or fractured aquifer, having blow yields of 0.5 - 2.0 L/s.

The Lephalale area lies within this Complex and is characterised by aquifers of the Karoo Sequence and Waterberg Formation, with basement and alluvial aquifers also occurring (Titus & Rossouw, 2008), see Plan 2 in Appendix A.

2.1 Karoo Aquifer

The outer rim of the Beit Bridget complex and a greater portion on the western side are formed by the Ecca Group (Pe) of the Karoo Sequence, consisting of mudstone (shale and/or siltstone) and sandstone. The Karoo aquifer is classified as a fractured aquifer, yielding from 2.0 - 5.0 L/s in the siltstone and 0.5 - 2.0 L/s in the shale and sandstone. The central succession of the Ecca Group consists of the Clarens Formation, a predominantly arenaceous rock or feldspathic sandstone. It is classified as an intergranular or fractured aquifer and yields are between 0.1 - 0.5 L/s. The Clarens Formation is underlain by red mudstone and siltstone known as the Lisbon Formation, with limited groundwater potential.

2.2 Waterberg Aquifer

The southern portion is underlain by coarse-grained sandstone forming the Waterberg Group and recently drilled boreholes near the towns of Vaalwater and Alma have recommended yields of more than 2.5 L/s at a 24 hour pump schedule ($220 \text{ m}^3/\text{d}$). Boreholes drilled at Lephalale into the Waterberg aquifer during this study yielded 4 boreholes with sustainable yields of 2-3 L/s and 5 boreholes with yields higher than 5 L/s at a 24 hour pump schedule (>440 m³/d).

2.3 Alluvial Aquifer

The basin of the Mokolo River consists of coarse-grained alluvial sand (quartzite) with inter-bedded lenses of finer clay/shale material. The alluvium forms a primary aquifer with thickness in the riverbed varying between 5 m to greater than 25 m.

Alluvial deposits to the south of Lephalale have an average thickness of 9m. In the area to the north the alluvium is generally 4.5 m thick, with the most northern part decreasing to 4m. The alluvium is recharged during periods of high stream-flows (Titus & Rossouw, 2008) and periods of surface water release from the Mokolo dam for irrigation purposes.

2.4 Existing Data

The following sections are included as a summary of the work done by Botha, 2006, at the start of the project and all maps generated are included in Appendix B. The DWAF Hydrological map series for the area was not available at the time and raw borehole data was obtained from the National Groundwater Database (NGDB), with fair borehole coverage over the area (Map 3.1, Appendix B).

2.4.1 Harvest and Exploitation Potential

The Harvest Potential (HP) (Map 3.2) and Exploitation Potential (EP) (Map 3.3) are used as indicators on the groundwater occurrence and the volume of water for each are calculated per quaternary catchments. The Harvest Potential was calculated as $51*10^{6}$ m³/a (quaternary catchments A41E, A42G, H and J) and the Exploitation Potential as $27*10^{6}$ m³/a, almost half of the Harvest Potential and the difference between the two as a result of difficult exploration conditions.

2.4.2 Groundwater Use and Availability

The NGDB data and the provincial database were used to calculate annual groundwater volumes available, taking into consideration the Exploration Potential and groundwater already in use.

Table 1 shows the regional assumptions and calculations when the assessment of the available groundwater was done in 2006. The volume of groundwater used was much less than the Exploitation Potential (only 15% of the EP value) and there are still vast exploitable groundwater reserves available, see Figure 1.

Area: 6 060 Km ²	Use Total / Exploitat	Total of Borehole: 2 240		
Boreholes with WL data: 1 285	Boreh. with water WL/ Total Boreh. 57.4 %	Boreholes with Chemical data: 743	Boreh. Chemical/ Total Boreholes: 33.2 9	
Fracturation Coefficient	Fracture Depth (m)	Discharge Rate (L/s)	MAP (mm/annuam)	
Max: 0.001	Max: 16	Max: 50.16	Max: 569	
Mean: 0.0008	Mean:12.31	Mean: 1.52	Mean: 461	
Min: 0.0007 Min: 8.0		Min: 0.0	Min: 400	
Mean Recharge (mm/annuam)	Harvest Potential (mm/annuam)	Explotation Potential (mm/annuam)	Total Use (mm/annuam)	
Max: 32.0	Max: 11.18	Max: 5.57	Max: 1.79	
Mean: 16.6	Mean: 8.46	Mean: 4.57	Mean: 0.69	
Min: 8.0 Min: 6.64		Min: 3.32	Min: 0.06	
Mean Vol: 68 037 273 m³/a	Mean Vol.: 51 273 660 m ³ /a	Mean Vol.: 27 711 168 m ³ /a	Mean Vol.: 4 212 912 m ³ /a	

Table 1: Information summary of available groundwater – Lephalale Region



Figure 1: Groundwater Recharge, Harvest Potential, Exploitation Potential and Use for the Lephalale area

Available groundwater reserves are therefore estimated as 23.5 Mm³/a for the quaternary catchments A41E, A42G, H and J.

2.4.3 Groundwater Flow

Regional groundwater flow paths are in a north-west direction from the higher lying Waterberg mountain range to the lower lying areas in the north, following the general topography (Titus & Rossouw, 2008). Analysis of the borehole data show water levels ranging between 6 - 140 mbgl (Map 3.4), with very low borehole yields

(between 0.5 - 1 l/s for a 24-hour pump cycle (Map 3.5)). This indicates that difficult groundwater exploration conditions can be expected when developing the available groundwater reserves further. (Botha, 2006)

2.4.4 Water Quality

On the commercial farming areas borehole water quality data is limited, with more information available in the communal areas in the northeast of the study area. The data shows elevated EC values with localised highs up to 1000 mS/m (Map 3.6). However, the dataset do not distinguish between layered aquifers having different water quality signatures and most of the area's EC still falls within the "good" drinking water quality. In the northeast the data shows groundwater with marginal elevated nitrate, occurring as a result of poor sanitation and farming practices (Map 3.7). Nitrate values close to Lephalale is still within the "ideal" drinking water quality. (Botha, 2006)

The Waterberg and primary aquifer, however, produce fresh water, with TDS values lower than 500 mg/L, but groundwater from this aquifer is generally classified as a Class 4 drinking water, as a result high fluoride values (see section 3.6 for more on the fluoride concentrations and other parameters).

3 GROUNDWATER EXPLORATION

Groundwater exploration commonly refer to determining physical properties or characteristics of an aquifer system with geophysical surveys, drilling and testing of exploration boreholes, especially where no or limited information is available. Typically an assessment of the exploration data will include determining where water comes from, where it is going, accessible volumes and the quality of the water in a specific aquifer.

Desktop information show vast quantities of groundwater available in and around the Lephalale area. Existing hydrogeological data indicate unfavourable groundwater development potential with poor drilling results. However, the available data is considered of poor scientific content and also suggests limited scientific exploration approaches. (Botha, 2006)

The hydrogeology of the Ecca, Karoo aquifers, indicate poor yields and below average water quality. However, hydrogeological and structural geology in the Waterberg Group showed good groundwater yields and better water quality. The alluvium in the Mokolo River may be a good aquifer but might be limited in recharge and extent. (Botha, 2006)

The groundwater exploration for the Lephalale area focused on identifying the geological structures within the Waterberg Group, with good groundwater potential, and the alluvial aquifers close to Lephalale for hydrogeological characterisation and evaluation of the total exploitable groundwater potential in these systems. The groundwater exploration of the deeper aquifer systems and associated geological structures, was considered of utmost importance to define how water moves, at what rate, how much is available and where it can be intercepted. The following sections describe the various tasks performed in the groundwater exploration of the deeper fractured rock aquifer surrounding the Lephalale area and, to a limited extent, the exploration of the alluvial aquifer.

3.1 Hydrocensus

The Institute for Groundwater Studies, University of the Free State, performed a hydrocensus during 2007 as part of a Water Research Commission study. Data from this hydrocensus was incorporated into the project database and used in the selection

of appropriate drilling targets / sites. Hydrocensus sites are plotted on Plan 2, in Appendix A, and data further discussed as part of the site-specific hydrogeology.

3.2 Structural Geology

Structural geology play a major role in fractured rock aquifers and geological structures can cause improved aquifer conditions or act as hydrogeological barriers or boundaries. The Mokolo basin is extremely block faulted with a 250 meter down-throw in the Eenzaamheid fault to the north. Faults striking SE-NW, SW-NE and ENE orientated faults, subject to the NS tension release stresses, are targeted for the development of groundwater resources (Titus & Rossouw, 2008). In the Lephalale area some major faults, including the Daarby and Eenzaamheid may have changed aquifer properties and it was necessary to target these structures to understand to what extent these changes have occurred.

The structural geology was interpreted taking into account the geological map of 2326 Ellisras (including the Explanation booklet) and the remote sensing (Astersat images) previously done by AGEOTECH.

3.2.1 Target Areas

The interpreted Astersat images, Plan 3 (Appendix A), where used to identify potential high yielding structures. Selecting appropriate target areas and sites was highly dependent on accessibility, since the project team was instructed by Lephalale Local Municipality to keep to public roads and municipal / government property. Keeping these restrictions in mind, the following possible high yielding target areas where identified based on its intersection with publicly accessible areas:

- Dykes, faults and lineaments associated with the Eenzaamheid fault system;
- Dykes, faults and lineaments associated with the Daarby fault system; and
- Deep and shallow boreholes in the alluvial aquifer system.

These areas, the geophysical traverses and drilling targets are indicated on Plan 3, Appendix A.

3.3 Geophysics & Drilling

Geophysical surveys were performed by VSA Leboa and included electro-magnetic, magnetic and multi-resistivity (LUND) ground geophysical surveys. 17 geophysical profiles were completed for the structures identified and the profiles are shown in Appendix C. Table 2 summarises the geological targets, geophysical traverses, stations numbers and the 30 exploration boreholes drilled. Geological logs for the boreholes are included in Appendix D, however H21-0709 (deep core) was still in progress and will be included in the updated report with the numerical modelling.

Drill Target Geophysical Traverse / Station Number		Drill Site ID	Drill Depth (m)	
	Line10/1055	H21-0636	276	
(S)	Line10/770 H21-0637		210	
ult (s ir	Line10/540	H21-0638	150	
Faı alie ty	Line10/470	H21-0662	276	
eid oma tivii	Line10/360	H21-0663	276	
nhe anc ssis	Line10/320	H21-0664	288	
aan jor	Line10/590	H21-0665	165	
nza Maj	Line 7/185	H21-0669	291	
Le Ee	Line 6/150	H21-0670	211	
	Line10/995	H21-0672	128	
In hotwoon	700m north of Line10	H21-0700	205	
in between	In town - next to Golf	H21-0716	27	
tv es ult	Line 1/245	H21-0666	216	
nzaa d Fa (N) nmali in	Line 2/245	Line 2/245 H21-0667		
Ee hei Anc res	Line 3/1120	H21-0668	300	
	Line 14/566	H21-0707	306	
Semi- confined	Line 17/320 H21-0708		308	
Waterberg	Line 14/890 H21-0712		205	
	Line 15/260	H21-0713	240	
Confined	Magnetics - Inside yard	H21-0671	126	
Waterberg	Core drilled appr 40m west 671	H21-0709	162 (in progress)	
Confined	Line 9/480	H21-0701	290	
Waterberg underneath	Next to river in pump yard	H21-0702	250	
Alluvium	Line 16/250	H21-0704	220	
	Next to river - only alluvium	H21-0703	30	
Alluvium	Line 16/805 - only alluvium	H21-0706	30	
Alluvium	Line 16/910	H21-0714	24	
	Alluvium - At bridge	H21-0715	36	
Lineaments	Line11B/495	H21-0680	296	
Daarby Fault	Line11B/640	H21-0681	320	

3.3.1 Results of Targets Drilled

The Eenzaamheid Fault system is characterised as two major faults (south and north) and various other associated lineaments.

- The Eenzaamheid Fault S (south) geophysical profiles show two major subvertical anomalies in the resistivity data and boreholes H21-0636, H21-0637, H21-0638, H21-0662, H21-0663, H21-0664, H21-0665, H21-0669, H21-0670 and H21-0672 was drilled in and next to these anomalies. All of the boreholes accessed mainly the Waterberg Group sandstone. Minor occurrences of calcrete and diabase were also observed during drilling.
- The Eenzaamheid Fault N (north) geophysical profiles show resistivity anomalies indicating vertical structures. H21-0666 and H21-0668 was drilled to the south of the structure and accessed mainly the Waterberg Group, while H21-0667 was drilled to the north of the structure and accessed Ecca formation as well. This structure is therefore considered the contact zone of the fault between the Waterberg Group and Ecca Formation.

H21-0700 was drilled in between the two faults systems, 700m north of geophysical line 10. This was done to test the concept of the vertical and sub-vertical jointing in the Waterberg continuing at depth.

H21-0716 was drilled next to the golf course in town to establish a reference for the groundwater levels associated with the expected perched water table as a result of irrigating the golf course.

Borehole H21-0671 was drilled inside one of the municipal properties, accessing the confined Waterberg aquifer (artesian borehole) underneath the Ecca Formation. The deep core borehole H21-0709 was sited to the west of H21-0671 to better describe and test the aquifer characteristics of the Waterberg Group.

Boreholes H21-0701, H21-0702 and H21-0704 were drilled through the alluvial aquifer, the Ecca Formation and accessed the confined Waterberg under the alluvium (the confining layer is still the Ecca). H21-0701 and H21-0704 are artesian boreholes.

The alluvial aquifer was accessed with four boreholes, H21-0703, H21-0706, H21-0714 and H21-0715, to evaluate the alluvial aquifer characteristics and the effect of abstraction from the deeper confined Waterberg aquifer on these boreholes.

Lineaments associated with the Daarby Fault system was drilled to the south of the fault on resistivity anomalies indicating resistivity highs and deeper resistivity lows, boreholes H21-0680 and H21-0681. Both boreholes accessed the Ecca Formation and drilled through into the confined Waterberg aquifer. This is emphasized by the artesian borehole H21-0681.

H21-0707 and H21-0708 was drilled 7-8 km to the south of Lephalale, accessing the semi-confined Waterberg aquifer close to the Mokolo River. These sites were drilled in support of the Mokolo Groundwater Reserve study, to determine groundwater / surface water interaction between the Waterberg aquifer and stream flows. Only H21-0708 has a yield that was considered for testing and no major water strikes were recorded during drilling.

H21-0712 and H21-0713 was drilled on weathered profiles close to the Mokolo River in support of the Groundwater Reserve studies under way at the time.

3.3.2 Water Strikes

The main water strikes are found in the Waterberg Group between 120 - 270 mbgl (meters below ground level); see Appendix D for the water strike records in the geological logs. Only two of the boreholes in the Waterberg Group had water strikes yielding more than 11/s at shallow depths (< 60m). Water strikes in the Waterberg fractured aquifer was not necessarily directly connected with the fault structures, but can be tension release fractures in the host rock or horizontal bedding planes. The water strike yields are described as follows:

- Final blow yields for the Waterberg aquifer ranged from 0.2 >20 L/s, with an average blow yield of ~6 L/s.
- Six of the boreholes had blow yields higher than 8 L/s.
- All of the artesian boreholes can be linked to the confined Waterberg aquifer (underneath the confining Ecca Formation).

The boreholes drilled into the shallow alluvial aquifer had blow yields of 0.7 - 10 l/s.

The Ecca Formation only yielded minor water strikes 0.05 - 0.1 l/s and was not considered for further evaluation of the groundwater potential for this area.

3.4 Testing

19 boreholes were selected for the 24 hour constant discharge tests and aquifer testing was performed by AB Pumps, with 24 - 48 hour constant discharge tests. Water quality samples were taken during drilling and testing and the chemical analyses results will be discussed in section 3.6.

3.4.1 FC Method

The data was analysed with the Fracture Characterisation (FC) method developed by the Institute for Groundwater Studies, University of the Free State. A summary of the boreholes tested, their blow yields, 24h abstraction rates and individual aquifer parameters (transmissivity as T and storativity as S) are given in Table 3.

Drill Target	Drill Site ID	Blow Yield (L/s)	24h Yield (L/s)	T _{early} (m/d)	T _{late} (m/d)	S
Semi-	H21-0637	8	4	13.81	6.63	2.2 x 10 ⁻³
	H21-0638	15	7.5	34.7	26.8	2.2 x 10 ⁻³
Contined	H21-0663	8	3	8.9	5.2	1.0 x 10 ⁻⁶
Fenzaamheid	H21-0665	>20	14	250	45	2.2 x 10 ⁻³
Fault (S)	H21-0669	0.8	0.12	1	0.5	2.2 x 10 ⁻³
	H21-0670	1.5	0.3	4.5	1.8	2.2×10^{-3}
In between	H21-0700	12	9	13.53	10.54	1.7×10^{-3}
Semi- confined	H21-0666	8	3	61.9	11.2	8.2 x 10 ⁻⁶
Eenzaamheid Fault (N)	H21-0667	3.5	0.8	4.4	2.8	3.5 x 10 ⁻⁶
Semi-	H21-0708	2.5	0.7	11.6	7.1	2.2 x 10 ⁻³
Waterberg	H21-0712	8	2	3.4	3.33	1.17 x 10 ⁻³
Confined Waterberg	H21-0671	>20	9	85	18.6	9.9 x 10 ⁻⁶
Confined	H21-0701	3.5	0.7	6.6	2.6	2.0×10^{-3}
Waterberg underneath	H21-0702	4.2	2	3.3	2.8	2.2 x 10 ⁻⁵
Alluvium	H21-0704	2.5	1.4	61.3	2.7	1.4 x 10 ⁻³
	H21-0703	0.7	0.15	7	4.7	*8.8 x 10 ⁻⁴
Alluvium	H21-0715	10	2	370.75	56.59	*2.2 x 10 ⁻³
	H21-0706	0.7	tested but found	d very wea	k – no eval	luation done
Confined Waterberg Daarby Fault	H21-0681	2.2	0.6	1.1	1.1	2.1 x 10 ⁻³

 Table 3: Constant discharge test results for exploration boreholes at Lephalale

*For the unconfined alluvial aquifer S is measured as S_y or specific yield – the FC calculation is not considered sufficient for this type of aquifer.

Five exploration boreholes have recommend yields higher than 5 L/s for a 24 hour pump cycle and the highest recommended yield is 14 L/s. Management recommendation reports are included in Appendix E.

Please note: All of these 24h sustainable yields are based on single boreholes pumping and should not be used where boreholes can influence one another. See Plan 4, Appendix A for borehole positions. Optimum pumping rates for boreholes situated close to one another will be obtained during the numerical modelling phase.

3.4.2 Aquifer Characteristics

The following aquifer characteristics were derived from the derivative plot and loglog and semi-log diagnostic diagrams in the FC spreadsheet of the constant discharge test evaluation, for the:

Waterberg semi-confined fractured aquifer;

- H21-0637 shows a confined double porosity aquifer, with the main water strike dewatered at 137.1 mbgl, after which the borehole failed.
- H21-0638 shows a confined double porosity aquifer, with the positions of the main water strikes not reached during the test.
- H21-0663 shows a confined double porosity aquifer, with a major fracture zone reached between 73.91 74.34 mbgl.
- H21-0665 shows a confined double porosity aquifer, with a major fracture zone dewatered at 45.97 mbgl. This coincides with the water strikes in the geological log and the diagnostic plots also shows that the borehole is starting to access water only from the fractures below this zone. Therefore the yield of the borehole is declining after this fracture zone is dewatered.
- H21-0666 shows a confined double porosity aquifer, with a fracture dewatered at 73.61 and 77.47 mbgl respectively. This also coincides with the water strikes in the geological log.
- H21-0667 shows a confined double porosity aquifer, with dewatering at 82.08 89.91 mbgl respectively. This might be an indication of a flow boundary that was reached, however the first major water strike was at 124 mbgl.

- H21-0669 is pumping from a single vertical fracture plane, but accessing a double porosity system. The first fracture is dewatered at 32.27 mbgl, slightly deeper than the first water strike in the geological log.
- H21-0670 shows a confined double porosity aquifer, with no boundary conditions reached during the test. The first major water strike was at 48 mbgl.
- H21-0671 shows a confined double porosity aquifer, with dewatering at 74.54 79.03 mbgl respectively. The first major water strike was at 114 mbgl.
- H21-0700 shows a confined double porosity aquifer, with dewatering at 119.17 mbgl respectively and coincides with the end of the casing.
- H21-0708 shows abstraction from single plane vertical fractures, with dewatering occurring from 17.7 mbgl.
- H21-0712 is pumping from a single vertical fracture plane, but accessing a double porosity system with the main water strike dewatered at 53.41 mbgl, after which the borehole failed.

Waterberg confined fractured aquifer;

- H21-0681 shows a confined double porosity aquifer, with no boundary conditions reached during the test. The first major water strike was at 215 mbgl in the geological log.
- H21-0701 shows a confined double porosity aquifer, but the diagnostics show flow at early time occurs mainly from single plane vertical fractures. No dewatering of structures occurred during the test. The first major water strike was at 122 mbgl in the geological log.
- H21-0702 shows a confined double porosity aquifer, with dewatering between 55.5 56.5 mbgl. This occurs at the end of the casing and might be dewatering of the fracture at 44 mbgl, outside the casing.
- H21-0704 shows a confined double porosity aquifer, but the diagnostics show flow at early time occurs mainly from single plane vertical fractures. No dewatering of structures occurred during the test. The first major water strike was at 134 mbgl in the geological log.

Alluvial aquifer;

- H21-0703 shows an unconfined aquifer with delayed yield. The only dewatering or influx point occurred at the end of the casing. The depth where the major water into the borehole occurred during drilling was not reached during the pump test.
- H21-0715 shows an unconfined aquifer with delayed yield and the alluvium dewatered when the water level reaches 8.92 mbgl.

3.5 Water Levels

A strong Bayesian correlation is observed when water level elevations are plotted against surface elevation for the hydrocensus and drilling information, see Figure 2. This indicates that groundwater flow is following the topography in the surrounding aquifer.



Figure 2: Bayesian water level correlation

However, when comparing the exploration boreholes' water levels, Figure 3, the water levels of the two exploration boreholes drilled in the Eenzaamheid fault system on the contact with the Ecca Formation, H21-0666 and H21-0667, plot below the regional trend and might be linked to dewatering close to these boreholes or within this contact zone. The rest of the boreholes, whether intersecting the confined, semi-confined or alluvial aquifer, all plot relatively close to the regional trend.



Figure 3: Exploration boreholes' water level comparison

The current information shows that the confined Waterberg aquifer is of local extent and water is under the same pressure head, in the confined aquifer, as in the semiconfined and alluvial aquifers in close proximity to it.

3.5.1 Groundwater Flow

Groundwater flow around Lephalale takes place in the direction of surface topography slope and according to structural controls of geology. Plan 5, Appendix A, shows the piezometric map of the groundwater levels in the quaternary catchments with the groundwater elevations of the exploration boreholes.

3.6 Water Quality

The typical water quality associated with quaternary catchments A42G, H and J varies between a HCO_3^- and Cl dominant water with elevated TDS, with the Cl-dominant boreholes mostly close to river systems. These trends could be due to the influence of evapotranspiration at river systems or the geochemical evolution of water from the recharge area in the south to these "discharge" quaternaries in the north. The rocks associated with the Waterberg aquifers tend to have a lower concentration of TDS. Quaternary catchments A42G and H had TDS values range from 60 – 200 mg/l and A42J (mostly Ecca Formation) TDS values range from 700 – 1100 mg/l. (Titus & Rossouw, 2008)

The evaluation of the water quality was done with WISH (Windows Interpretation System for the Hydrogeologist) developed by the Institute for Groundwater Studies.

3.6.1 Diagnostic Diagrams

Water found in different rock types will typically have different ratios of constituents in solution linked to the chemical nature of the host rock. Therefore diagnostic diagrams, like the Piper or Stiff diagrams, are typically used to distinguish between water in different aquifers, since these use combinations of ratios of anions and cations to plot the water quality relative to one another.

For the Piper diagram, Figure 4, the trapezium is divided into quarters (left, bottom, right and top) and each quarter signifies a typical groundwater type. The following describes the water types found at Lephalale:

- None of the samples falls into the left or bottom quarters, therefore none of them are associated with recently recharged water.
- Most of the samples fall into the sodium chloride dominant (right quarter) is associated with stagnant or slow moving groundwater with little or no recharge.
- The Mogol River, H21-0666 and H21-0667 falls in the middle of the trapezium and is typical of a mixed water type.



Figure 4: Piper diagram of Lephalale exploration boreholes

The Sodium Absorption Rate (SAR) diagram, Figure 5, shows that the groundwater is unsuitable for irrigation purposes for all of the samples, except for the Mogol River, H21-0715, H21-0703 and H21-0708. Water with a high SAR will lead to the destruction of arable soils due to the ionic exchange of magnesium found in the soils with high levels of sodium in the water.



Figure 5: SAR diagram of Lephalale exploration boreholes

The Schoëller diagrams, Figure 6 and Figure 7, shows the chemical differences between the boreholes associated with the Waterberg aquifer and the alluvial aquifer. H21-0637 was included in Figure 7 for reference purposes. It is clear that none of the alluvial boreholes has exactly the same chemical signature and this can be attributed to various ratios of mixing occurring at the different sites.



Figure 6: Schoëller diagram of Waterberg exploration boreholes



Figure 7: Schoëller diagram of Mogol River and alluvial boreholes

The Stiff diagrams for the Waterberg aquifer are shown in Figure 8. Slight differences are observed for some of the boreholes e.g. H21-0669 and H21-0706 with slightly higher alkalinity (local influences) and higher sodium-chloride and sulphate ratios of H21-0666 and H21-0667. The latter is as a result of the Eenzaamheid fault contact zone and the expected influence of the highly saline and sulphate rich with the Ecca Formation.



Figure 8: Stiff diagrams of Waterberg exploration boreholes



Figure 9: Stiff diagrams of Mogol River and alluvial boreholes

Figure 9 shows the Stiff diagrams of the alluvial boreholes and the Mogol River. H21-0715 is very close to the signature of the river and is most likely because of the direct connection the borehole has with the river through its highly transmissive sandy layers.

H21-0703 tends towards the river water, but some mixing or ion exchange has taken place, most probably as a result of the thick alluvium and lower transmissive sands, more residence time to interact with the alluvial soils, associated with this borehole.

H21-0708 is mixed water between alluvial river water and the deeper Waterberg aquifer. It is most likely that water from the deeper water strikes encountered during drilling, also contributed to the water that was taken during sampling. This implicates a direct connection of the river and the weathered Waterberg sandstones in the upper reaches of the Mokolo River.

3.6.2 Drinking Water Standards

The water quality of the exploration boreholes were plotted against the South African Drinking Water Standards and are shown in Appendix F. The following is concluded for the different boreholes and aquifer types:

- The Waterberg aquifer water quality is good for most of the constituents. However the levels of sodium-chloride (NaCl) are marginal and fluoride (F) is unacceptable for drinking water. The water is classified as CLASS 4 as a result of the fluoride and if considered for domestic use should either be blended to suitable water quality or the fluoride needs to be filtered out.
- H21-0666 and H21-0667 is elevated in sodium-chloride (NaCl) and sulphate (SO4) when compared to the rest of the Waterberg boreholes. This confirms the influence of the contact with the Ecca Formation on these boreholes.
- H21-0703 and H21-0715 has a good water quality, accept for elevated manganese in H21-0703 (possibly due to contact time with thick alluvial sands) and elevated aluminium and iron in H21-0715 and therefore these are classified as marginal – poor water. The latter is highly dependent on pH conditions and could be due to the influence of the river water. The water from the alluvial aquifer is CLASS 3 to CLASS 3 and, for domestic use, would also need to be blended or filtered to suitable standards.

H21-0708 shows a good water quality in general and is classified as CLASS 3 because of the fluoride concentration just above the 1.5 mg/l limit. Nitrate is also elevated, possibly due to local pollution influences. This water sample is probably what one can expect from a Waterberg aquifer / river water blend, depending on the blending ratio used.

3.6.3 Stable Isotopes

iThemba Labs performed the analyses and preliminary evaluation of the stable isotopes and the report is included in Appendix F. The isotope analyses and interpretation included the stable isotopes D/H (Deuterium (H2)/Hydrogen (H1)) and O18/O16 (Oxygen), expressed as the relative difference between sampled and Standard Mean Ocean Water (SMOW) or d in permille (‰),to get a baseline of the isotope signature. Plotting d2H against d18H for rain water (an equilibrium process) produces a straight line called the Global Meteoric Water Line. If such rain water undergoes evaporative losses (a non-equilibrium or kinetic process) at the surface, the resulting d values will plot on a line of lesser slope, an evaporation line, see Figure 10.

Where the evaporation line crosses the GMWL it can be assumed to represent the weighted mean isotopic composition of rain-derived direct recharge in the area. More positive values could imply increasing evaporative moisture losses or mixtures with more isotopically negative ground water.

All the groundwater samples plot on one evaporation line, indicative that only one process is responsible for the enrichment of the stable isotopes i.e. surface evaporation into the air with an average moisture content. Enrichment of isotopes does not occur in groundwater to any degree that is measurable, unless mixing occurs with evaporated surface water sources.

The following is evident from the evaporation line:

1. The Mogol River sample was expected to have a strong surface evaporation signature and therefore be isotopically the heaviest. However it is the lightest sample and high rainfall events in the period preceding the sampling could have caused this sample to be mostly rainfall run-off and as a result be indicative of local rainfall and not river water. It is therefore not indicative of groundwater

discharge, since it is isotopically lighter than the groundwater samples, and these results will have to be confirmed at the end of the dry season, with another river sample.

- The samples which are isotopically the lightest (H21-0681, H21-0704, H21-0665 and H21-0709) are indicative of deep groundwater and are assumed to be the end member for the Waterberg aquifer. H21-0708 also have an isotopically light signature, but does not only indicate deep groundwater, see section 3.6.1.
- 3. H21-0702 and H21-0666 is slightly enriched when compared to the end members, but still well within expected ranges of groundwater stable isotopic signatures.
- H21-0703 and H21-0706 are enriched, with H21-0703 the heaviest isotopic signature. Therefore, these points show enrichment from evaporative surface water sources with H21-0703 having more enrichment than H21-0706.



Figure 10: Stable isotope data in relation to Global Meteoric Water Line

3.6.4 Mixing Ratios and Groundwater Chemistry

In order to determine mixing ratios of groundwater and evaporated surface water, different end members for the stable isotopes is needed for the different types of water in a system. For the Lephalale area end members exist for typical rainfall (Mogol River) and deep groundwater (H21-0681, H21-0704, H21-0665 and H21-0709), but none for evaporated surface water.

H21-0703 cannot be assumed an end member for surface water, since the water chemistry indicates mixing of different water has occurred, see section 3.6.1. H21-0715 and a river sample at the end of the dry season are needed to confirm whether an end member for evaporated surface water can be obtained. Mixing ratios for H21-0703 and H21-0706 can then be determined to see whether this water is a mix of Waterberg aquifer and alluvial groundwater or simply evaporated alluvial groundwater.

H21-0708 cannot be only deep groundwater, since the chemistry showed mixing between deep groundwater and river water. However, the isotopic signature falls between the deep groundwater boreholes' and the Mogol River sample. This indicates that mixing of deep groundwater and recent rainfall run-off has occurred in this borehole. Mixing ratios are calculated as a simple linear correlation between end members and for H21-0708 the mixing ratios were calculated as 57% deep groundwater and 43% rain water from river runoff. H21-0708 is therefore also an indication of what diluted Waterberg aquifer water chemistry would consist off.
3.7 Conceptual Models of Lephalale Aquifers

The Lephalale aquifers lie in the drainage area of quaternary catchments A42G, A42H and A42J, where recharge occurs in the higher laying Waterberg Mountains and these quaternary catchments acts as discharge zones for the Waterberg aquifers. A42J also has Karoo and alluvial aquifers associated that can increase the recharge to this quaternary catchment. (Titus & Rossouw, 2008)

Existing groundwater use for these catchments is relatively low due to the low aquifer yields and the abundant surface water available. Volumes for the groundwater balance per quaternary catchment are taken from Titus & Rossouw, 2008, and listed in Table 4.

Quaternary	Area (km ²)	Recharge (mm/a)	Recharge (Mm ³ /a)	GW Reserve (Mm ³ /a)	GW Abstraction (Mm ³ /a)	Available Groundwater (Mm ³ /a)
A42G	1206	21	25.32	2.70	0.06	22.56
A42H	1057	15	15.64	0.87	0.06	14.71
A42J	1811	7.25	13.15	0.36	0.19	12.60

Table 4: Groundwater balance for quaternary catchments at Lephalale

From the groundwater exploration and testing phase of this project the two viable aquifer systems identified include the Waterberg aquifer and the alluvial aquifer in direct connection with the Mokolo River.

Figure 11 shows a cross-section from south to north of the Waterberg semi-confined and confined aquifers at the Eenzaamheid Fault Structure (FS). The semi-confined conditions in the Waterberg occur south of the contact with the Ecca Formation, as a result of the confined nature of the fractures at depth, although the aquifer is still in contact with atmospheric pressure at the water table. The fault extends at depth and fractures related to the fault / bedding planes will most likely be encountered at depth anywhere within the fault system.

North of the contact the Ecca overlies the Waterberg and the whole aquifer is confined. The piezometric water level is as a result of the pressure built up in the underlying confined Waterberg which has a hydrostatic connection with the semi-confined Waterberg.



Figure 11: NS cross-section of Waterberg aquifer over Eenzaamheid Fault

Figure 12 shows a cross section of the alluvial and confined Waterberg underneath the Ecca Formation, north of the Eenzaamheid FS, at the river. The alluvial aquifer in this area does not recharge the Waterberg aquifer, since hydrostatic pressure and the confining Ecca layers will not allow for it, and that the Waterberg semi-confined aquifer discharges in the weathered zone into the alluvial aquifer at the contact of the Eenzaamheid FS with the alluvial aquifer. This could also occur higher up in the catchment where the Waterberg semi-confined aquifer is directly linked with the alluvial aquifer through the weathered zone and the river will then act as a discharge area for the Waterberg aquifer.

Two piezometric water levels are observed: one linked to the unconfined alluvial aquifer, linked to the level of the water in the river, and the second piezometric water level linked to the confined Waterberg aquifer. The piezometric level of the water in

the Waterberg is higher than the alluvial because of the hydrostatic pressure of water in the higher lying semi-confined Waterberg.

Some of the boreholes drilled through the alluvial deposits into the Waterberg Group encountered alluvial deposits at depth e.g. conglomerate "pebbles rocks" found at 160 mbgl, after Waterberg sandstone was drilled from 114 mbgl. This indicates that typical river gravels were deposited within the Waterberg and very high yields can be expected from boreholes intersecting these palæo drainage channels.

The alluvial aquifer and Waterberg confined aquifer is separated by the impermeable Ecca Formation. Observation boreholes in the alluvium showed no connection between the two water levels observed, when adjacent boreholes drilled into the Waterberg was tested.



Figure 12: EW cross-section of alluvial and Waterberg confined aquifers north of Eenzaamheid Fault

3.8 Aquifer Potential

Since no major no-flow boundaries were observed during the aquifer testing, the hydrogeological boundaries to groundwater flow at Lephalale are considered to be the quaternary catchment boundaries and the extent of the geological sequence. However, a more practical boundary is needed for the calculation of groundwater resource potential that can be developed in and around Lephalale and a distance of 10km radius, from the town centre, was decided on. Three hydrogeological units are relevant for developing the groundwater potential on site, and are defined as the:

- Waterberg semi-confined fractured rock aquifer;
- Waterberg confined fractured rock aquifer; and
- Alluvial aquifer.

Plan 6, Appendix A, shows the delineation of these hydrogeological units based on geological constraints, aquifer type and piezometric water level elevations. The sections to follow describes the volumes of groundwater already available as a result of the groundwater exploration programme and the volume of water that can be developed in the proposed area, based on recharge and volume of water in storage.

3.8.1 Current Accessible Yield

As a result of the groundwater exploration programme, 10 boreholes can already be equipped and utilised for water supply at Lephalale. Table 5 summarises the yield available for each of the aquifer units from the existing exploration boreholes.

These volumes do need to be verified during the numerical modelling phase to follow, to obtain the optimum abstraction rate for different well fields or boreholes situated close to one another. For instance, boreholes H21-0637 and H21-0638 are too close to other high yielding boreholes in the same hydrogeological structure. During the modelling these boreholes will be pumped at the same time and the effect after a year of pumping will be shown.

Aquifer	Drill Site ID	Water Level (mbgl)	Pump Depth (mbgl)	24h Yield (L/s)	Volume (m³/m)	Volume (m³/a)	Total (m³/a)
	H21-0637	1.4	120	4	10,368	124,416	
Semi-	H21-0638	0.5	120	7.5	19,440	233,280	
confined	H21-0663	1.9	120	3	7,776	93,312	
Waterberg	H21-0665	1.3	119	14	36,288	435,456	1,322,056
Fenzaamheid	H21-0700	4.6	119	9	23,328	279,936	
Fault System	H21-0666	34.2	102	3	7,776	93,312	
	H21-0712	3.3	76	2	5,184	62,208	
Confined Waterberg	H21-0671	0.3	119	9	23,328	279,936	242 144
	H21-0702	2.5	118	2	5,184	62,208	342,144
Alluvium	H21-0703	2.5	28	0.15	388.8	4,665	62 208
	H21-0715	1	28	2	5,184	62,208	02,208

Table 5: Current accessible groundwater from aquifers at Lephalale

3.8.2 Alluvial Aquifer Development

The alluvial aquifer was not adequately explored and it is recommended that this aquifer be drilled further to obtain better estimates for yield potential and artificial recharge potential. According to WSM, 1999, the alluvial aquifer close to Lephalale has a specific yield (S_y) of 0.15 and a thickness of 8-18 m is observed from the current project drilling logs. If a minimum aquifer thickness (D) of 8 m is applied, then the volume of water in storage for the alluvial aquifer is calculated as:

The S_y value and thickness of the aquifer needs to be confirmed with exploration drilling and testing targeted towards the alluvial aquifer.

5

3.8.3 Waterberg Aquifer Development

The boreholes accessing the Waterberg aquifer already has a potential yield of $1.66 \text{ Mm}^3/a$. Further groundwater resource development in the Lephalale area is dependent on the water that is recharged from rainfall annually, the water in storage in the aquifer and additional resource e.g. transfers into the aquifer from surface water sources. Water in storage can either be lowered once off, to a level acceptable to all water users / stakeholders of an area, or it can be lowered to increase the volume

available to recharge / artificial recharge of the system. By lowering the piezometric water table in an aquifer system, space is made available for storing additional water in times of excess rainfall and therefore increasing the yield of the system.

Recharge from rainfall

The aquifers at Lephalale will mainly access recharge in the quaternary catchment A42H and recharge was taken as 15 mm/a, see Table 4. Recharge from rainfall to the Waterberg aquifer will only take place over 157 km^2 (half the area), since the confined aquifer is overlaid by the confining Ecca Formation. Therefore recharge to the Waterberg aquifer, accessed by the 10 km radius around Lephalale, is calculated as 2.4 Mm³/a, not taking into account lateral inflow from the rest of the catchment.

Water in storage

In the 10km radius around Lephalale groundwater can be accessed from both the semi-confined and confined Waterberg Aquifer, with a matrix storativity (S) of 0.0022, Table 3, and an average aquifer thickness 300 m (maximum depth of exploration boreholes). The volume of water in storage for the Waterberg aquifer is calculated as:

Waterberg_{storage} = Area * Aquifer thickness * S
=
$$\pi (10,000 \text{ m})^2 * 300 \text{ m} * 0.0022$$

= 208 Mm³

However, not all of this can be accessed if aquifer integrity is taken into account and for every 1m drop in piezometric water table the following volume is available:

Waterberg_{1m drop} =
$$\pi (10\ 000m)^2 * 1m * 0.0022$$

= 0.7Mm³

The level to which the aquifer should be dropped is dependent on an aquifer management decision in conjunction with artificial recharge options. However a 1m drop in piezometric water level is considered an acceptable impact, since piezometric pressure in the aquifer will be dropped by less than 1% and aquifer integrity will not be compromised. Therefore 0.7Mm³ is considered the minimum accessible volume of water if storage is utilised.

To be developed further

The existing exploration boreholes in the Waterberg aquifer can already access $1.66 \text{ Mm}^3/a$ assuming all boreholes can pump simultaneously. Recharge available amounts to $2.4 \text{ Mm}^3/a$ and water in storage to 0.7Mm^3 , therefore at least $1.44 \text{ Mm}^3/a$ can still be developed without major impacts expected to the Waterberg aquifer.

3.9 Augmentation Scheme Viability from the Waterberg Aquifer

From the information given in this chapter, it was shown that the augmentation scheme of $3*Mm^3/a$ can be supported by development of the deeper Waterberg aquifer. However certain constraints needs to be taken into account when planning for this development

- Currently, three exploration boreholes were drilled for every successful production borehole.
- The production boreholes yielded 5 L/s on average and therefore 7 production boreholes are needed for every 1 Mm³/a developed.
- An augmentation scheme for an additional 2 Mm³/a from the Waterberg aquifer therefore requires 14 production and at least 42 exploration boreholes.
- Each production borehole should be placed at least 2 km apart; the ideal situation will require an area of at least a 100 km² well-field, with the 10km² radius from town more than sufficient for this development.
- The expected groundwater yield, from the existing exploration boreholes, as different well-fields, will be evaluated during the numerical modelling phase, including the impact abstraction will have on these and other water users in the area.
- Water quality constraints include the high NaCl and F in the water and treatment is not required for industrial use; however treatment for domestic use will be required.

4 MONITORING

According to the Groundwater Reserve study (Titus & Rossouw, 2008) the quaternary catchments A42G, H and J still shows low levels of stress and therefore it was given a category of *Natural*. The following recommendations were made from the Groundwater Reserve study in terms of further aquifer development (Titus & Rossouw, 2008):

- Optimal yields of boreholes and well fields should be determined with appropriate aquifer tests;
- Groundwater levels and gradients should be maintained to river systems, especially where groundwater is contributing to baseflow; and
- Potential impacts of developments should be assessed for both quantity and quality of the groundwater resource.

The monitoring network at Lephalale was designed to meet the first two objectives. The purpose of long term monitoring of water levels is to obtain information on the aquifer response to rainfall, while monitoring during aquifer testing evaluates the response of the groundwater system to stress within structures and is needed for the calculation of aquifer properties, specifically storativity.

Currently the monitoring network consists of 10 continuous monitoring wells equipped with automatic loggers, measuring water levels. The monitoring boreholes are listed in Table 6 and shown on Plan 7, Appendix A. All of the current divers will be used to monitor the aquifer response to rainfall over time; however the purpose of each monitoring borehole during the aquifer testing phase is given in Table 6.

Water quality monitoring is not currently underway and it is recommended that the boreholes listed in Table 7 (see Plan 7, Appendix A) be monitored on a monthly interval for one year, after which the water quality data should be evaluated and the monitoring network adjusted. These boreholes have already one analysis and therefore should continue as soon as possible to have continuity in the data,

Aquifer	Monitoring Site ID	Equipment	Purpose
	H21-0636	DIVER	Stress response in fault
	H21-0664	DIVER	Stress response in fault
	H21-0667	DIVER	Stress response in fault
Semi-confined Waterberg	H21-0669	DIVER	Stress response in fault
	H21-0670	DIVER	Stress response in fault
	H21-0700	DIVER	Stress response in aquifer
	H21-0716	DIVER	Stress response in shallow aquifer
Confined Waterberg underneath Alluvium	H21-0702	DIVER	Stress response between aquifers
Alluvium	H21-0703	DIVER	Stress response between aquifers
Allavian	H21-0706 moved after initial testing	DIVER	Stress response between aquifers
Confined Waterberg Daarby Fault	H21-0680	DIVER	Aquifer properties

Table 6: Water level monitoring boreholes at Lephalale

 Table 7: Water quality monitoring boreholes at Lephalale

Aquifer	Monitoring Site ID	Equipment	Purpose
	H21-0637	NONE	Changes in fault system
	H21-0663	NONE	Changes in fault system
	H21-0667	DIVER	Changes in contact zone
Somi confined Waterborg	H21-0670	DIVER	Background water quality
Semi-commed waterberg	H21-0700	DIVER	Changes in semi- confined system
	H21-0701	NONE	Changes resulting from river water
	H21-0708	NONE	Upstream water quality
	H21-0712	NONE	Upstream water quality
Confined Waterberg	H21-0671	NONE	Changes in confined system
underneath Alluvium	H21-0702	DIVER	Changes in confined system
	H21-0703	DIVER	Alluvial aquifer water quality
Alluvium	H21-0706	NONE	Alluvial aquifer water quality
	H21-0715	NONE	Alluvial aquifer water quality
Confined Waterberg Daarby Fault	H21-0681	NONE	Changes in confined system

4.1 Data Loggers

Information on the constant rate discharge tests and observation boreholes (tabled) and the time series graphs of the water level data logged (Divers) are included in Appendix G. Continuous water level monitoring started during August 2008 and January 2009 and is ongoing. Distances from the tested boreholes to the observation boreholes are only shown from the dates that the observation boreholes have data. The influence of the constant rate tests on the observation boreholes shows the following:

 Observation boreholes linked to the Eenzaamheid fault system close to Lephalale (H21-0636, H21-0664, H21-0700) shows a direct and immediate response to constant rate test within the fault less than 1km away (H21-0637, H21-0638, H21-0663, H21-0665 and H21-0700). This confirms the direct connection through fractures with very high hydraulic conductivities. This cannot be explained with a single fracture, since H21-0700 shows the exact same response and has to be a highly fractured fault zone that is intersected with these boreholes.

Full recovery of the water levels after the initial tests in August 2008 only occurred 2 months after the tests were stopped. Full recovery of water levels has not yet occurred at 1.5 months after the 2^{nd} set of constant rate tests. This indicates slow release of water from the rock matrix and less water is available when this rate is exceeded.

Testing of the confined aquifer (H21-0701, H21-0702, H21-0704 and H21-0681) shows no effect on the fault zone.

- 2. Observation boreholes further away from the tested boreholes (H21-0669 and H21-0670) shows very little to no response to the constant rate tests. The water level behaviour in H21-0669 is erratic and cannot be explained. H21-0670 shows no influence and is a good monitoring borehole for assessing the impact on the regional aquifer over time.
- 3. H21-0706 shows the same trend in water level as H21-0670, except for the recovery of water levels after its own test. This is possibly another borehole that can be used for monitoring aquifer response to rainfall, since it is not hydraulically connected to any of the other boreholes.

- 4. H21-0667 shows a general decline in water level during the testing period and therefore indicates water from storage is being accessed. This borehole is approximately 5km away and therefore a good monitoring borehole for assessing the impact of abstraction in town on the local aquifer.
- 5. Observation boreholes in the confined Waterberg aquifer (H21-0702 and H21-0680) show slight changes in water level when H21-0665 was tested. This indicates pressure release in the confined system as a result of the testing. However, when H21-0700 and H21-0637 was tested no response could be observed and is possibly linked to the shorter time (H21-0700) or lower rate (H21-0637) that these boreholes were tested at.

H21-0702 also shows slow recovery from its own constant rate discharge test and recovery occurred only one month after the test was completed. This is possible due to less hydraulic pressure as a result of this borehole's close proximity to the confined/semi-confined aquifer boundary.

The drawdown effect in BH21-0680 from the testing of the adjacent borehole H21-0681 is immediate; however recovery is fairly fast due to the hydraulic pressure in the confined aquifer here.

6. H21-0703 does not show any specific response to any of the other pump tests. The fluctuations in water levels are linked to changes in river stage, see Figure 13.



Figure 13: Comparison between Mokolo River levels and H21-0703 monitoring water levels

Two sudden drops in water levels in both H21-0700 and H21-0680 are observed on 26 March 2009 and cannot be explained at this point in time. More detailed analyses of specific observation data will be done during the numerical modelling to determine aquifer parameters e.g. storativity.

5 ARTIFICIAL RECHARGE AT LEPHALALE

5.1 What is Artificial Recharge?

Artificial recharge (AR) or Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR, the term being promoted internationally), has many approaches. The most common is to store water in the subsurface for later use, this usually being achieved by allowing water to infiltrate the subsurface via infiltration basins or by injecting water via boreholes into the aquifer. In this context, it is a form of water conservation, in that water that would otherwise be lost through evaporation and evapotranspiration from dams, rivers and/or outflows to the sea (fresh or waste water), would be captured and made available for later use. One of most common and studied methods used is Aquifer Storage and Recovery (ASR, Figure 14) and its major advantage is to be able to store good quality water in a generally saline water bearing aquifer, and use the stored water when needed, for example during drought periods. Compared to surface storage, some ASR projects have recovery rates greater than 95%.



Figure 14 Schematic example of ASR in a confined aquifer

5.2 AR Potential at Lephalale

The desk top hydrogeological assessment showed aquifers within the Lephalale area to generally yield poor quality water, but having reasonable borehole yields (greater than 2 L/s), the limiting factor to be quality rather than quantity, see Plan 8 and 9 in Appendix A for updated maps of the Fluoride and Electrical Conductivity around Lephalale. The poor quality may also be enhanced by low rainfall and high evaporation, making it ideal to investigate ASR as a future augmentation option, storing good quality water in saline aquifers and using it during peak demands.

5.2.1 Waterberg Aquifer

During the exploration phase of this study, the Waterberg semi-confined and confined aquifer was identified as an aquifer that has major yields associated with boreholes drilled on feasible structures and an aquifer that has a vast amount of water in storage. For every 1 m drop in piezometric water level of the aquifer, 0.7Mm³ of water can be released from storage in a 10 km radius around Lephalale. This is the same amount of water that can be injected for that 1 m drop in water level. Therefore, vast quantities of water can potentially be stored in times of excess rainfall and needs to be used again in times of drought, when water shortages is experienced, to make space for injecting water into the aquifer when excess rain falls again.

Water levels in the Waterberg aquifer is generally not deep, except where dewatering has taken place. Therefore abstraction needs to take place before AR schemes can be implemented. Typical AR schemes that should be considered for the Waterberg aquifer at Lephalale include (Murray, *et. al.*, 2007):

- Aquifer storage recovery (ASR) water is pumped from a water supply borehole and in times of excess the same borehole is used to inject treated water into the aquifer.
- Aquifer storage transfer & recovery (ASTR) excess treated water is injected into dedicated injection boreholes and retrieved through dedicated abstraction boreholes. This is considered feasible during the end final stages of development of water resources at Lephalale and should be considered either at the boundary of the abstraction impact or to enhance the water quality of the aquifer.

- Soil aquifer treatment (SAT) treated sewage effluent or urban storm water is captured and allowed to infiltrate through constructed infiltration ponds and recovered by boreholes after a certain residence time in the aquifer. This method also facilitates nutrient and pathogen removal through filtration at the ponds and can be considered for the area south of the storm water dam. This method is highly dependent on water quality and proper treatment of infiltration water (assumed polluted to an extent) is extremely important.
- Infiltration ponds ponds are constructed off stream where surface water is diverted to, especially in high run-off events, and allowed to infiltrate into the semi-confined Waterberg aquifer. This is proposed for the linear section along the storm water run-off channel towards the Mokolo River, where high flows are diverted to the Waterberg aquifer. The volume of run-off determines the size of infiltration ponds infiltration is usually slow and evaporation high, therefore only very good quality water should be used for infiltration.

5.2.2 Alluvial Aquifer

Exploration of the alluvial aquifer was only performed through the drilling of 2 boreholes specifically accessing this resource. However, drilling logs show sands of 10 - 18 m thick and this constitutes a major storage space when accessed. Typical AR schemes that should be considered for the alluvial aquifer at Lephalale include (Murray, *et. al.*, 2007):

- Bank filtration water is extracted through boreholes along the alluvial sands in the river to induce infiltration from the river, thereby improving and making the quality of the water more consistent.
- Infiltration ponds ponds are constructed off stream where surface water is diverted to, especially in high run-off events, and allowed to infiltrate into the unconfined alluvial aquifer.

However, this aquifer does not have available storage capacity for excess water and further groundwater exploration needs to focus on the alluvial aquifer in order to find the high yielding areas and to evaluate aquifer characteristics further.

5.2.3 Default AR in Progress

The irrigation of waste water effluent at the Lephalale golf course by default created artificial aquifer to the Waterberg aquifer and should preferably continue.

6 NUMERICAL MODELLING

Numerical modelling is a simplification of the natural environment and cannot simulate the intricacies of the groundwater system in detail. It should be seen as an approximation at best and the quality of the input data will determine the quality of the output information. A numerical model is but 1 of an infinite number of methods that can be applied for the given data, it is a non unique solution. Therefore, groundwater models will always have errors due to the uncertainty in data, the capabilities/limitations of numerical modelling code to describe the natural processes and the factors selected by the modeller to determine the non unique solution.

The conceptual model, section 3.7, describes the hydrogeological environment and is used to design and construct the numerical model to represent simplified but relevant conditions of the groundwater system. The conditions should be chosen in view of the specific objective of the modelling exercise and might not be relevant for other modelling objectives. The following conditions typically need to be described in a model:

- 1. Known geological and geohydrological features.
- 2. Boundary conditions of the study area (based on the geology and geohydrology).
- 3. Static water levels of the study area.
- 4. The processes governing groundwater flow.
- 5. Assumptions on which the numerical code selected and development of the model is based on.

Field data is essential in solving conditions 1 - 4 and a model actually develops into a site-specific groundwater model when real data is used. Specific assumptions related to the available field data include:

- The top of the aquifer is represented by the surface topography and available surface elevations are used to construct a representative spatial extent.
- The current geological information is sufficient to describe the extent of the different aquifers.

• The aquifer parameters calculated is representative of the aquifers beyond the area where data is available.

Limitations of models result from generalisations, interpretations and assumptions made in attempting to simulate the natural environment. The following limitations are true for all numerical groundwater models:

- The complexities of fractured rock aquifers imply that the model can only be used as a guide to determine the order of magnitude of the resource, dewatering and contaminant transport.
- The interpretation of modelled results should be based on the assumptions the model was built on and actual results will vary as unknown aquifer conditions and parameters vary in the natural system.

6.1 Lephalale Model Environment

A system is needed that can simulate the behaviour of the groundwater processes in which the most sensitive aspects of the system can be understood and used for further predictions. For the numerical modelling of the Lephalale project area the finite difference method was chosen as a suitable robust numerical solution for simulating the complex natural environment. The finite difference method assumes a homogeneous aquifer and is deemed acceptable for this site, due to the Bayesian correlation of water levels with topography and the level of uncertainty of fracture versus matrix flow and where this would occur. The geological structural information established during the exploration phase is taken into account with the addition of different zones, at these structures' positions, of which the aquifer parameters the model uses differ from the regional aquifer.

6.1.1 Hydrogeological Units and Boundaries

The hydrogeological unit of concern is the Waterberg semi-confined and confined aquifers, since this is where groundwater would be abstracted from until the groundwater level in the aquifer has been lowered to start considering ASR or conjunctive use of the alluvial aquifer with the Waterberg aquifer.

The natural groundwater flow boundaries that exist are limited to the geological faults. The quaternary boundaries of A42G, H and J will have to be considered in combination with the geology and the model domain extent was selected as:

Latitude (decimal degrees): 23.55 to 24.11 (Lo27: -2605866 to -2667292); and

Longitude (decimal degrees): 27.37 to 28.20 (Lo27: 37590 to 122165).

A one-layered two dimensional model, with a mesh size of 434 rows and 567 columns, Figure 15, was constructed, utilising MODFLOW as numerical code and PM WIN 5 as data pre and post processor, to include the following:

- Layer 1: 300 meters thick representing the Waterberg semi-confined and confined aquifer. The single layer represents only the Waterberg aquifer and is deemed acceptable since the influence of abstraction for water supply in this geological layer is tested.
- The boundary condition at the upstream quaternary catchment (mountainous areas of A42G & H) was set as a constant head to fix the groundwater levels at this point. The constant head boundary is considered far enough removed from the abstraction (approximately 50 km) to exclude any potential addition of water from it to the model as a result of the abstraction.
- The boundary conditions for the rest of the quaternary catchments and at the northern contacts of the Daarby and Eenzaamheid Fault Systems (FS) with the Ecca Group was set as no-flow boundaries, since these are geological constraints to groundwater flow in the Waterberg.
- Furthermore, general head cells where introduced to fix the groundwater levels for the upstream catchment boundaries closer to the abstraction (> 20km). However, general head cells allow the decrease in water level as a result of a change in groundwater flux because of the effect of abstraction on the groundwater system. This would therefore allow for a well field to diminish water levels at the model boundary should the impact reach it, although groundwater flow from adjacent catchments is still observed.
- The Mokolo River acts as a drain that takes groundwater that discharges into the river out of the area to the north and this was simulated with 5m deep drains at the position of the river to the north of the confined Waterberg aquifer.

The model boundaries have been moved away as far as data would allow, ensuring that potential dewatering effects do not to reach the boundaries of the model domain.

Hydrogeology and aquifer recharge at Lephalale



Figure 15: Screen shot of model domain

The numerical model boundaries, observation and abstraction boreholes are indicated on Plan 10 & 11 in Appendix A.

6.1.2 Initial Conditions

Initial groundwater levels were taken as a combination of measured data (section 3.5), NGDB background data and interpolated groundwater levels (especially in the upstream catchments where a lack of data exists). Incorporating the measured water levels and the background data from the NGDB resulted in a dataset of approximately 1300 groundwater levels and the Bayesian correlation is still observed throughout the catchment, Figure 16.



Figure 16: Bayesian correlation of regional water level data set

Interpolation for the data gaps was done with the Bayesian interpolator, Tripol, and the resultant data set was used as starting hydraulic conditions for the numerical model, see Appendix H.

Starting aquifer parameters were set as:

- Transmissivity as T = 10 m/d, and selected as an average of the T_{late} values calculated in Table 3 for the Waterberg aquifers.
- Recharge was set to resemble the same water balance information for the catchments as specified in Table 4 (3.9x10⁻⁵ m³/d), except for the confined Waterberg aquifer that was assumed to have zero recharge from rainfall, since the confining Ecca layer will not permit water through at a substantial rate. Recharge into the confined aquifer can therefore only occur through horizontal exchange from the semi-confined Waterberg.
- It was necessary to include the evapotranspiration calculated by Titus & Rossouw, 2008 (two zones of $4x10^{-5}$ m³/d and $5x10^{-5}$ m³/d), for the model to stabilise, as soon as recharge to the aquifer is introduced. Evapotranspiration was set at 100% of the calculated values when the groundwater level is at surface, after which it would decline linearly and cease when the groundwater levels were at 5 m below surface.

No structural geology was included at this stage.

6.2 Sensitivity Analyses

A sensitivity analysis of the variation in water levels at specific boreholes, as a result of the variation (up- and downwards 20%) in the different initial hydraulic parameters, section 6.1.2, was done to determine too what degree which parameter controls the flow of groundwater through the area. This gives an indication in which order of priority the parameters will be adjusted to achieve the steady state calibration.

The results of the sensitivity analyses was graphed, Figure 17 to Figure 20, and show in all the boreholes that recharge and evapotranspiration are the governing factors in how the groundwater system reacts, with the transmissivity contributing to changes in water levels to a lesser degree. The constant head was either active in the model or inactive. The effect of the constant head boundary on the borehole depends on the distance from the constant head.



Figure 17: Sensitivity analyses at Eenzaamheid Fault Structure



Figure 18: Sensitivity analyses at confined aquifer



Figure 19: Sensitivity analyses A42G quaternary boundary



Figure 20: Sensitivity analyses A42H quaternary boundary

6.3 Calibration and Verification

Model calibration and verification are needed to overcome the lack of input data, but also accommodating the simplification of the natural system in the model. In model calibration, model input data is changed after every model run until the simulated values, like groundwater levels, compared with field measurements reaches an acceptable correlation.

Model verification is required to demonstrate that the model can be reliably used to make predictions. A common practice in verification is the comparison of the simulated data with a data set not used in model calibration. Calibration and verification are accomplished if all known and available groundwater scenarios are reproduced by the model without varying aquifer parameters or characteristics of the model.

6.3.1 Steady State Calibration

Calibration of the Lephalale model was done for steady state conditions and the boreholes indicated in Figure 21 were used as observation boreholes. Water levels at these boreholes were compared to the modelled water levels to check if the simulation of flow through the aquifer occurred as expected, Figure 22.

Recharge zones was included for the upstream quaternary catchments and mainly adjusted as a result of the observed and simulated water level correlations. Evapotranspiration was included at the downstream riverine areas and where very shallow water levels in the Waterberg were observed at the Eenzaamheid FS.

Observed water levels were used as indications of which geological structures influence groundwater levels and the Eenzaamheid FS were included as a specific zone with higher transmissivity (35 m/d) than the rest of the Waterberg aquifer (11 m/d). Transmissivity values at the river systems were also increased to 250 m/d to achieve the same drainage patterns as the observed groundwater levels.

At two sets of boreholes, H21-0666/0667 & H21-0707/0708, calibration could not be achieved and this could be due to a number of reasons:

- H21-0666/0667 is on the contact of the Ecca and Waterberg formations, which also run past the Grootegeluk coal mine. Some degree of dewatering is expected as a result of the cone of depression in the Ecca that could be structurally linked to the fault zone. A drain was added to simulate the dewatering that might occur and although the calibration was closer it was not solved.
- H21-0707/0708 is close to the Mokolo river system and therefore this is a discharge area for the model, resulting in higher water levels than in the actual measurements.



Figure 21: Steady state observation boreholes' topography and water levels



Figure 22: Steady state observation boreholes' Bayesian correlation

The calibrated steady state groundwater level elevations, over the model extent, were used to generate the piezometric groundwater level map for the numerical model, shown in Appendix H. Groundwater flow vectors from the Bayesian piezometric map corresponds well with the piezometric map of the Lephalale numerical model. The model is viewed as a good representation of the groundwater flow processes, taking place in the Lephalale area.

6.3.2 Transient State Calibration

Transient state calibration is performed for areas where time series data, e.g. aquifer test data or long term monitoring data, is available. This enables the modeller to calibrate for specific parameters that has a low confidence even after the steady state calibration is achieved. Parameters like storativity is not used during steady state and therefore not calibrated for and if transient state calibration can be done the confidence level of the simulations are greatly enhanced.

At Lephalale groundwater monitoring have been taking place with Diver water level data loggers and a good set of data is available to enable transient state calibration. Aquifer responses, during the aquifer testing phase, were observed in the Eenzaamheid Fault System and in the confined aquifer. Useful responses in the observation boreholes H21-0636, H21-0664 and H21-0700 water levels were observed when H21-0665 and H21-0700 were pumping. H21-0280, and to a lesser degree H21-0700, showed a good water level response when H21-0681 was pumping.

H21-0667 shows no direct effect from the constant rate tests and H21-0670 is too far removed. However, the latter can be used for calibration of the rainfall recharge response distant to the well field area.

6.3.2.1 Storativity

RPTSolv was developed by the Institute for Groundwater Studies as a numerical solution for determining storativity (S) of a fractured rock aquifer from single borehole aquifer tests. RPTSolv was used for the estimation of S in the Waterberg semi-confined and confined aquifers from the observation borehole data. Selected aquifer test data from observation boreholes H21-0636, H21-0664, H21-0680 and H21-0700 was used in the simulation for the abstraction boreholes H21-0665, H21-0681 and H21-0700 pumping respectively. The RPTSolv graphs are shown in Appendix I and the results listed in Table 8.

Aquifer	Test borehole	Observation borehole	Storativity (S)	Transmissivity (T)
Semi-confined	H21-0665	H21-0636	1.8 x 10 ⁻⁴	60
Waterberg	H21-0665	H21-0664	2.1 x 10 ⁻⁴	129
	H21-0665	H21-0700	4.5 x 10 ⁻⁶	246
Eenzaamheid Fault	H21-0700	H21-0636	2.1 x 10 ⁻⁵	142
System	H21-0700	H21-0664	3.2 x 10 ⁻⁵	164
	H21-0681	H21-0700	3.6 x 10 ⁻⁸	10
Commed waterberg	H21-0681	H21-0680	7.6 x 10 ⁻⁵	7

Table 8: Storativity values for the Waterberg aquifer from RPTSolv results

From these results it is clear that the S is very low, but higher in the fault zone, and the first estimations of T during the steady state calibration might need to be adjusted. The Eenzaamheid Fault System transmissivity ranges between 60 - 246 m/d depending on the fracture distribution and/or connection that the test and observation borehole has. For instance it is clear that although H21-0664 is much closer in proximity to H21-0665, H21-0665 has a more direct fracture connection to H21-0636 and H21-0700. This is also evident from the immediate water level response in H21-0700 when H21-0665 was pumped.

6.3.2.2 Aquifer Response

These RPTSolv results were introduced into the numerical model and the model adjusted for S and T in the vicinity of the boreholes until a fit was achieved. The actual versus simulated drawdown for each of the various test responses are shown in Figure 23to Figure 25.

H21-0681 was assumed to be representative for the confined aquifer and transient calibration results show a good fit for S at 1×10^{-7} and a T value of 11 m/d. However, it is possible that the S value is only indicative of the fracture response and not a matrix S, since the observation boreholes is very close to the abstraction borehole.

H21-0665 and H21-0700 was indicative of the Eenzaamheid Fault Zone response, however initial S and T values had to be adjusted to ensure the observed response was simulated in the observation boreholes. S for the fault zone was calibrated to 4×10^{-6} and high transmissivity values (up to 2500 m/d) had to be included between the boreholes. The observation boreholes react as if pumping from a single fracture with closure of the fracture between H21-0665 and H21-0664. A perfect fit could not be

obtained and can be a result of geological complexities that cannot be accounted for at this stage.



Figure 23: Confined aquifer transient calibration results for H21-0681 pumping



Figure 24: Eenzaamheid Fault System transient calibration results for H21-0665 pumping



Figure 25: Eenzaamheid Fault System transient calibration results for H21-0700 pumping

6.3.3 Water Balance

Water balance information from the steady state model shows only $2.65 \text{Mm}^3/\text{a}$ of effective recharge entering the model area. This differs significantly from the estimated recharge in Table 4. When considering potential inflows from the constant head boundary to the north and the flux boundaries at the quaternary catchment boundary, the volume of inflow into the catchment can be up to $5.58 \text{Mm}^3/\text{a}$. This amount to 1/10th of the expected recharge and significantly less groundwater are available for sustainable abstraction.

Water released from storage cannot be accounted for in a steady state water balance since this only occurs when abstraction from the aquifer takes place and will be discussed in the sections to follow.

6.4 Groundwater Development & Impacts

From Section 3.8 certain exploration boreholes where identified as possible abstraction boreholes and those accessing the Waterberg aquifer are listed in Table 9. However, these abstraction rates were based on a single borehole pumping and it is necessary to evaluate the sustainability of different well-field options, consisting of these boreholes, during scenario modelling (sections 6.4.1 to 6.4.4) to enable proper groundwater development of the Waterberg aquifer.

Aquifer	Drill Site ID	Water Level (mbgl)	Pump Depth (mbgl)	24h Yield (L/s)	Volume (m³/m)	Volume (m³/a)	Total (m³/a)
	H21-0637	1.4	120	4	10,368	124,416	
Semi- confined Waterberg	H21-0638	0.5	120	7.5	19,440	233,280	
	H21-0663	1.9	120	3	7,776	93,312	1,088,776
	H21-0665	1.3	119	14	36,288	435,456	(or ol
Eenzaamheid Fault System	H21-0700	4.6	119	9	23,328	279,936	(exci H21-0638)
	H21-0666	34.2	102	3	7,776	93,312	1121-0050)
	H21-0712	3.3	76	2	5,184	62,208	
Confined Waterberg	H21-0671	0.3	119	9	23,328	279,936	242 144
	H21-0702	2.5	118	2	5,184	62,208	342,144

 Table 9: Current accessible groundwater from Waterberg aquifer (single borehole pumping)

The existing users' boreholes in the vicinity of the exploration boreholes were identified from the IGS hydrocensus information, to evaluate the expected impacts at these points. Table 10 shows the users and which aquifer each borehole is likely to access. Whether or not the user will be impacted on is highly dependent on whether or not the borehole is linked to the Waterberg aquifer.

From Table 10 it is clear that only a few boreholes could be impacted by any well field development in the Waterberg aquifer. Boreholes accessing only the aquifer of the Ecca formation will not be impacted on by abstraction form the Waterberg aquifer, since the Ecca formation is very dense and considered to be a confining layer for the Waterberg underneath it.

The boreholes accessing the alluvial aquifer overlaying the Ecca formation will only be impacted if river flows are impacted upon. Impacts on the river system will be discussed in section 6.4.6, but cannot be fully quantified since the alluvial aquifer was not included in the modelling. The five boreholes linked to the semi-confined Waterberg aquifer will be further evaluated during the numerical modelling scenarios to define the impacts likely to occur.

SiteName	Latitude (DecDeg)	Longitude (DecDeg)	Waterlevel (mbgl)	Aquifer	Impact expected
H21-HORN1	-23.58725	27.73094	8.24	Ecca - outside model	None
H21-HORN2	-23.58756	27.73079	11.09	Ecca - outside model	None
H21-RIET1	-23.67383	27.75417	13.91	Semi-confined Waterberg	Likely
H21-RIET2	-23.68211	27.74831	-0.01	Semi-confined Waterberg	Likely
H21-TAU1	-23.68992	27.76642	20.63	Semi-confined Waterberg	Likely
H21-VOEG1	-23.61314	27.74206	15.18	Ecca	None
H21-VOEG2	-23.63906	27.71928	29.92	Ecca	None
H21-VOEG3	-23.61747	27.75178	0.84	Alluvial on Ecca	River flow related
H21-VOEG4	-23.63028	27.75331	15.14	Ecca	None
H21-VOEG5	-23.62431	27.72617	7.99	Есса	None
H21-WAT1	-23.68533	27.73400	2.2	Semi-confined Waterberg	Definitely
H21-WER1	-23.66153	27.76939	-0.1	Semi-confined Waterberg	Likely
H21-WER2	-23.65778	27.77143	6.52	Alluvial on Ecca	River flow related
H21-WER3	-23.65339	27.76528	3.03	Alluvial on Ecca	River flow related
H21-WER4	-23.65586	27.76517		Alluvial on Ecca	River flow related

Table 10: Water users surrounding potential well-field from current exploration boreholes

6.4.1 Scenario 1

In this scenario the recommended abstraction of borehole H21-0700 (9 L/s) was simulated to test the borehole's likeliness to fail and to what extent users in the surrounding aquifer will be affected. The scenario was run for 10 years and the development of the drawdown cone over time is shown for year 1, 2 and 10 in Appendix J. Figure 26 and Figure 27 show the drawdown in the abstraction borehole and the impact on the surrounding water users that access the Waterberg aquifer.



Figure 26: Groundwater level drawdown (mbgl) for H21-0700 pumping



Figure 27: Water level drawdown (m) for users' boreholes

6.4.1.1 Impacts

The extent of the cone of depression is mainly in the 10 km radius area considered for well-field development over the simulation time period. It reaches the river at the end of year 1 and is buffered by inflows from the river throughout the simulation.

The water level drawdown in borehole H21-0700 does not reach the position of the fracture (drawdown limit) and level off at approximately 20 mbgl. Water level drawdown in the users' boreholes as a result of this abstraction is considered minimal (<1m).

6.4.2 Scenario 2

In this scenario the recommended abstractions of all boreholes listed in Table 9 was simulated to test whether the boreholes are likely to fail or not and to what extent users in the surrounding aquifer will be affected. H21-0638 was excluded as part of the well-field because of its proximity to the higher yielding borehole H21-0665.

The scenario was run for 10 years and the development of the drawdown cone over time is shown for year 1, 2 and 10 in Appendix J. Figure 28 and Figure 29 show the drawdown in the abstraction boreholes and the impact on the surrounding water users that access the Waterberg aquifer.



Figure 28: Groundwater level drawdown (mbgl) for current boreholes pumping



Figure 29: Water level drawdown (m) for users' boreholes

6.4.2.1 Impacts

The extent of the cone of depression is mainly in the 10 km radius area considered for well-field development for the first 2 years. At the end of 10 years the drawdown impact outside of this 10 km radius is limited and reaches 7.5 m close to the no-flow boundary in the northwest. The cone of depression reaches the river very early in the simulation and is buffered by inflows from the river to an extent.

The water level drawdown in none of the boreholes reaches the position of the drawdown limit. Most of the abstraction boreholes level off nearing steady state conditions in the aquifer.

Water level drawdown in the users' boreholes as a result of this abstraction is affected with most of the boreholes water levels impacted 1 - 5m. WAT01 however, is highly impacted and is to be expected since this borehole is in very close to the other high yielding boreholes of the potential well-field.

6.4.3 Scenario 3

In this scenario the boreholes in Scenario 2 was increased with boreholes at a recommended 2 km distance from one another and an average abstraction rate of 5L/s for the 10km radius around Lephalale in the high transmissive Eenzaamheid Fault Zone. This will test the deep aquifers' capability to augment the local water sources in and around the town of Lephalale.

The scenario was run for 10 years and the development of the drawdown cone over time is shown for year 1, 2 and 10 in Appendix J. Figure 30 and Figure 31 show the drawdown in the current abstraction boreholes and the impact on the surrounding water users that access the Waterberg aquifer.



Figure 30: Groundwater level drawdown (mbgl) for current and future boreholes pumping



Figure 31: Water level drawdown (m) for users' boreholes

6.4.3.1 Impacts

The extent of the cone of depression exceeds the 10 km radius area considered for well-field development in the first year of the simulation. At the end of 10 years the drawdown impact outside of this 10 km radius is extensive and reaches more than 60 m close to the no-flow boundary in the northwest. The maximum drawdown reached in the aquifer surrounding the abstraction boreholes is 89m.

The cone of depression extends beyond the river in the first year of the simulation and is buffered by inflows from the river.

The water level drawdown in H21-0666 reaches the position of the drawdown limit after eight years and none of the boreholes are nearing steady state conditions e.g. water levels are still dropping and not levelling off.

Water level drawdown in the users' boreholes as a result of this abstraction is affected with most of the boreholes water levels impacted 2.5 - 15m. WAT01 however, is highly impacted and is to be expected since this borehole is in very close to the other high yielding boreholes of the potential well-field.
6.4.4 Scenario 4

In this scenario the boreholes in Scenario 3 was increased with boreholes at a 1 km distance from one another and an average abstraction rate of 5L/s for a 10km radius around Lephalale in the high transmissive zones. This scenario is in support of a short term (2 year maximum) use of storage from the aquifer for the augmentation of water for coal field developments until the pipelines are in place. However, the effect of this scenario is shown for 10 years to illustrate the non-sustainability thereof.

The scenario was run for 10 years and the development of the drawdown cone over time is shown for year 1, 2 and 10 in Appendix J. Figure 32 and Figure 33 show the drawdown in the current abstraction boreholes and the impact on the surrounding water users that access the Waterberg aquifer.



Figure 32: Groundwater level drawdown (mbgl) for current and future boreholes pumping (2 year high abstraction)



Figure 33: Water level drawdown (m) for users' boreholes

6.4.4.1 Impacts

The extent of the cone of depression exceeds the 10 km radius area considered for well-field development in the first year of the simulation. At the end of 2 years the drawdown impact outside of this 10 km radius is extensive and reaches approximately 50 m close to the no-flow boundary in the northwest. The maximum drawdown reached in the aquifer surrounding the abstraction boreholes is 77 m.

The cone of depression extends well beyond the river in the first year of the simulation and is buffered by inflows from the river.

The water level drawdown in H21-0666 nears the position of the drawdown limit at the end of 2 years. The boreholes are not nearing steady state conditions e.g. water levels are still dropping and not levelling off.

Water level drawdown in the users' boreholes at the end of 2 years is affected with most of the boreholes water levels impacted 3 - 18m. WAT01 however, is highly impacted and is to be expected since this borehole is in very close to the other high yielding boreholes of the potential well-field.

6.4.5 Scenario 5

In this scenario the boreholes in Scenario 4 was moved to a 10km radius around and west–southwest of Lephalale in the high transmissive zone west of the Mokolo river, see Plan 11 in Appendix A. This scenario is in support of a shortfall in supply at the end of 2012 (pumping for 2 year at high abstraction rates) and at the beginning of 2015 (pumping for 2 year at high abstraction rates).

Figure 34 and Figure 35 show the drawdown in the current abstraction boreholes and the impact on the surrounding water users that access the Waterberg aquifer. The scenario was (1) first run for 2 years with a rest period of 2.5 years to observe the expected recovery of the system and thereafter, (2) the abstraction rates of H21-0666 were lowered to 2 l/s and four "future" boreholes adjacent to this borehole were halved (to 2.5 l/s) to reduce the drawdown in this area during the final scenario simulation. The development of the drawdown cone over time is shown for the end of year 2, year 2.5 (after the 6 month rest period) and year 4.5 (at the end of the stress period) in Appendix J.







Figure 35: Water level drawdown (m) for users' boreholes

6.4.5.1 Impacts

The extent of the cone of depression exceeds the 10 km radius area considered for well-field development in the first year of the simulation, similar to Scenario 4. Although the cone of depression also extends beyond the river in the first year of the simulation, it is much less pronounced than in Scenario 4 and is also buffered by inflows from the river.

After the first 2 years high abstraction the drawdown impact outside of the 10 km radius is extensive and reaches approximately 30 m close to the no-flow boundary in the northwest. The maximum drawdown reached in the aquifer surrounding the abstraction boreholes is 81 m. After the 6 month recovery period the maximum drawdown impact is reduced to only 61 m, although the extent of the cone of depression stays the same. At the end of 4.5 years the drawdown impact reaches approximately 50 m close to the no-flow boundary in the northwest and the maximum drawdown is 120 m. The aquifer

Even though abstraction rates have been lowered for H21-0666 and surrounding boreholes, the water level drawdown in H21-0666 nears the position of the drawdown

limit in the 3rd year of the simulation. It is likely that this abstraction rate is not sustainable for the entire shortfall period, unless water can be recharged at a higher rate to account for the loss in storage as a result of the first 2 years high abstraction. The current abstraction boreholes are not nearing steady state conditions.

The water level drawdown in Scenario 5 is much less pronounced than in Scenario 4 for the water users' boreholes. This is mainly due to the buffering effect of the river since no abstraction boreholes are located east of the river, where most of the users' boreholes are situated. The boreholes water levels are impacted 3 - 10m at the end of the 4.5 years. WAT01 however, is highly impacted and is to be expected since this borehole is in very close to the other high yielding boreholes of the potential well-field.

6.4.6 Comparison

Table 11 below shows the water balance information for the abstraction boreholes from the various scenarios, as well as the expected Fluoride (F) value as a result of the blended groundwater from the different boreholes.

Drill Site ID	Scenario 1 (m ³ /d)	Scenario 2 (m ³ /d)	Scenario 3 (m ³ /d)	Scenario 4 (m ³ /d)	Scenario 5 (m ³ /d)
H21-0637		345.6	345.6	345.6	345.6
H21-0638					
H21-0663		259.2	259.2	259.2	259.2
H21-0665		1209.6	1209.6	1209.6	1209.6
H21-0700	777.6	777.6	777.6	777.6	777.6
H21-0666		259.2	259.2	259.2	172.8
H21-0712		172.8	172.8	172.8	172.8
H21-0671		777.6	777.6	777.6	777.6
H21-0702		172.8	172.8	172.8	172.8
Undeveloped			8208	17280	16416
F (blended)	11 mg/l	9 mg/l	9 mg/l	9 mg/l	9 mg/l/
TOTAL VOL (m ³ /d)	778	3974	12182	21254	20304
TOTAL VOL (Mm ³ /a)	0.28	1.43	4.39	7.65	7.31

Table 11: Water balance and expected F (mg/l) values for different scenarios

Table 12 shows the expected inflow from the Mokolo river, if a direct connection exist south of the Eenzaamheid Fault Structure and the river stays at a constant head. If surface flow in the river is reduced, it will result in a reduction of inflow from the river to the groundwater system.

These flow values are approximations at best since the alluvial aquifer was not included in the modelling and no calibration could be done on the interaction between the alluvial and deep aquifers. However, these flow values are expected to be worst case scenarios since a direct interaction was assumed.

	Scenario 1 (m ³ /d)	Scenario 2 (m ³ /d)	Scenario 3 (m ³ /d)	Scenario 4 (m ³ /d)	Scenario 5 (m ³ /d)
Evaluation time	10a	10a	10a	2a	4.5a
Volume of inflow from river (m ³ /d)	456	2298	4952	4495	4863
% of groundwater abstraction from Mokolo river	59	58	41	21	24

Table 12: Mokolo river contribution to groundwater abstraction for different scenarios

It is clear that when developing the groundwater system at Lephalale some inflow from the Mokolo River can be expected and the resultant impact on alluvial groundwater users will be a reduction in flow in the river if it cannot be supplemented by upstream releases. However, Scenarios 4 and 5 yields the best impact-to-benefit ratio, when comparing the volume gained from the groundwater system to the expected impact on the Mokolo River.

7 CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions are based on the groundwater exploration, testing and numerical modelling of the Waterberg and alluvial aquifers at Lephalale:

- Higher yields were drilled than initially expected from background data.
- Test data indicate a confined and semi-confined deep aquifer linked with fracturing, horizontal bedding plains and alluvial deposits associated with the Waterberg Group.
- The Waterberg aquifer can support the augmentation scheme of 3 Mm³/a and the information shows that:
 - Three exploration boreholes can be drilled and one may result in a production borehole of 6 L/s on average;
 - \circ 7 production boreholes can supply 1 Mm³/a;
 - The current exploration boreholes can access 1.4 Mm³/a groundwater from the Waterberg aquifer.
 - The augmentation of an additional 1.6 Mm³/a requires 12 production and at least 36 exploration boreholes;
 - Each production borehole should be placed at least 2 km apart; the ideal situation requiring an area of at least 100 km² well-field;
 - Water quality constraints include high concentrations of NaCl and F no treatment is required for industrial use; however, treatment or blending is required for domestic use; and
 - The alluvial groundwater users north of the Eenzaamheid Fault will be impacted upon by abstraction from the Waterberg with reduction in surface water flow, if this reduction cannot be supplemented by upstream releases from storage.
 - Continuous development of this aquifer, combined with artificial recharge can increase the capacity further, since artificial recharge can restore groundwater levels and thereby reduce impacts to the river system.

- The evaluation done on the alluvial aquifer was not sufficient since only three boreholes were drilled dedicated to the alluvium.
- The alluvial aquifer potentially shows 31 Mm³ of water in storage and accessing this resource can increase the yield of the system greatly. For every 1 m drop allowed in the alluvial aquifer 3.8 Mm³ of water can be released.
- Artificial recharge looks promising from the aquifer properties, but all the aquifers at Lephalale should be developed and storage created by abstraction from them for any AR scheme to become useful.

8 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The following recommendations are based on the groundwater exploration, testing and numerical modelling of the Waterberg and alluvial aquifers at Lephalale:

- Lephalale Local Municipality can start abstracting from borehole H21-0700 at 9 L/s (23 000 m³/m) for a 24 hour pumping schedule or 16 L/s (14 000 m³/m) for an 8 hour pumping schedule.
- Water quality constraints for this borehole include very high Fluoride and only elevated levels of Sodium-Chloride.
- The exploration boreholes, with the exception of H21-0638, listed in Table 9 can be used as production boreholes for the given yields.
- Groundwater monitoring should continue for both the data loggers and water quality to determine changes induces by the abstraction of the newly drilled exploration boreholes.
- Groundwater resource development (drilling and testing) of Scenario 3 will take approximately 9 months to complete.
- Groundwater resource development (drilling and testing) of Scenario 4 or 5 (Scenario 3 not developed) will take 9 – 18 months to complete, depending on availability of contractors.
- Artificial recharge options should be evaluated for implementation and subsequent optimisation of the water use at Lephalale.

9 REFERENCES

Botha, F.S. (2006). Desktop hydrogeology assessment and aquifer recharge potential at Lephalale Local Municipality. Unpublished report by DWAF.

Johnson, M.R., Anhauesser, C.R. and Thomas, R.J. (2006). The Geology of South Africa. Geological Society of South Africa, Johannesburg, and the Council for Geoscience, Pretoria.

Murray, R., Tredoux, G., Ravenscroft, P and Botha, F. (2007). Artificial Recharge Strategy: Version 1.3. The Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria.

Titus, R. & Rossouw, T. (2008). Groundwater reserve determination study for Mokolo (A42) catchment. Water Geosciences Consulting, Pretoria.

WSM. (1999). Alluvial aquifer characterisation for the Limpopo hydrological model.WSM Civil Engineers, Polokwane.

Appendix A: Lephalale Project Maps

Plan 1 – Locality map

Plan 2 – Hydrogeology with hydrocensus boreholes and geological structures.

Plan 3 – Astersat image showing possible dykes (green), faults and contacts in red. Also show positions on geophysical profiles and drilled targets with target ID lables.

Plan 4 – Topo-cadastral background with exploration boreholes and hydrocensus boreholes close to town.

Plan 5 – Water level elevation contours showing exploration borehole water level elevations.

Plan 6: Aquifer units based on geology, structural controls and water levels.

Plan 7: Monitoring network at Lephalale - water quality and water levels.

Plan 8: Fluoride (mg/l) distribution at Lephalale.

Plan 9: Electrical Conductivity (mg/l) distribution at Lephalale.

Plan 10 – Lephalale Groundwater Model – numerical model boundary, abstraction and observation boreholes for Scenarios 1 - 4 on the hydrogeology background.

Plan 11 – Lephalale Groundwater Model: Scenario 5.

Appendix B: Desktop Study Maps



MAP 1.1: Existing data on the NGDB



MAP 1.2: Harvest Potential



MAP 1.3: Exploitation Potential



MAP 1.4: Water levels (mbgl)



MAP 1.5: Discharge rates



MAP 1.6: Electrical conductivity



MAP 1.7: Nitrate occurrence

Appendix C: Geophysical Profiles



Resistivity imaging section Eras-1, Ellisras. April 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-2, Ellisras. April 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-3, Ellisras. April 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-4, Ellisras. April 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-5, Ellisras. April 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-6, Ellisras. April 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-6(extended), Ellisras. May 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-7, Ellisras. April 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-8, Ellisras. April 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-9, Ellisras. April 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-10, Ellisras. April 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-11, Ellisras. April 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-11(extended), Ellisras. May 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-12, Ellisras. April 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-13, Ellisras. April 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-13(extended), Ellisras. May 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-14, Ellisras. November 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-15, Ellisras. November 2008.





Resistivity imaging section Eras-16, Ellisras. November 2008.




Resistivity imaging section Eras-17, Ellisras. December 2008.





Appendix D: Drilling Logs





























































Appendix E: Management Reports

MANAGEM	IENT REG	COMME	NDA	TIONS	;					D	ate com	piled: 20	10/01/18					
BASIC SITI Distr./Farm No	E INFORI 0.: LI	MATION PLQ502	: S Site I	ite Iden Name∕D	tifier: 2327 escr.: WAT	7DAV0016 FERKLOOF	<i>Number:</i> PTN. ELL	H21-0	637	Site	type: Bor	rehole						
Latitude [°]:	23	.686720	Alt. N	0.1:		Dia	am. [mm]:			165	Water lev.	[m]:	3.19					
Longitude []:	27	.726860	Alt. N	o. 2:		De	pth [m]:		21	0.00	WL status	: St	atic					
Altitude [m]:		806.00	Rep. i	inst.: DWAF			Col. ht. [m]:		0.55		Date WL n	090317						
Coordinate System:	Geographic De	cimal Degrees	s (Longit	ude/Latitud	de), WGS 1984													
EXISTING I	EQUIPME	INT:						US	E AP	PLICA	TION:							
Pump: Type of Inst.: No equipment Pullev Diam. Imm1:									Site Status: Unused									
Manufacturer: Depth to Intk. [m]:									Purpose: Exploration									
Engine:							- - - Coi	Consumer: Urban										
Manufacturer Type of Powe	<i>:</i> <i>r:</i> No Eq	uipment		F	Power Ratir Pulley Diam	ng [kW] n. [mm]:		Ар	Application: Domestic - all purposes									
WATER CH	IEMISTR	Y:																
Sample No.:	2008/CS09	Date s	sample	ed: 20	080902	Depth	sampl. [m]	: 0		0	Comment:	HIGH F	& CADMIUM					
Main Paramet	ers:						c	alculat	ed Par	ameters	s: Ba	acteriol. P	arameters:					
pH:	8.16	Na:		395.28 [.]	† <i>CI:</i>	28	39.9† L	angel.:		-0.3	30 E .	Coli:						
EC: [mS/m]	176.1 †	К:		7.15	NO3 as	s N: 0.	6 A	ggr-Ind	1:	11.	83 F a	ec. co:						
TDS:	846 †	Si:			SO4:	13	32.1 I	on-bal:		13.	33 ‡ To	otal Co:						
T. Alk.:	130	AI:		0.2 †	F:	6.	87 ‡ C	CaCO3:		42.0	08 S F	PC:						
Ca:	14.26	Fe:		0.05	Concentra	ations in [mg/l]	; Bact. param.	in counts/	100ml; C	hemistry St	andard: SABS	6 for human co	onsumption					
Mg:	1.58	Mn:		0.07 †	! Value ex	ceeds recomr	mended minimu	ım limit i	Value exe	ceeds minir	nim allowable	limit						
AQUIFER I	NFORMA	TION:																
Depth to Top	[m] Dep	th to Bot.	[m]	Yield	[[/s] M	ethod mea	is.	Aqu	ifer ty	pe	С	omment						
127.00		127.01		2	.00 No	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Frac	tured		TI	ESTED						
134.00		134.01		5	.00 No	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Frac	tured		TE	ESTED						
202.00		202.01		8	.00 No	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Frac	tured		11	ESTED						
CASING DI	ETAILS: [m] to Bot	D [m] [n	iam. nm]	Materia	al	Thickn. [mm]	Type of o	pening	s	Ope	nings [mn Length W	n]: Ho 'idth Dis	r. Vert. st. Dist.					
0.00	12	.00	165	Steel		4	Plain casiı	ng										
12.00	72	.00	165	Steel		4	Perforated	l or slott	ed									
TESTING D	ETAILS:		,	Durat.	Depth to	Disch.	Drawd.	Б	ecove	rv								
Description		Date		[min]	intk. [m]	rate[l/s]	[m]	[m]	%	[min]	T [m²/d]	Storage	Comment					
MULTI STEP 1	1	20080	812	120	119.50	5.06	43.55											
MULTISTEP 2	2	20080	812	30	119.50	7.40 5.75	116.89	0.22	100	1000	10	0.00170						
CONSTANT		20080	315	2000 1440	184.50	8.32	103.91	0.33	100	720	8	0.00179						
CONSTANT		20090	317	1325	184.50	9.23	181.11	0.57	100	720	6.6	0.00174						
SPECIFIC CA	PACITY	20090	319	720	0		129.15						0.0666					
SPECIFIC CAI	PACITY	20090	319	1325	0		181.11						0.0475					
RECOMME	NDATIO	NS: De	pth			Duty cyc.	Disch. ra	te			Ľ)yn. water	Crit. water					
Prior. Rec. e	equipm.	to intk.	. [m]	Туре о	f power	[hrs]	[l/s]	Wate	er qua	lity		level [m]	level [m]					
1		12	20.00			24	5.00) CLA	SS 4				127.00					
Note: water l	evel measu	red 11.08.2	2008 b	etween	13h00 & 16	6h00 - 1.21	m											
1		13	30.00			24	4.00)					127.00					
							VS		N F T E	/SA Leb P.O. Box Tel: 082 (Fax: 086 E-mail: vs	oa Consult 222, Piete 315 2977/0 685 7724 / saleboanel	ing (Pty) L rsburg, 07 82 315 29 / 078 890 2 s@mweb.	td. 00 78 2585 co.za					

Page 1

MANAGEM	IENT RE	COMME	ENDA	TIONS	;					[Date co	ompi	led: 2	010/0	1/18	
BASIC SITE Distr./Farm No	EINFOR	MATION PLQ502	N: Site	Site Iden Name/D	<i>tifier:</i> 2327 e scr.: WAT	7DAV0019 FERKLOOF	Number: 1 PTN. ELLIS	H21-0 RAS	638	Site	e type:	Borel	nole			
Latitude [°]:	23	3.687130	Alt. I	Vo. 1:		Dia	nm. [mm]:		-	165	Water	lev. [r	n]:	-0	.11000	
Longitude [°]:	27	7.724720	Alt. I	Vo. 2:		De	pth [m]:		180	.00	WL sta	tus:	S	Static		
Altitude [m]:		807.00	Rep.	inst.: D	WAF	Co	l. ht. [m]:		0	.46	Date V	VL me	as.: 2	00808	01	
Coordinate System:	Geographic De	ecimal Degre	es (Long	itude/Latitud	le), WGS 1984											
EXISTING E	EQUIPMI	ENT:						US	SE APF	PLIC	ATION	l:				
Pump: Type of Inst.: No equipment					Pulley Diam. [mm]:					Site Status: Unused						
Manufacturer:	;			Depth to Intk. [m]:					Purpose: Exploration							
Engine:								Col	nsumer	: Url	ban					
Manufacturer: Type of Powe		Power Rating [kW] Pulley Diam. [mm]:					Application: Domestic - all purposes									
WATER CH	IEMISTR	Y:														
Sample No.:	H21-0638	Date	samp	<i>led:</i> 20	808080	Depth :	sampl. [m]:	0			Comme	ent:				
Main Paramete	ers:						Ca	lculat	ed Para	meter	s:	Bac	teriol.	Param	eters:	
pH:	8.07	Na:		407.52 :	‡ <i>CI:</i>	30	4† La	ngel.:		-0.	16	E.Co	oli:			
EC: [mS/m]	168.3 †	К:		8.31	NO3 as	s N: 0.6	6 Ag	gr-Ind	d:	11	.97	Fae	c. co:			
TDS:	982 †	Si:			SO4:	14	0.9 lor	n-bal:		10	.35 ‡	Tota	l Co:			
T. Alk.:	181	AI:		0.2 †	F:	5.8	85 ‡ Ca	CO3:		55	.80	SPC	:			
Ca:	17.65	Fe:		0.03	Concentra † Value e	ations in [mg/l]; xceeds recomr	Bact. param. in mended maximu	counts/ m limit	100ml; Cho ± Value ex	emistry S ceeds m	Standard: S aximum al	SABS fo	r human limit	consump	tion	
Mg:	2.86	Mn:		0.07 †	! Value ex	ceeds recomm	nended minimum	limit i	Value exce	eds min	imim allow	able lim	iit			
AQUIFER II	NFORM/	ATION:														
Depth to Top	[m] Dep	oth to Bot	t. [m]	Yield	[[/s] M	ethod mea	s.	Aqu	lifer typ	e		Con	nment			
18.00		18.01		0	.50 No	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Frac	ctured			TES	TED			
130.00		130.01		10	0.00 No	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Frac	ctured			TES	TED			
144.00 144.01			11	.00 No	otch (V- or	Fractured			TESTED							
148.00		148.01		21	.00 No	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Frac	ctured			TES	TED			
CASING DE	ETAILS:	I	Diam.			Thickn.				Ор	enings	[mm].	: н	or.	Vert.	
Depth to Top	[m] to Bo	t. [m] [[mm]	Materia	al	[mm]	Type of op	ening	S		Length	Wid	th D	ist.	Dist.	
0.00	12	2.00	250	Steel		6	Plain casing)	to al							
		.00	100	Steel		4	Periorated	or sloti	lea							
Description		Date		Durat. [min]	Depth to intk. [m]	Disch. rate[l/s]	Drawd. [m]	F. [m]	Recover %	y [min]	T [m	²∕ d] \$	Storage	e Con	nment	
MULTI STEP 1		2008	0801	120	119.50	5.09	13.21									
MULTI STEP 2	2	2008	0801	120	119.50	10.05	38.85									
MULTI STEP 3	3	2008	0801	40	119.50	18.88	119.50	0.17	100	150				_		
		2008	0801	4320	119.50	11.18	71.57	0.38	99	2640		13 (0.0019	3	20	
		2008	0805 0805	1440	0		62.09							0.18	38 17	
	PACITY	2008	0805	2880	0		66 87							0.17	+ <i>1</i> 72	
SPECIFIC CAP	PACITY	2008	0805	4320	0		71.57							0.15	62	
RECOMME		NS: n	enth			Duty eve	Disch rate					Dve	n wate	r Cri	wate	
Prior. Rec. e	equipm.	to int	k. [m]	Туре о	f power	[hrs]	[l/s]	Wat	er quali	ity		le	vel [m	leve	el [m]	
1			84 00			24	8 50	CI A	SS 4						130.00	
Note			J-T.UU			24	0.00	JLA								
									V: P. Te Fa	SA Let O. Box el: 082 ax: 086	ooa Con < 222, P 315 29 685 77	isulting lieters 77/082 24 / 0	g (Pty) burg, 0 2 315 2 78 890	Ltd. 700 978 2585		

Page 1
MANAGEM	ENT RE	COMM	ENDA	TIONS	5						Da	ate com	piled:	201	0/01/	18
BASIC SITE		RMATIO	N: :	Site Iden	ntifier: 232	27DAV0	015 I	Number:	121-066	3	Site t	t ype: Bo	rehole			
Distr./Farm No	o.: I	LPLQ502	Site	Name/D	escr.: WA	ATERKL	OOF I	PTN. ELLIS	RAS							
Latitude [୩:	2	23.687470	Alt. I	Vo. 1:			Diar	n. [mm]:		1	65 V	Vater lev.	[m]:			6.16
Lonaitude []:	2	27.723000	Alt. I	No. 2:			Dep	th [m]:		276.	.00 1	VL status		Sta	tic	
Altitude [m]:	-	805.00	Ren	inst · D			Col	ht [m]·			47 Г)ato WI u	noac ·	200	190807	,
Coordinate System: (Geographic [Decimal Degre		itude/Latitur	de) WGS 19	84	001.	<i></i>		0.			neus	200	00007	
EXISTING E		IENT:	Long	ilude/Latitu	de), wao 13				USE	APP	PLICA	TION:				
Type of Inst.:	No e	quipment		F	Pulley Dia	m. [mmj	:		Site S	tatus	: Unus	sed				
Manufacturer:				Ľ	Depth to li	ntk. [m]:	7		Purpo	ose:	Expl	oration				
_Engine:									Consi	umer:	Non	-urban				
Manufacturer:				F	Power Rat	ting [kW	1		001130							
Type of Power	r: No E	quipment		F	Pulley Dia	m. [mm]	:		Applic	cation	1: Dom	iestic - all	purpos	ses		
WATER CH	EMIST	RY:														
Sample No.:	H21-0663	Date	samp	<i>led:</i> 20	080814	De	pth s	ampl. [m]:	0		С	omment				
Main Paramete	ers:							Ca	Iculated	Para	meters	: В	acterio	l. Pa	ramet	ers:
рН:	8.01	Na:		375.85	† <i>CI</i> :		287	.2† Ia	nael.:		-0.26	6 F	.Coli:			
FC: [mS/m]	168 7 +	K.		7.06	NO2	as N·	0.6	ι Lα Δα	ar-Ind		11 8	7 F	aec co			
	070 +	к. сі.		7.00	604	us IV.	1/5	7 10	yi-ina.		0.40	+ 7	atol Co			
τ ΔΙΓ·	3/0 (156	ЭI. ЛІ,		0 0 ±	504: E.		7 0	IOI 4 +	-vai.		5.40		51ai 60 00.			
т. <u>А</u> ік.:	10.00	AI:		U.∠ [г:		1.24	++ Ca	003:		59.7	, 3	-0:			
Ca:	18.63	Fe:		0.06	Concen † Value	trations in [e exceeds re	mg/l]; E	Bact. param. in ended maximu	counts/100 m limit + Va	ml; Che alue exc	emistry Sta ceeds max	ndard: SABS	S for hum Ible limit	an con	sumptio	1
Mg:	3.23	wn:		0.06 T	! Value	exceeds re	comme	ended minimum	ı limit _i Valı	ue exce	eds minim	im allowable	limit			
AQUIFER IN Depth to Top	NFORM [m] De	ATION:	t. [m]	Yield	l[l/s]	Method	meas	2	Aquife	er type	е	С	omme	nt		
									— .			-				
94.00		94.01		1	00.1	Notch (V	- or U	-notch)	Fractu	red		Т	ESTED)		
128.00		128.01		1	.50 [Notch (V	- or U	-notch)	Fractu	red		1 	ESTEL)		
239.00		239.01		ð	5.00 ľ	Notch (V	- or U	-notcn)	Fractu	rea		1	ESIEL)		
CASING DE	TAILS:		Diam.			Thick	kn.				Oper	nings [mi	n]:	Hor	V	ert.
Depth to Top [[m] to Bo	ot. [m]	[mm]	Materia	al	[mm	j i	Type of op	enings		L	ength V	/idth	Disi	r. L	ist.
0.00	1	2.00	165	Steel		4	F	Plain casing	J							
0.00	1	2.00	250	Steel		6	F	Plain casing	J							
12.00	7	2.00	165	Steel		4	F	Perforated of	or slotted							
TESTING D	ETAILS	6:		Durat.	Depth to	Disc	h.	Drawd.	Rec	over	v					
Description		Date	•	[min]	intk. [m]	rate[I/s]	[m]	[m]	%	, [min]	T [m⅔d]	Stora	age	Comn	ent
MULTI STEP 1		2008	0807	120	119.50		5.07	44.91								
MULTI STEP 2		2008	0807	120	119.50		9.80	116.81	0.63	99	120					
CONSTANT		2008	0807	2880	119.50	l t	o.61	73.28	0.61	99	2160	5.2	0.00	184		
SPECIFIC CAP	ACITY	2008	0810	720	0	1		72.71						().0772	
SPECIFIC CAP	ACITY	2008	0810	1440	0			/2.85						(J.0770	
SPECIFIC CAP	ACITY	2008	0810	2880	0			/3.28						(J.U/66	
RECOMME	NDATIC	DNS: D to int	epth k. [m]	Туре с	of power	Duty o [hrs	ус.]	Disch. rate [l/s]	Water	qualit	ty	L	Dyn. wa level	ater [m]	Crit. Ievel	vater [m]
									0. 1.5							
1 Note: Water le	evel meas	sured 11.08	120.00 8.2008	betwee	n13h00 &	2 16h00 -	.4 1.45n	3.00 n (fallen in 1	CLASS o depth	o 4 of 14n	n)				23	9.00
							2.1	, <u>-</u> ,	- 1		,					
2			120.00				8	6.00	CLASS	64					23	9.00
Page 1								S	Ŋ	VS P.(Te Fa E-I	SA Lebo O. Box 2 el: 082 3 ax: 086 6 mail: vsa	a Consul 222, Piete 15 2977/(385 7724 aleboane	ting (Pt ersburg)82 315 / 078 8 Is@mw	y) Lto , 070 5 297 90 25 veb.co	d. 0 8 585 5.za	

BASIC SITE INFORMATION: Site Identifier: 2327DAV0018 Number: H21-064 Site type: Bott: Datr./Farm No: IPL0502 Site Name/Descr.: WATERKLOOP PTN. ELLISRAS Latitude [1]: 23.5087570 Alt. No. 2: Bott. No. 2: Bott. Imm?: 165 Water lev. [m]: 3.06 Latitude [1]: 20.500 Rep. Inst:: DWAF Desth [m]: 28.00 Water lev. [m]: 3.06 Constraint System: Bogen [m]: 0.21 Diam. [mm]: Diam. [mm]: Diam. [m]:	MANAGEMEI	NT RECOMMI	ENDATIONS				Date cor	npiled: 2	2010	/01/18
Distr./Farm No.: LPLQ502 Site Name/Descr.: WATERKLOOF PTN. ELLISRAS Latitude [r]: 23.687570 Alt. No. 1: Alt. No. 1: Alt. No. 1: Alt. No. 1: Datin [rmn]: 165 Water Lev. [m]: 3.06 Altitude [r]: 23.687570 Alt. No. 1: Alt. No. 2: Datin [rmn]: 286.00 Date WL status: Statu: Condentate System: Georginude Latitude, WCS 1984 Date WL isolatics: 0.21 Date WL isolatics: 20090122 Condentate System: Georginude Latitude, WCS 1984 USE APPLICATION: Status: Date WL isolatics: 20090122 Engine: Power Rating [kW] power: Depth to Intk. [m]: Date WL isolatics: Dommertics Purpose: Production (water supply) Type of Power: No Equipment Pulley Diam. [rmn]: Date Sample Mo: Depth sampl. [m]: Continent: Application: Domestic - all purposes Pire: Na: Cl: Langel.: E Coli: E Coli: Eggin Mo.: Date sampled: Pire: Carculated Parameters: Bacteriol. Parameters: Si: SO4: Langel.: E Coli: E Coli:	BASIC SITE I	NFORMATIO	N: Site Identifier:	2327DAV0018	Number:	H21-0664 S	ite type: B	orehole		
Latitude (7): 23.687570 Longitude (7): Alt. No. 1: Longitude (7): Alt. No. 2: (Alt. No. 2: (Rep. inst.: DWAF Diam. [mm]: 165 Depth [m]: Water lev. (m): 3.06 WL status: Attitude [m]: 605.00 (Rep. inst.: No equipment Degrees (Longitude Latitude), W03 1981 Diam. [mm]: Depth [m]: 0.21 Water lev. (m): 3.06 WL status: EXISTING EQUIPMENT: Pump: Type of Inst.: No equipment Pulley Diam. [mm]: Depth to Initk. [m]: USE APPLICATION: Engine: Power Rating [kW] Manutacturer: Power No Equipment Power Rating [kW] Pulley Diam. [mm]: Ste Status: Unused Purpose: Production (water supply) Consumer: No Equipment Pulley Diam. [mm]: Ste Status: Unused Purpose: WATER CHEMISTRY: Sample No: Date sampled: Depth sampl. [m]: Comment: Sample No: Date sampled: Depth sampl. [m]: Comment: Main Parameters: Calculated Parameters: Bacteriol. Parameters: ph: Na: CI: Langel.: E.Coll: Calculated Parameters: Galculated Parameters: Bacteriol. Parameters: Comment: ph: Na: Sit: SO4: lon-bal: Total Co: I. Alk: A: F:	Distr./Farm No.:	LPLQ502	Site Name/Descr.:	WATERKLOO	F PTN. ELLIS	SRAS				
Longitude [1]: 27.722620 Atit. No. 2: Att. No. 2: Depth [m]: 288.00 Co. ht. [m]: WL status: Static Date WL meas.: 20090122 Conditional System: Geographic Decimal Degrees (Longitudet attitude), WGS 1984 USE APPLICATION: Depth [m]: 0.21 WL status: Static Date WL meas.: 20090122 Experime: Pump: Pump: Depth for Inth. [m]: 0.21 WL status: Static Pump: Type of Inst: No equipment Pulley Diam. [mm]: USE APPLICATION: Static Depth for Inth. [m]: Static Depth for Inth. [m]: Consumer: Urb and Pump: Type of Power: No Equipment Pulley Diam. [mm]: Depth sampl. [m]: Consumer: Urb and Maintacturer: Deth Sample No: Deth sample [m]: Consumer: Consumer: Ecoli: Sample No: Date sampled: Depth sampl. [m]: Consumer: Ecoli: Ecoli: Sit: Sit: SO4: Langel.: Ecoli: Ecoli: Ecoli: Co: Fe: Concontraines in (mgth; Bact, park, incounts) foom: Tohenistry Standed: SAB's for human consumption 1: Valae exceed: nommenname andevale ind	Latitude []:	23.687570	Alt. No. 1:	Di	am. [mm]:	165	Water le	v. [m]:		3.06
Attitude [m]: 805.00 Rep. inst.: DWAF Col. ht. [m]: 0.21 Date WL meas.: 20090122 Coordinate System: Geographic Decimal Degrees (LongitudeLitativade), WGS 1984 USE APPLICATION: Site Status: Unused EXISTING EQUIPMENT: Pulley Diam. [mm]: Site Status: Unused Engine: Depth to bntk. [m]: Site Status: Unused Engine: Power: No Equipment Pulley Diam. [mm]: Site Status: Unused Type of Power: No Equipment Pulley Diam. [mm]: Site Status: Unused WATER CHEMISTRY: Sample No: Dest sampled: Depth sampl. [m]: Consumer: Bacteriol. Parameters: Sample No: Date sampled: Cl: Langel.: EColl: Cal: Si: SO3 as N: Aggr-Ind: Face. co: TDS: Si: SO4: Ion-bal: Total Co: T, Alk.: Al: F: Calcoseds mommended maximulting in the doweds int Aguifert NFORMATION: Value acceeds mommended maximulting in dowadb int Value acceeds mommended maximulting into acceeds mommended intowating into acceeds mommended maximulting into acceeds mommended maximulting into acceeds mommended acceeds mommendedwasint	Longitude [°]:	27.722620	Alt. No. 2:	De	epth [m]:	288.00	WL statu	ıs:	Statio	;
Coordinate System: Geographic Decimal Degrees (LongitudeLatitude), WGS 1984 USE APPLICATION: Purpo:: Type of Inst.: No equipment Pulley Diam. [mm]: Sile Status: Unused Manufacturer: Depth to Intk. [m]: Sile Status: Unused Purpo::: Manufacturer: Power Rating [kW] Pulley Diam. [mm]: Sile Status: Unused Manufacturer: Power Rating [kW] Pulley Diam. [mm]: Consumer: Utban Manufacturer: Power Rating [kW] Pulley Diam. [mm]: Consumer: Utban Main Parameters: Calculated Parameters: Bacteriol. Parameters: E.Coli: EC: [mS/m] K: NO3 as N: Aggl:Ind:: Faec. co: TDS: Si: SO4: Ion-bal: Total Co: Ca: Fe: Concentrations in [mg]! Bact. param. incontent 100nt: Chemistry Status: SAS for human consumption 1 Value exceeds recommended minimum limit. Value exceeds monimum alwabe limit Maint: Value exceeds recommended minimum limit. Value exceeds monimum alwabe limit 1 Value exceeds recommended minimum limit. Value exceeds minimim alwabe limit	Altitude [m]:	805.00	Rep. inst.: DWAF	Co	ol. ht. [m]:	0.21	Date WL	meas.:	2009	0122
EXISTING EQUIPMENT: Pump: Pump: Status: USE APPLICATION: Pump: Type of Inst:: No equipment Pulley Diam. [mm]: Status:: Unused Engline: Depth to Intk. [m]: Depth to Intk. [m]: Status:: Unused Engline: Power Rating [kW] Pulley Diam. [mm]: Depth to Intk. [m]: Status:: Utaba Annutacturer: No Equipment Pulley Diam. [mm]: Depth to Intk. [m]: Consume:: Utaba WATER CHEMISTRY: Sample No:: Date sampled: Celulated Parameters:: Bacteriol. Parameters:: CE: Inst:: Na: CI: Langel.: E Coll: EC: [mS/M] K: NO3 as N: Agg/Ind: Face. co: TDS: SI: SO4: Ion-bal: Total Co: T, Alk.: Al: F: Caccostic recommended maximum limit: Value exceeds recommended maximum limit:	Coordinate System: Geo	graphic Decimal Degre	ees (Longitude/Latitude), WG	iS 1984		1				
-Fung: Manufacturer: Pulley Diam. [mm]: Depth to Intk. [m]: Site Status: Unused -Engine:	EXISTING EQ	UIPMENT:				USE APPLI	CATION:			
Manufacturer: Depth to Intk. [m]: Purpose: Production (water supply) -Engine:	Pump: Type of Inst.:	No equipment	Pullev	Diam. [mm]:		Site Status:	Unused			
Engine: Power Rating [kW] Manufacturer: Power Rating [kW] Type of Power: No Equipment Pulley Diam. [mm]: Application: Domestic - all purposes WATER CHEMISTRY: Sample No.: Date sampled: Consumer: Calculated Parameters: Bacteriol. Calculated Parameters: Bacteriol. E.Coll: EC: [mS/m] K: Ni: Cl: Langel.: E.Coll: EC: [mS/m] K: Ni: SQ: Si: SQ: Si: SQ: Man: F: CacCO3: SPC: Ca: Fe: Concentrations in [mg]! Bact, param. in counter/ 00m; Chemistry Standard: SAB for human consumption f Value exceeds recommended maintum limit 1 Value exceeds mainum allowable limit Mg: Mn: 1 Value exceeds recommended mainum limit 1 Value exceeds mainum allowable limit AQUIFER INFORMATION: Path to Top [m] Depth to Top [m] Depth to Bot. [m] Material Thickn. Langet Comment <th>Manufacturer:</th> <th></th> <th>Depth</th> <th>to Intk. [m]:</th> <th></th> <th>Purpose:</th> <th>Production (</th> <th>water sup</th> <th>oly)</th> <th></th>	Manufacturer:		Depth	to Intk. [m]:		Purpose:	Production (water sup	oly)	
Manufacture:: Power Rating [kW] Power Rating [kW] Type of Power: No Equipment Pulley Diam. [mm]: Application:: Date sampled: Pulley Diam. [mm]: Application:: Domestic - all purposes WATER CHEMISTRY: Sample No.: Date sampled:: Depth sampl. [m]: Comment: Main Parameters: Date sampled:: Calculated Parameters: Bacteriol. Parameters: pH: Na: Cl: Langel.: E.Coli: cS: Si: SO4: Langel.: E.Coli: TDS: Si: SO4: Ion-bal: Total Co: TAlk:: Al: F: CacCo3: SPC: Ca: Fe: Concentrations in [mgi]; Bact. param. in ounts'100m; Chemistry Standard: SABs for human consumption to Value exceeds momumi mint to Value exceeds maximum allowable limit Mg: Mn: t/Value exceeds momended minimum limit to Value exceeds maximum allowable limit AQUIFER INFORMATION: Concentrations in [mgi]; Bact. param. in ounts'100m; Chemistry Standard: SABs for human consumption to Value exceeds maximum allowable limit 216.00 216.01 1.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST CASING DETAILS: Diam. <th>Engine:</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Consumer:</th> <th>Urban</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Engine:					Consumer:	Urban			
Puney Drain: [mm]. VATER CHEMISTRY: Sample No.: Date sampled: Calculated Parameters: Bacteriol. Parameters: Princy Drain: [m]: Comment: Main Parameters: Na: Calculated Parameters: Bacteriol. Parameters: princy Drain: Consent: Calculated Parameters: Bacteriol. Parameters: princy Drain: NO3 as N: Langel.: E.Coli: Colspan="2">Concentrations in [mg]! Bact, param. in countrations in [mg]! Bact, param. in the second maximum allowable limit Aquifer type Comment: Concentrations in [mg]! Bact, param. in countrations in [mg]! Bact, param. in mathematic allowable limit Aquifer type Comment: 2	Manufacturer:	No Equipmont	Power	Rating [kW]		Application:	Domestic - a	all purpose	s	
WATER CHEMISTRY: Date sampled: Depth sampl. [m]: Comment: Sample No.: Date sampled: Depth sampl. [m]: Comment: Bacteriol. Parameters: pH: Na: Cl: Langel.: E.Coli: pC: Na: Cl: Langel.: E.Coli: EC: [mS/m] K: NO3 as N: Aggr-Ind: Faec. co: TDS: Si: SO4: Ion-bal: Total Co: T. Alk: Al: F: Commentations in [mg]: Eact param. in counter/00m: Chemistry Eardpard: SABS for human consumption 1 Mn: Yalue exceeds recommended maximum limit + Value exceeds maximum allowable limit Mg: Mn: Yalue exceeds recommended maximum limit + Value exceeds maximum allowable limit Mg: Mn: Yalue exceeds recommended maximum limit + Value exceeds maximum allowable limit 216.00 216.01 1.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST 250.00 250.01 3.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST CASING DETAILS: Diam. Imm! Material Thickn. Type of power	Type of Power:		Pulley	Diam. [mm]:				paipooe		
Sample No.: Date sampled: Depth sampl. [m]: Comment: Main Parameters: Na: Cl: Langel.: Bacteriol. Parameters: pH: Na: Cl: Langel.: E.Coll: EC: [mS/m] K: NO3 as N: Aggr-Ind: Faec. co: TDS: Si: SO4: Ion-bal: Total Co: T. Alk.: Al: F: Concentrations in [mg]; Bact: graam. in oouns! 00mit 00mit Obmitaty Standard: SABS for human consumption Mg: Mn: 1 Value exceeds recommended maximum limit. 1 Value exceeds maximum allowable limit. Mg: Mn: 1 Value exceeds recommended minimum limit. 1 Value exceeds minimum allowable limit. AQUIFER INFORMATION: Value exceeds recommended minimum limit. 1 Value exceeds minimum allowable limit. 216.00 216.01 1.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST 2216.00 250.01 3.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST CASING DETAILS: Diam. Material Thickn. Thickn. Openings Mpr. Usert. 0.00 6.00 177 Steel 6 Plain casing Usert.	WATER CHEI	MISTRY:								
Main Parameters: Cl: Langel.: E.Coli: pH: Na: Cl: Langel.: E.Coli: EC: [mS/m] K: NO3 as N: Aggr-Ind: Faec. co: TDS: Si: SO4: Ion-bal: Total Co: T. Alk.: Al: F: CaCO3: SPC: Cai: Fe: Concentrations in [mg/l]: Bact. param. in counts/100ml; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumption 1 Value exceeds recommended maximum allowable limit Value exceeds recommended maximum allowable limit Mg: Mn: Value exceeds recommended maximum allowable limit Value exceeds recommended maximum allowable limit AQUIFER INFORMATION: Value exceeds recommended maximum allowable limit Value exceeds recommended maximum allowable limit Path to Top [m] Depth to Bot. [m] Yield[I/s] Method meas. Aquifer type Comment 250.00 216.00 216.01 1.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST CASING DETAILS: Diam. [mm] Material Thickn. Type of poenings Openings [mm]: Mor. Vert. 0.00 6.00 177 Steel 6	Sample No.:	Date	e sampled:	Depth	sampl. [m]:		Commen	t:		
pH: Na: CI: Langel.: E.Coli: EC: [mS/m] K: NO3 as N: Aggr-Ind: Faec. co: TDS: Si: SO4: Ion-bal: Total Co: T. Alk.: Al: F: CaCO3: SPC: Ca: Fe: Concentrations in [mg/l]: Bact, param. in counts/100m; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumption 1, Value exceeds recommended maximum allowable limit Note: Value exceeds recommended maximum allowable limit Mg: Mn: Yield[I/s] Method meas. Aquifer type Comment AQUIFEE INFORMATION: Yield[I/s] Method meas. Aquifer type Comment 216.00 216.01 1.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST 250.00 250.01 3.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST CASING DETAILS: Diam. [mm] Material Inck. Prove of Plain casing Dyn. water Crit. water level measured 0.00 6.00 177 Steel 6 Plain casing Dyn. water Crit. water level [m]	Main Parameters	:			Ca	Iculated Paramet	ers: I	Bacteriol.	Para	meters:
EC: [mS/m] K: NO3 as N: Aggr-Ind: Faec. co: TDS: Si: SO4: Ion-bal: Total Co: T. Alk.: Al: F: CaCO3: SPC: Ca: Fe: Concentrations in [mgi]; Bact, param. in counts/100ml; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumption 1 Value exceeds recommended maimum limit, 1 Value exceeds maimum allowable limit Mg: Mn: Yield[l/s] Method measceeds recommended maimum limit, 1 Value exceeds maimum allowable limit Comment AQUIFER INFORMATION: Yield[l/s] Method measceeds recommended maimum limit, 1 Value exceeds maimum allowable limit Value exceeds recommended maimum limit, 1 Value exceeds maimum allowable limit 216.00 216.01 1.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST 2216.00 250.01 Joan Material Thickn. Openings [mm]: Hor. Vert. Depth to Top [m] to Bot. [m] [mm] Material [mm] Type of openings Openings [mm]: bist. Dist. 216.00 1.77 Steel 6 Plain casing Dyn. water Crit. water 0.00 6.00 177 Steel 6 Plain	pH:	Na:	C	1:	La	ngel.:		E.Coli:		
TDS: Si: SO4: Ion-bal: Total Co: T. Alk.: Al: F: CaCO3: SPC: Ca: Fe: Concentrations in [mgi]: Bact. param. in counts/100ml; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumption 1 Value exceeds recommended maximum limit 1 Value exceeds maximum allowable limit SPC: Mg: Mn: Yield[I/s] Method measure exceeds recommended maximum limit 1 Value exceeds maximum allowable limit Comment AQUIFER INFORMATION: Vield[I/s] Method measure Aquifer type Comment 216.00 216.01 1.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST 250.00 250.01 3.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST CASING DETAILS: Diam. Material Thickn. Immi Specific true Dyn. water Crit. water 0.00 6.00 177 Steel 6 Plain casing Water quality Dyn. water Crit. water 1 Note: Vater level measured 11.08.2008 between 13h00 & 1.49m; 24.04.2009 - 2.86 0 Dyn. water Crit. water 2 Dist. Type of power [Ins] [I/s] Water quali	EC: [mS/m]	К:	N	03 as N:	Ag	ıgr-Ind:		Faec. co:		
T. Alk.: Al: F: CaCO3: SPC: Ca: Fe: Concentrations in [mg/]; Bact, param. in counts/100ml; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumption 1 Value exceeds measimum allowable limit SPC: Mg: Mn: Vield[//s] Method maximum limit 1 Value exceeds minimum limit 1 Value exceeds minimum allowable limit AQUIFER INFORMATION: Vield[//s] Method meas. Aquifer type Comment 216.00 216.01 1.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST 250.00 250.01 Jago Material Thickn. Type of openings Openings [mm]: Hor. Vert. Depth to Top [m] to Bot. [m] Diam. Material Thickn. Type of openings Openings [mm]: Hor. Vert. 0.00 6.00 177 Steel 6 Plain casing RECOMMENDATIONS: Depth Type of power Lifts] Uty cyc. Dist. Dyn. water Crit. water 1 0 0 0 0 0 Note: Lifts] Uty cyc. Lifts] Water quality Dyn. water Crit. water Level [m] Level [m] </th <th>TDS:</th> <th>Si:</th> <th>S</th> <th>04:</th> <th>lor</th> <th>n-bal:</th> <th></th> <th>Total Co:</th> <th></th> <th></th>	TDS:	Si:	S	04:	lor	n-bal:		Total Co:		
Ca: Fe: Concentrations in [mg/l]: Bact, param, in counts/100ml; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumption † Value exceeds recommended maximum limit. ‡ Value exceeds maximum allowable limit Mg: Mn: Concentrations in [mg/l]: Bact, param, in counts/100ml; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumption † Value exceeds recommended maximum limit. ‡ Value exceeds maximum allowable limit AQUIFER INFORMATION: Vield[I/s] Method meas. Aquifer type Comment 216.00 216.01 1.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST 250.00 250.01 3.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST CASING DETAILS: Diam. Material Thickn. Type of openings Openings [mm]: Hor. Vert. 0.00 6.00 177 Steel 6 Plain casing RECOMMENDATIONS: Depth Type of power Dist. Dist. Dyn. water Crit. water 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 Note: Vatue exceeds minimum allowable limit Ivel [m] Ivel [T. Alk.:	AI:	F:	:	Ca	CO3:	:	SPC:		
Mg: Mn: I value exceeds recommended minimum limit Value exceeds minimim allowable limit AQUIFER INFORMATION: Vield[l/s] Method meas. Aquifer type Comment 216.00 216.01 1.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST 250.00 250.01 3.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST CASING DETAILS: Diam. Material Thickn. Type of openings Openings [mm]: Hor. Vert. Dist. 0.00 6.00 177 Steel 6 Plain casing Dyn. water Crit. water Prior. Rec. equipm. to intk. [m] Type of power [hs] Water quality Dyn. water Crit. water 1 0 0 0 0 Note Note Value exceeds recommended minimum limit Value exceeds minimim allowable limit To TEST	Ca:	Fe:	Cc + V	oncentrations in [mg/l]; Bact. param. in	counts/100ml; Chemisti	y Standard: SA	BS for humar	i consu	mption
AQUIFER INFORMATION: Depth to Top [m] Depth to Bot. [m] Yield[I/s] Method meas. Aquifer type Comment 216.00 216.01 1.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST 250.00 250.01 3.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST CASING DETAILS: Depth to Top [m] to Bot. [m] Diam. [mm] Material Thickn. [mm] Openings [mm]: Type of openings Hor. Vert. Dist. 0.00 6.00 177 Steel 6 Plain casing RECOMMENDATIONS: Prior. Rec. equipm. to intk. [m] Type of power Dist. rate [hrs] Dyn. water Crit. water level [m] 1 0 0 0 0 Note: Vater level measured 11.08.2008 between 13h00 & 16h00 - 1.49m; 24.04.2009 - 2.86	Mg:	Mn:	l v	alue exceeds recom	mended minimum	i limit ¡ Value exceeds r	ninimim allowab	ole limit		
Depth to Top [m] Depth to Bol. [m] Yield[I/s] Method meas. Aquifer type Comment 216.00 216.01 1.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST 250.00 250.01 3.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST CASING DETAILS: Diam. Material Thickn. Type of openings Openings [mm]: Hor. Vert. 0.00 6.00 177 Steel 6 Plain casing Vert. Dist. Dist. RECOMMENDATIONS: Depth to intk. [m] Type of power Dist. view Material Dist. view Dyn. water Crit. water 1 O 0 1 O 0 1 O 0 Note: Water level measured 11.08.2008 between 13h00 - 1.49m; 24.04.2009 - 2.86	AQUIFER INF	ORMATION:								
216.00 216.01 1.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST 250.00 250.01 3.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST CASING DETAILS: Diam. Material Thickn. Fractured Openings [mm]: Hor. Vert. 0.00 6.00 177 Steel 6 Plain casing Vert. Dist. Dist. Vert.	Depth to Top [m]	Depth to Bo	t. [m] Yield[l/s]	Method mea	as.	Aquifer type		Commen	t	
250.00 250.01 3.00 Notch (V- or U-notch) Fractured TO TEST CASING DETAILS: Depth to Top [m] to Bot. [m] Diam. [mm] Material Thickn. [mm] Type of openings Openings [mm]: Length Width Hor. Dist. Vert. Dist. 0.00 6.00 177 Steel 6 Plain casing Vert. Dist. Dist. <th>216.00</th> <th>216.01</th> <th>1.00</th> <th>Notch (V- or</th> <th>U-notch)</th> <th>Fractured</th> <th></th> <th>TO TEST</th> <th></th> <th></th>	216.00	216.01	1.00	Notch (V- or	U-notch)	Fractured		TO TEST		
CASING DETAILS: Diam. Diam. Thickn. Type of openings Openings [mm]: Hor. Vert. Dist. 0.00 6.00 177 Steel 6 Plain casing Vert. Dist. Dist. Dist. Dist. Vert. Vert. Vert. Vert.	250.00	250.01	3.00	Notch (V- or	U-notch)	Fractured		TO TEST		
0.00 6.00 177 Steel 6 Plain casing RECOMMENDATIONS: Depth Depth Type of power Duty cyc. Disch. rate [I/s] Dyn. water Crit. water Prior. Rec. equipm. to intk. [m] Type of power Disch. rate [I/s] Water quality Dyn. water Crit. water 1 0	CASING DET	AILS: 1 to Bot. [m]	Diam. [mm] Material	Thickn. [mm]	Type of op	C enings	Dpenings [n Length	nm]: I Width I	lor. Dist.	Vert. Dist.
RECOMMENDATIONS: Depth Duty cyc. Disch. rate Dyn. water Crit. water Prior. Rec. equipm. to intk. [m] Type of power [hrs] [l/s] Water quality level [m] level [m] level [m] 1 0 0 Note: Water level measured 11.08.2008 between 13h00 & 16h00 - 1.49m; 24.04.2009 - 2.86 Disch. rate Image: Comparison of the second s	0.00	6.00	177 Steel	6	Plain casing]				
Prior. Rec. equipm. to intk. [m] Type of power [hrs] [l/s] Water quality level [m] level [m] 1 0 0 Note: Water level measured 11.08.2008 between 13h00 & 16h00 - 1.49m; 24.04.2009 - 2.86	RECOMMEN	DATIONS:	Depth	Duty eve	Disch rate			Dvn. wat	er (rit water
1 0 0 <i>Note:</i> Water level measured 11.08.2008 between 13h00 & 16h00 - 1.49m; 24.04.2009 - 2.86	Prior. Rec. equ	ipm. to int	tk. [m] Type of pow	ver [hrs]	[l/s]	Water quality		level [n	n] le	evel [m]
<i>Note:</i> Water level measured 11.08.2008 between 13h00 & 16h00 - 1.49m; 24.04.2009 - 2.86	1			0	0					
	Note: Water leve	el measured 11.0	8.2008 between 13h0	0 & 16h00 - 1.4	9m; 24.04.20	09 - 2.86				



MANAGEMENT RE	COMMENDA	TIONS				Date	compiled: 20	10/01/18
BASIC SITE INFORI	MATION: Site	Site Identifier: 2 Name/Descr. : V	327DAV	0024 Number:	H21-0665	Site typ	e: Borehole	
Latitude [']: 23 Longitude [']: 27 Altitude [m]:	.687083 Alt. N .725056 Alt. N .805.00 Rep.	No. 1: No. 2: inst.: VSA		Diam. [mm]: Depth [m]: Col. ht. [m]:	218	165 <i>Wat</i> 3.70 <i>WL</i> 0.33 <i>Date</i>	ter lev. [m]: status: Sta e WL meas.: 200	1.11 atic 090128
Coordinate System: Geographic De EXISTING EQUIPME Pump: Type of Inst.: No equ	cimal Degrees (Longi ENT: uipment	itude/Latitude), WGS Pulley D	1984 iam. [mm	n]:	USE AP Site Status	PLICATIO	DN:	
<i>Engine:</i>	uipment	Power R Pulley D	ating [kV iam. [mm	,. V] ŋ]:	Purpose: Consume Applicatio	Explora r: Non-url n: Domes	ition ban tic - all purposes	
WATER CHEMISTR	Y: Date samp	led: 20090203	De	epth sampl. [m].	. 0	Com	nment:	
Main Parameters: pH: 8.18 EC: [mS/m] 174 † TDS: 1180 † T. Alk.: 164 Ca: 16.9 Mg: 2.31	Na: K: Si: Al: Fe: Mn:	367 † <i>CI:</i> 4.5 <i>NO</i> 8.64 <i>SO</i> <i>F:</i> 0.05 Conc 0.12 † ¹ Val	3 as N: 4: eentrations in lue exceeds ue exceeds r	C 361 † La 0.3 A 165 Io 9.44 ‡ C 1 [mg/l]; Bact. param. in recommended maxim recommended minimu	alculated Para angel.: ggr-Ind: on-bal: aCO3: n counts/100ml; Cf um limit ‡ Value ex m limit ‡ Value exc	ameters: -0.12 12.02 -0.88 51.67 memistry Standa xceeds maximu reeds minimin a	Bacteriol. Pa E.Coli: Faec. co: Total Co: SPC: rd: SABS for human co m allowable limit allowable limit	nrameters:
AQUIFER INFORMA	TION: th to Bot. [m]	Yield[l/s]	Method	l meas.	Aquifer ty	pe	Comment	
17.00 45.00 83.00 172.00	17.01 45.01 83.01 220.00	2.00 4.00 5.00 20.00	Notch (\ Notch (\ Notch (\ Notch (\	V- or U-notch) V- or U-notch) V- or U-notch) V- or U-notch)	Fractured Fractured Fractured Fractured		TESTED TESTED TESTED TESTED	
CASING DETAILS: Depth to Top [m] to Bot	Diam. . [m] [mm]	Material	Thic [mr	ckn. m] Type of op	penings	Openin Leng	gs [mm]: Hoi gth Width Dis	: Vert. t. Dist.
0.00 12 0.00 12 12.00 126	.00 165 .00 250 6.00 165	Steel Steel Steel	4 6 4	Plain casin Plain casin Perforated	g g or slotted			
TESTING DETAILS: Description	Date	Durat. Depth [min] intk. [I	to Disc n] rate	ch. Drawd. [[/s] [m]	Recove [m] %	ry [min] T	[m ² /d] Storage	Comment
CONSTANT SPECIFIC CAPACITY SPECIFIC CAPACITY SPECIFIC CAPACITY	20090215 20090225 20090225 20090225	8640 118.5 720 4320 8640	58 2 0 0 0	20.82 61.52 44.11 55.94 61.52	3.10 95	4320	17 0.00179	0.4559 0.3595 0.3269
RECOMMENDATIO	NS: Depth to intk. [m]	Type of power	Duty r [hrs	cyc. Disch. rat s] [l/s]	e Water qual	ity	Dyn. water level [m]	Crit. water level [m]
1 <i>Note:</i>	114.00			24 14.00	CLASS 4			172.00
2	114.00			8 24.00	CLASS 4			172.00
Page 1				VS		SA Leboa (.O. Box 222 el: 082 315 ax: 086 685 -mail: vsale	Consulting (Pty) Lt 2, Pietersburg, 070 2977/082 315 297 5 7724 / 078 890 2 boanels@mweb.c	d. 10 78 585 0.za

MANAGEM	IENT RI	ECOMME	INDA	TIONS	5					I	Date co	ompileo	d: 20	10/01/18
BASIC SITI	E INFOF	RMATION	N: 3	Site Ider	ntifier: 232	7DAV002	22 Numbe	er: ⊢	21-0666	Sit	e type:	Borehol	е	
Distr./Farm No	0.:	LPLQ502	Site	Name/D	escr.: WA	TERKLO	OF PTN. E	LLIS	RAS					
Latitude [°]:	2	23.682639	Alt. N	lo. 1:			Diam. [mm	ı]:	-	165	Water	lev. [m]:		33.31
Longitude []:		27.672917	Alt. N	Vo. 2:			Depth [m]:		216	.00	WL sta	tus:	Sta	atic
Altitude [m]:		854.00	Rep.	inst.: V	SA		Col. ht. [m]:	0	.36	Date W	L meas	.: 20	080816
Coordinate System:	Geographic	ر Decimal Degree	es (Long	itude/Latitu	de), WGS 1984	1								
EXISTING I	EQUIPN	IENT:							USE APP	PLIC	ATION	:		
Pump:	No e	quinment			Pullov Dian	n [mm]·			Site Status	: Ur	nused			
Manufacturer	:	quipinent		, L	Depth to Ini	tk. [m]:			Purposo:	Pr	oduction	(water c	unnly)
_Engine:					•				Concurrent	- N/		(water s	suppry)
Manufacturer	:			ŀ	Power Ratii	ng [kW]			Consumer	: 110	on-uroan			
Type of Powe	<i>r:</i> No E	Equipment		ŀ	Pulley Dian	n. [mm]:			Application	n: Do	omestic -	all purp	oses	
WATER CH	IEMIST	RY.												
		10 P ·		lad of	000000	-	4h a 1 -		0		0			
Sample No.:	2008/CS	10 Date	samp	<i>iea:</i> 20	080902	Dep	tn sampl. [mj:	U		Comme	ent: HIC	aH⊢ð	
Main Paramet	ers:							Cal	culated Para	amete	rs:	Bacter	iol. Pa	rameters:
pH:	7.42	Na:		654.65	‡ CI:		298.2 †	Lar	ngel.:	-0	.10	E.Coli:		
EC: [mS/m]	342 ‡	К:		20.85	NO3 as	s N:	0.6	Ag	gr-Ind:	12	2.09	Faec. o	:0:	
TDS:	1858 †	Si:			SO4:		247.1 †	lon	-bal:	33	8.91 ‡	Total C	<i>:</i> 0:	
T. Alk.:	220	AI:		0.2 †	F:		5.42 ‡	Cal	CO3:	40	1.92	SPC:		
Ca:	84.55	Fe:		0.01	Concentr † Value e	ations in [m	ig/l]; Bact. para ommended ma	ım. in o aximun	ounts/100ml; Cho limit ± Value ex	emistry ceeds n	Standard: S naximum all	ABS for hu	ıman co it	nsumption
Mg:	46.43	Mn:		0.01	! Value e	xceeds reco	ommended mir	nimum	limit ¡ Value exce	eeds mir	nimim allow	able limit		
AQUIFER I Depth to Top	NFORM [m] De	ATION: epth to Bot	. [m]	Yield	1[1/s] M	lethod m	ieas. or U-notch)		Aquifer typ	e		Comm	ent	
205.00		205.00		1	0.00 N	otch (V-	or U-notch)		Fractured			TESTE	D	
CASING DI	ETAILS	: .				Thiste	,					· · · · · ·	Ha	v Vort
Depth to Top	[m] to B	ot. [m] [imm]	Materi	al	[mm]	т. Туре о	f ope	nings	Op	Length	Width	Dis	t. Dist.
0.00	2	24.00	165	Steel		4	Plain ca	asing						
	ETAILS	S: Dete		Durat.	Depth to	Disch.	Draw	d.	Recover	y Imin1	Tim	2/d1 Cto		Commont
Description		Dale		[,,,,,,]	inter fing	rate[#	5] [111]		[111] /0	[,,,,,,]	, [,,,	/uj 010	raye	Comment
MULTI STEP 1	l	2008	0816	120	101.50	5.	10 23.5	53						
MULTI STEP 2	2	2008	0816	55	101.50	10.	02 66.4	9	1.55 98	180)	4	0401	
CONSTANT		2008	0816	2880	101.50	6.	54 46.4	1	4.98 90	1200) 11	.4 0.0	0191	0 1500
SPECIFIC CAI		2008	0820	1440	0		41.1	4						0.1590
SPECIFIC CA	PACITY	2008	0820	2880	0		46.4	1						0.1409
RECOMME					-									0.0
Prior Boo		to int	epth k [m]	Type	of nowor	Duty cy	c. Disch.	rate	Water avail	4.7		Dyn. I	Nater	Crit. water
	quipili.	10 111	n. [iii]	Type	power	[III'S]	[//S]		water quali	iy		leve	. [11]	iever [iii]
1		1	02.00			24	. 3	.00	CLASS 4					73.00
Note: Boreho	ole is obst	ructed at ±	102m.											
2		1	02.00			8	6	6.00	CLASS 4					73.00
Note:						-								



MANAGEM	ENT REC	COMME	ENDA	TIONS	;					Da	ate com	piled: 2	010/01/1	8
BASIC SITE Distr./Farm No	INFORM		N: Site	Site Ider Name/D	ntifier: 2327 escr.: ONV	7DAV0023 /ERWACH ⁻	<i>Number:</i> T PTN. ELLI	H21-0667 SRAS	7	Site t	t ype: Bor	rehole		
Latitude [°]:	23.	679389	Alt. N	lo. 1:		Dia	am. [mm]:		16	65 V	Vater lev.	[m]:	32.	.54
Lonaitude [°]:	27.	677333	Alt. N	lo. 2:		De	oth [m]:		300.0		VL status		Static	
Altitude [m]:		852.00	Rep.	inst.: D	WAF	Co	l. ht. [m]:		0.5	53 D	Date WL n	neas.: 2	20080923	
Coordinate System: (Geographic Dec	imal Degre	es (Longi	itude/Latitu	de). WGS 1984									
		NT·	00 (201.9	itado, Latita				USE	ΔΡΡ					
-Pump:														
Type of Inst.:	No equ	ipment		F	Pulley Diam	n. [mm]:		Site St	tatus:	Unus	sed			
Manufacturer:				Ĺ	Depth to Int	tk. [m]:		Purpo	se:	Expl	oration			
Engine:				,	Dannan Datin			Consu	imer:	Urba	an			
Type of Power		inmont		r L	ower naun Sullov Diam			Applic	ation	: Dom	iestic - all	purpose	6	
Type of Fower	. NO LQU	iipinent		, r	uney Dian	. [].								
WATER CH	EMISTR	(:												
Sample No.:	2008/CS36	Date	samp	l ed: 20	081016	Depth :	sampl. [m]:	0		C	omment:			
Main Paramete	ers:						Ca	alculated	Parar	neters:	: Ba	acteriol.	Parameters	s:
pH:	7.91	Na:		671 ‡	CI:	56	51† La	angel.:			E.	Coli:		
EC: [mS/m]	251.7 †	К:		16.43	NO3 as	s N: 0.8	8 A	ggr-Ind:			Fa	nec. co:		
TDS:	1514 †	Si:			SO4:	46	8.7 † Io	n-bal:		12.2	8‡ T a	otal Co:		
T. Alk.:		AI:		0.2 †	F:	6.0	04 ‡ C a	aCO3:		180.	99 S	PC:		
Ca:	46.46	Fe:		0.02	Concentra	ations in [ma/I]	Bact. param. ir	1 counts/100r	nl; Cher	nistrv Sta	ndard: SABS	6 for human	consumption	
Mg:	15.82	Mn:		0.1 †	† Value e	xceeds recomm	mended maximu	um limit ‡ Va n limit ¡ Valu	lue exce	eeds max	imum allowa im allowable	ble limit limit		
5												-		
AQUIFER IN Depth to Top [MFORMA [m] Dept	TION: th to Bot	. [m]	Yield	l[l/s] M	ethod mea	s.	Aquife	r type	•	С	omment		
109.00		109.00		C	.50 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)				TI	ESTED		
125.00		125.00		2	.00 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)				TI	ESTED		
211.00		211.00		2	.50 No	otch (V- or	U-notch)				TE	ESTED		
270.00		270.00		3	.00 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)				TI	ESTED		
CASING DE	TAILS:	,	Diam			Thickn				Oper	ninas (mr	nl· H	or. Ver	t.
Depth to Top [[m] to Bot.	[m] [[mm]	Materi	al	[mm]	Type of op	enings		L	ength W	lidth D	Dist. Dis	it.
0.00	24.	00	165	Steel		4	Plain casing	g						
TESTING D	ETAILS:			Durat.	Depth to	Disch.	Drawd.	Rec	overy	,				
Description		Date		[min]	intk. [m]	rate[l/s]	[m]	[m] 9	% [min]	T [m²/d]	Storag	e Commei	nt
MULTISTEP 1		2008	0923	60	120.00	0.54	11.29							
MILLER 2		2008 2008	0923 0923	00	120.00	2.04	00.71 20 01							
MULTI STEP 4		2008	0923	60	120.00	2.04 4 02	80 57							
MULTI STEP 5		2008	0923	15	120.00	5.50	86.85	0.93	99	240				
CONSTANT		2008	0924	1440	120.00	3.22	64.48	1.27	98	1440	2.8	0.0017	6	
SPECIFIC CAP	PACITY	2008	0926	720	0		57.46						0.0560	
SPECIFIC CAP	PACITY	2008	0926	1440	0		64.48						0.0499	
RECOMME	NDATION	IS: D	epth			Duty cyc.	Disch. rate	,			Ľ	Dyn. wate	er Crit. wa	ater
Prior. Rec. e	quipm.	to int	k. [m]	Туре с	of power	[hrs]	[l/s]	Water o	quality	V		level [m] level [m	n]
1		4	02.00			04	0 00	CLACC	Д				00 (00
Note: Water le	evel: 29.01.	ا 209, 14:4	15 - 32	.62m		24	0.00	ULAGO	-				90.0	00
2		1	02.00			8	1.50	CLASS	4				90.0	00
Page 1							VS	D	VS. P.C Tel Fax E-n	A Lebo D. Box 2 : 082 3 k: 086 6 nail: vsa	a Consult 222, Piete 15 2977/0 885 7724 / aleboanel	ing (Pty) rsburg, 0 82 315 2 078 890 s@mweb	Ltd. 700 978 2585 0.co.za	

MANAGEM	IENT REG	COMMENE	ATIONS	5					Da	ate comp	oiled: 20	10/01/18
BASIC SITE	E INFORI	MATION:	Site Ider	ntifier: 2327	DAV0026	Number:	H21-06	69	Site	type: Bor	ehole	
Distr./Farm No	o.: LI	PLQ522 Si	te Name/D	Descr.: PAAF	RL PTN. E	LLISRAS						
Latitude [°]:	23	3.693310 Alt	. No. 1:		Dia	am. [mm]:		1	165 I	Nater lev.	[m]:	10.46
Longitude []:	27	7.705080 Alt	. No. 2:		De	pth [m]:		291	.00	NL status	: St	atic
Altitude [m]:		833.00 Re	p. inst.: D	WAF	Co	l. ht. [m]:		0	.61 L	Date WL n	neas.: 20	081014
Coordinate System:	Geographic De	cimal Degrees (Lo	ngitude/Latitu	de), WGS 1984								
EXISTING I	EQUIPME	ENT:					USI	E APF	PLICA	TION:		
Type of Inst.:	No eq	uipment	I	Pulley Diam.	[mm]:		Site	Status	: Unu	sed		
Manufacturer	:		1	Depth to Intl	k. [m]:		Purp	oose:	Exp	loration		
Engine:					<i>FI</i> 14/7		Con	sumer	: Urba	an		
Manufacturer	: r: No Eq	uinmont		Power Ratin Pullov Diam	g [KW]		App	licatior	n: Dom	nestic - all	purposes	
Type of Fowe	I. NO LY	uipinent		-uney Diam.	. [/////].							
WATER CH	IEMISTR	Y:										
Sample No.:	2008/CS43	Date san	pled: 20	081105	Depth	sampl. [m]	: 0		С	comment:		
Main Paramet	ers:					c	alculate	d Para	meters	: Ba	cteriol. P	arameters:
pH:	7.57	Na:	288.9 †	CI:	29)3.4 † L	angel.:		0.04	E.(Coli:	
EC: [mS/m]	166.9 †	К:	15.01	NO3 as	N: 1.0	68 A	ggr-Ind	:	12.1	9 Fa	ec. co:	
TDS:	976 †	Si:		SO4:	77	7.2 I	on-bal:		-3.6	8 To	tal Co:	
T. Alk.:	365	AI:	0.2 †	F:	6.3	27 ‡ 🤇	CaCO3:		171.	.49 SF	PC:	
Ca:	45.62	Fe:	0.04	Concentra	tions in [mg/l]	; Bact. param.	in counts/1	00ml; Che	emistry Sta	andard: SABS	for human co	onsumption
Mg:	14.02	Mn:	0.28 †	Y value ex	ceeds recomi	mended maxin nended minimi	um limit ‡ ım limit ¡ V	alue exce	eds minim	nim allowable	limit	
AQUIFER I		TION:										
Depth to Top	[m] Dep	oth to Bot. [m] Yield	d[l/s] Me	ethod mea	ıs.	Aqui	ifer typ	e	С	omment	
05.00		05.00		N 00 N 1	tala () (av	l l	Energy			T	OTED	
25.00		25.00 42.00	().30 No).60 No	tch (V- or	U-notch)	Fract	ured			STED	
42.00 141.00		141.00	().80 No	tch (V- or	U-notch)	Fract	ured		TE	STED	
												n Mant
Depth to Top	Iml to Bot	Dian 1. [m] [mm	n. 1 Materi	ial	Thickn. Imml	Type of a	peninas		Ope L	nings [mn enath W	n]: HO idth Dis	r. Vert. st. Dist.
	[]	. []			[]	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, see a s					
0.00	24	.00 165	5 Steel		4	Plain casi	ng					
TESTING D	DETAILS:		Durat.	Depth to	Disch.	Drawd.	Re	ecover	y			
Description		Date	[min]	intk. [m]	rate[l/s]	[m]	[m]	%	[min]	T [m²/d]	Storage	Comment
MULTI STEP 1	1	20081014	60	120.00	0.51	10.75						
MULTI STEP 2	2	20081014	60	120.00	1.00	45.10						
MULTI STEP 3	3	20081014	70	120.00	0.70	108.65	10.72	90	120			
CONSTANT		20081014	720	120.00	0.62	46.99	0	100	210	0.5	0.00161	0.0400
SPECIFIC CA	PAGITY	20081015	o 720	U		46.99						0.0132
RECOMME	NDATIO	NS: Depth			Duty cyc.	Disch. ra	te			D	yn. water	Crit. water
Prior. Rec. e	equipm.	to intk. [n	nj Type o	of power	[hrs]	[l/s]	Wate	r quali	ty		level [m]	level [m]
1		42.0	00		24	0.12	2 CLAS	SS 4				41.00
Note:												
0		40.0	0		0	0.0		20 4				41.00
	laval: 1 4 75	42.0	0		ð	0.2	ULAS	53 4				41.00
Note: Water	ievei: 14./5	- 24.02.2009										



MANA	GEM	ENT RE	сомм	IENDA	TIONS	5					D	ate cor	npileo	d: 20	10/0	1/18
BASIC	SITE	INFOR	MATIC	N:	Site Ider	ntifier: 232	7DAV0027	Number:	H21-(0670	Site	type: B	orehole	e		
Distr./Fa	rm No	.: L	PLQ522	Site	Name/D	escr.: PAA	RL PTN. E	LLISRAS								
Latitude	[]:	2	3.711660	Alt. I	Vo. 1:		Dia	am. [mm]:			165	Nater le	v. [m]:			3.75
Longitud	de [°]:	2	7.657130) Alt. I	Vo. 2:		De	pth [m]:		2	13.00	NL statı	IS:	Sta	atic	
Altitude	[m]:		860.00	Rep.	inst.: D	WAF	Co	ol. ht. [m]:			0.21	Date WL	meas.	: 20	09012	29
Coordinate S	System: G	ieographic D	ecimal Degr	rees (Long	itude/Latitu	de), WGS 1984	1									
Pump: -																
Type of I Manufac	Inst.: cturer:	No ec	quipment			Pulley Dian Depth to In	n. [mm]: tk. [m]:		Pu	rpose	<i>us:</i> Unu : Exp	sea loration				
Engine:										onsum	er: Urba	an				
Manufac Type of I	eturer: Power	No Ed	quipment		I	Power Ratil Pulley Dian	ng [KW] n. [mm]:		Ap	plicati	i on: Don	nestic - a	all purp	oses		
WATER	R CHI	EMISTF	RY:													
Sample l	No.: 1	5258	Dat	e samp	<i>led:</i> 20	081017	Depth	sampl. [m]: 0		C	commen	t:			
Main Par	ramete	rs:						(Calcula	ted Pa	rameters	: 1	Bacteri	iol. Pa	arame	eters:
pH:		8.23	Na:		298.6 †	CI:	26	61.4 †	Langel.	:	-0.1	2	E.Coli:			
EC: [mS/	/ m]	132.5 †	K:		9.39	NO3 a	s N: 0.	6	Aggr-In	d:	11.9	99	Faec. c	:0:		
TDS:		770 †	Si:			SO4:	10)8.3 I	on-bal	:	-0.3	5	Total C	<i>:</i> o:		
T. Alk.:		199	AI:		0.2 †	F:	8.	89 ‡ 0	CaCO3	:	39.6	51 5	SPC:			
Ca:		11.64	Fe:		0.09	Concentr † Value e	ations in [mg/l] exceeds recom	; Bact. param. mended maxir	in counts num limit	/100ml; (‡ Value	Chemistry Sta exceeds max	andard: SA kimum allov	BS for hu vable limi	man co it	nsump	tion
Mg:		2.57	Mn:		0.07 †	Value e	xceeds recomr	mended minim	um limit i	Value e	xceeds minin	nim allowab	le limit			
AQUIF	ER IN	FORM	ATION:													
Depth to) Top [m] De	pth to Bo	ot. [m]	Yield	d[l/s] M	lethod mea	IS.	Aq	uifer ty	ype		Comm	ent		
48	3.00		48.00		().50 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Fra	ctured			TESTE	D		
52	2.00		52.00		2	N 0.00 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Fra	ctured			TESTE	ם: D		
	G DF		07.00						110	lotarea			-			
Depth to	Top [m] to Bo	ot. [m]	Diam. [mm]	Materi	al	Thickn. [mm]	Type of c	opening	<u></u> gs	Ope. L	nings [n .ength	nm]: Width	Hoi Dis	r. st.	vert. Dist.
0.	.00	3	8.00	273	Steel		6	Plain casi	ng							
0.	.00	24	4.00	177	Steel		4	Plain casi	ng							
TESTIN Descript	NG DI tion	ETAILS	: Dat	е	Durat. [min]	Depth to intk. [m]	Disch. rate[l/s]	Drawd. [m]	[m]	Recov %	ery [min]	T [m²/	d] Sto	rage	Com	ment
MULTI S	TEP 1		200	81008	60	120.00	2.00	64.24								
MULTI S	TEP 2		200	81008	15	120.00	4.04	111.14		- 103	90					
CONSTA	NT		200	81009	720	120.00	0.94	20.23		- 119	720	1.8	3 0.0	0171		
SPECIFI	C CAP	ACITY	200	81011	720	0		20.23							0.046	65
RECON	MMEN	IDATIC	NS:	Depth			Duty cyc.	Disch. ra	te				Dyn. v	vater	Crit	. water
Prior. I	Rec. ed	quipm.	to in	ntk. [m]	Туре с	of power	[hrs]	[l/s]	Wa	ter qua	ality		leve	l [m]	leve	el [m]
1				48.00			24	0.3	0 CLA	ASS 4						52.00
Note:																
2				48.00			8	0.8	0 CLA	ASS 4						52.00
Note: W	Vater le	vel: 3.76	m - 24.04	.2009			-									



MANAGEM	IENT REC	COMN	1END4	TIONS	5					I	Date	e comp	oiled:	201	0/01/18
BASIC SITE		ΜΑΤΙΟ	DN:	Site Ider	ntifier: 232	7DAV0028	Number:	H21-0	671	Sit	e typ	e: Bor	ehole		
Distr./Farm No	<i>o.:</i> LF	PLQ501	Site	Name/D	escr.: GRO	DOTFONTE	EIN PTN. EL	LISRA	S						
Latitude [°]:	23	.641790	O Alt. I	Vo. 1:		Dia	am. [mm]:			165	Wat	ter lev.	[m]:		-0.44000
Longitude [9]	- 27	752490		Vo 2.		De	onth [m]·		126	3.00	WI	status		Sta	ic
Altitudo [m]:	E1	917.00	Bon	inct · D			ht [m]		120		Dat			200	00124
Annude [m]:		817.00	пер.	Inst.: D	WAF		n. m. [m]:		(0.00	Dal	e wL II	leas.:	200	90124
Coordinate System:	Geographic Deo	cimal Deg	rees (Long	itude/Latitu	de), WGS 1984	1									
EXISTING I	EQUIPME	NT:						US		PLIC	ΑΤΙΟ	ON:			
-Pump:	No ea	inment		,	Pullev Dian	n [mm]:		Site	e Status	s: Ur	nused	t			
Manufacturer	:	aprilon			Depth to In	tk. [m]:		D	*****	E,	nlora	otion			
Engine:					,			_ Fui	pose.	L/					
Manufacturer:	:			ŀ	Power Rati	ng [kW]			nsumer	r: Ur	rban				
Type of Powe	r: No Equ	uipment	t	ŀ	Pulley Dian	n. [mm]:		Ap	plicatio	n: Do	omes	tic - all	purpos	es	
WATER CH	IEMISTR'	Y:													
Sample No.:	2009/UIS03	3 Dat	te samp	<i>led:</i> 20	090128	Depth	sampl. [m]:	. 0			Com	nment:			
Main Paramet	ers:					-	C	alculat	ted Para	amete	rs:	Ba	cteriol	. Pa	ameters:
nH [,]	8.30	Na		338 +	CI:	20)7 + /	annel			27	 	Coli		
FC·ImS/ml	160 +	K.		2 08	NO2 ~	eN- 0	07 A	aar_le	d.	-0	/	E.0 E.0			
	1000	л. С		2.30		3 IV. U.	07 A	yyı-111(<i>u.</i>	-	10	га -		-	
TDS:	1090 †	51:		7.04	504:	16	04 10	n-bal:		-0	.18	10	tal Co:		
I. AIK.:	133	AI:			F:	13	5.3∓ C a	aCO3:		30	1.33	SF	·C:		
Ca:	8.71	Fe:		0.05	Concentr † Value e	ations in [mg/l]	; Bact. param. ir mended maxim	n counts/ um limit	100ml; Ch ‡ Value ex	nemistry xceeds n	Standa naximu	rd: SABS	for huma ble limit	ın con	sumption
Mg:	2.09	Mn:		0.05	! Value e	xceeds recomm	nended minimu	m limit i	Value exc	eeds mir	nimim a	allowable	limit		
AQUIFER I	NFORMA	TION	:												
Depth to Top	[m] Dep	th to B	ot. [m]	Yield	d[l/s] M	lethod mea	ıs.	Αqι	uifer typ	pe		Co	ommer	nt	
114.00		114.0	0	5	5.00 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Frac	ctured			TE	STED		
115.00		115.0	0	5	5.00 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Frac	ctured			TE	STED		
116.00		116.0	0	5	5.00 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Frac	ctured			TE	STED		
117.00		117.0	0	5	5.00 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Frac	ctured			TE	STED		
CASING DI	ETAILS:		Diam			Thickn				Or	onin	as Imn	-1·	Hor	Vert
Depth to Top	[m] to Bot	. [m]	[mm]	Materi	al	[mm]	Type of op	pening	s	Οp	Len	gth W	idth	Dist	. Dist.
0.00	108	8.00	165	Steel		4	Plain casin	g							
TESTING D	ETAILS:			Durat	Donth to	Diach	Droud			K1 /					
Description		Dat	te	[min]	intk. [m]	rate[l/s]	[m]	[m]	%	[min]	т	[m²/d]	Stora	ge	Comment
MULTI STEP 1	1	200	90124	60	118.58	5.12	10.92								
MULTI STEP 2	2	200	90124	60	118.58	10.21	37.99								
MULTI STEP 3	3	200	90124	60	118.58	19.83	74.53	0	100	3	3				
CONSTANT		200	90124	4320	118.58	18.03	79.82	0	100	Ę	5	18	0.001	98	
SPECIFIC CAP	PACITY	200	90128	720	0		74.79							().2411
SPECIFIC CAR	PACITY	200	90128	1440	0		77.16							().2337
SPECIFIC CAP	PACITY	200	90128	2880	0		78.45							().2298
SPECIFIC CA	PACITY	200	90128	4320	0		79.82							().2259
RECOMME	NDATIO	NS:	Depth			Duty cyc.	Disch. rat	е				D	yn. wa	ter	Crit. water
Prior. Rec. e	equipm.	to ir	ntk. [m]	Туре с	of power	[hrs]	[l/s]	Wat	er qual	ity			level [l	m]	level [m]
1			114.00			24	9.00	CLA	SS 4						114.00
Note:															
2			114.00			8	16.00	CLA	SS 4						114.00
						-		*		CAL	bee	Concentri	na (Dt	/) I ± -	
									V P T	O. Bo	x 222	2, Pieter	riy (Pty sburg, 82 315	070 207	i.) 8
										ax: 08	6 685	57724 /	078 89	90 25	i85
Page 1									E	-mall:	vsale	boanels	S@IIIW6	30.US	J.2d
~~~~															

MANAGEMEN	IT RECOMME	ENDA1	TIONS				Date comp	iled: 20	10/01/18
BASIC SITE I	FORMATION	N: Si	ite Identifier: 2	327DAV003	0 Number:	H21-0680	Site type: Bore	ehole	
Distr./Farm No.:	LPLQ472	Site N	<i>lame/Descr.:</i> V	OGELSTRU	IISFONTEIN	PTN. ELLISRAS			
Latitude [°]:	23.611916	Alt. No	o. 1:	L	Diam. [mm]:	16	5 Water lev.	[m]:	7.71
Longitude [°]:	27.743194	Alt. No	o. 2:		Depth [m]:	296.0	0 WL status:	St	atic
Altitude [m]:	820.00	Rep. ir	n <b>st.:</b> VSA	0	Col. ht. [m]:	0.3	Date WL m	<i>eas.:</i> 20	090424
Coordinate System: Geo	graphic Decimal Degre	es (Longitu	ide/Latitude), WGS 1	984		-			
	UIPMENT:					USE APPL	LICATION:		
Type of Inst.:	No equipment		Pulley Di	iam. [mm]:		Site Status:	Unused		
Manufacturer:			Depth to	Intk. [m]:		Purpose:	Exploration		
Engine:			Power P	oting [k]//l		Consumer:	Urban		
Type of Power:	No Equipment		Pulley Di	iam. [mm]:		Application:	Domestic - all p	ourposes	
WATER CHEM	AISTRY:								
Sample No.:	Date	sample	d:	Dept	h sampl. [m]	:	Comment:		
Main Parameters	:				С	alculated Param	neters: Ba	cteriol. P	arameters:
pH:	Na:		CI:		L	angel.:	E.C	Coli:	
EC: [mS/m]	К:		NOS	3 as N:	A	ggr-Ind:	Fae	ec. co:	
TDS:	Si:		<b>SO</b> 4	l:	lo	on-bal:	То	tal Co:	
T. Alk.:	AI:		F:		С	aCO3:	SP	C:	
Ca:	Fe:		Conce + Value	entrations in [mg	/I]; Bact. param. i	in counts/100ml; Chem	nistry Standard: SABS	for human co le limit	onsumption
Mg:	Mn:		! Valu	e exceeds reco	nmended minimu	im limit ¡ Value exceed	ds minimim allowable li	mit	
AQUIFER INF	ORMATION:								
Depth to Top [m]	Depth to Bot	. [m]	Yield[l/s]	Method m	eas.	Aquifer type	Co	mment	
35.90	36.00		0.05	Notch (V- c	r U-notch)	Fractured	TC	TEST	
182.00	182.20		0.30	Notch (V- c	r U-notch)	Fractured	TC	TEST	
192.00	192.10		0.40	Notch (V- c	r U-notch)	Fractured		TEST	
CASING DET	AILS:	Diam. [mm]	Material	Thickn [mm]	Type of o	penings	Openings [mm Length Wi	]: Ho dth Dis	r. Vert. st. Dist.
0.00	30.00	165	Steel	4	Plain casir	ng			
RECOMMEND	ATIONS: D	epth k. [m]	Type of power	Duty cyc [hrs]	c. Disch. rat [l/s]	te Water quality	Dj	yn. water level [m]	Crit. water level [m]
1 <i>Note:</i> Water leve	əl: 7.71m - 24.04.2	0 2009		0	C	)			



MANAGEMENT RE	COMMENDA	ATIONS					Date c	ompiled: 2	010/01/18
BASIC SITE INFOR Distr./Farm No.:	MATION: _PLQ472 Site	Site Identi Name/De	ifier: 2327DA scr.: VOGELS	V0031 STRUIS	Number:	H21-0681 TN. ELLISRAS	Site type:	Borehole	
Latitude [ °]:2Longitude [ °]:2Altitude [m]:	23.613111 <b>Alt. I</b> 27.743806 <b>Alt. I</b> 815.00 <b>Rep.</b>	No. 1: No. 2: . inst.: VS	A	Dia Dep Col	m. [mm]: oth [m]: . ht. [m]:	16 291.2 0.6	S5         Water           24         WL state           50         Date V	lev. [m]: atus: S VL meas.: 2	-0.56000 Static 20090214
Coordinate System: Geographic E EXISTING EQUIPM Pump: Type of Inst.: No ea Manufacturer: Engine: Manufacturer: Type of Power: No Ea	acimal Degrees (Long IENT: quipment quipment	Pu Pu De Po Pu	), WGS 1984 Illey Diam. [m epth to Intk. [r ower Rating [k Illey Diam. [m	nm]: n]: kW] nm]:		USE APPI Site Status: Purpose: Consumer: Application:	Unused Exploratio Urban Domestic	l: n - all purpose:	S
Sample No.:         H21-0681           Main Parameters:         pH:         8.12           EC: [mS/m]         166 †           TDS:         1020 †           T. Alk.:         132           Ca:         18.5           Mg:         4.03	Date samp Na: K: Si: Al: Fe: Mn:	0 <b>led:</b> 2001 338 † 3.42 6.66 0.05 0.05	90214 CI: NO3 as N: SO4: F: Concentrations † Value exceed	<b>Depth s</b> 314 0.3 175 9.6 s in [mg/l]; ds recomm s recomm	ampl. [m]: Ca 4 † Lau 5 Ag 3 Ion 64 ‡ Ca Bact. param. in ended maximum	0 Iculated Param ngel.: gr-Ind: h-bal: CO3: counts/100ml; Cherr n limit ‡ Value exceed limit ; Value exceed	Comme neters: -0.22 11.90 1.31 62.73 histry Standard: : neds maximum a ds minimim allow	ent: Bacteriol. E.Coli: Faec. co: Total Co: SPC: SABS for human Ilowable limit vable limit	Parameters:
AQUIFER INFORM           Depth to Top [m]         Depter           24.90         37.00           215.00         247.50           264.00         264.00	A HON: pth to Bot. [m] 25.00 37.10 216.00 248.50 264.50	<b>Yield[</b> 0.0 0.1 0.5 1.6 2.9	V <b>s] Metho</b> 05 Notch 10 Notch 50 Notch 50 Notch 60 Notch 90 Notch	od meas (V- or l (V- or l (V- or l (V- or l (V- or l	J-notch) J-notch) J-notch) J-notch) J-notch)	Aquifer type Weathered ba Fractured Fractured Fractured Fractured	asin	Comment TESTED TESTED TESTED TESTED TESTED	
CASING DETAILS: Depth to Top [m] to Bo	<b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Diam.</b> <b>Di</b>	<i>Material</i> Steel	Th [n	nickn. nm]	<b>Type of op</b> e	enings	Openings Length	[mm]: H Width D	lor. Vert. Dist. Dist.
TESTING DETAILS	Date	Durat. [min]	Depth to Di intk. [m] ra	sch. te[l/s]	Drawd. [m]	Recovery [m] % [r	nin] T [m	n∛d] Storag	e Comment
MULTI STEP 1 MULTI STEP 2 MULTI STEP 3 CONSTANT SPECIFIC CAPACITY SPECIFIC CAPACITY	20090214 20090214 20090214 20090214 20090216 20090216	60 60 26 1440 720 1440	111.47 111.47 111.47 111.47 0 0	1.08 2.09 3.88 2.01	19.06 55.78 111.14 82.38 80.57 82.38	1.87 98 0 100	180 720		0.0249 0.0244
RECOMMENDATIC Prior. Rec. equipm.	DNS: Depth to intk. [m]	Type of	Dut power [h	y cyc. nrs]	Disch. rate [l/s]	Water quality	,	Dyn. wate level [m	er Crit. water ] level [m]
1 Note:	90.00			24	0.60	CLASS 4			82.00
2	90.00			8	1.50	VSA P.O Tel: Fax E-m	A Leboa Cor ). Box 222, F : 082 315 29 :: 086 685 77 aail: vsalebo	nsulting (Pty) Pietersburg, 0 77/082 315 2 724 / 078 890 anels@mweb	82.00 Ltd. 700 2978 2585 0.co.za

MANAGEM	IENT RE	COMMEND	ATIONS	5				Date co	ompiled: 2	010/01/18
BASIC SITI Distr./Farm No	<b>E INFORI</b> <i>o.:</i> LI	MATION: PLQ502 Site	Site Ider Name/D	ntifier: 2327D Descr.: WATE	DAV0032 ERKLOOF	<i>Number:</i> PTN. ELLIS	H21-0700 SRAS	Site type:	Borehole	
Latitude [°]: Longitude [°]: Altitude [m]:	23 : 27	8.681694         Alt.           7.726361         Alt.           830.00         Rep	No. 1: No. 2: . inst.: V	'SA	Dia De Co	am. [mm]: pth [m]: I. ht. [m]:	165 204.82 0.50	5 Water 2 WL sta 0 Date W	lev. [m]: htus: S VL meas.: 2	6.16 Static 20090310
Coordinate System: EXISTING I Pump: Type of Inst.: Manufacturer Engine: Manufacturer	Geographic De EQUIPME No eq :	cimal Degrees (Lon ENT: uipment	gitude/Latitu	de), WGS 1984 Pulley Diam. Depth to Intk. Power Rating	[mm]: . [m]: 1 [kW]		USE APPL Site Status: Purpose: Consumer:	ICATION Unused Exploration Non-urban	n 1	
Type of Powe	r: No Eq	uipment	I	Pulley Diam.	[mm]:		Application:	Domestic -	- all purposes	S
WATER CH Sample No.:	<b>EMISTR</b> 2009/UIS0	Y: 2 Date samp	oled: 20	0090127	Depth	sampl. [m]:	0	Comme	ent:	
Main Paramet pH: EC: [mS/m] TDS: T. Alk.: Ca: Mg:	ers: 8.05 172 † 1250 † 158 15.7 1.47	Na: K: Si: Al: Fe: Mn:	339 † 3.76 6.34 0.05 0.101 †	CI: NO3 as i SO4: F: Concentrati † Value exc ! Value exc	31 N: 0.3 16 10 ons in [mg/]; reeds recomm eeds recomm	Ca 1 † La 3 Ag 5 Ion 0.5 ‡ Ca 8 Bact. param. in mended maximu nended minimun	Iculated Paramo ngel.: ngel.: n-bal: n-bal: nCO3: counts/100ml; Chemis m limit ‡ Value exceed n limit ‡ Value exceeds	eters: -0.29 11.84 -0.62 45.22 stry Standard: S ds maximum al s minimim allow	Bacteriol. E.Coli: Faec. co: Total Co: SPC: SABS for human lowable limit rable limit	Parameters:
AQUIFER I	NFORMA [m] Dep	TION: oth to Bot. [m]	Yield	d[l/s] Mei	thod mea	s.	Aquifer type		Comment	
74.00 92.00 141.50 200.00 203.00		79.00 95.00 142.50 200.50 205.00	1 1 3 3 1	1.17 Not 1.60 Not 3.35 Not 3.80 Not 2.00 Not	ch (V- or ch (V- or ch (V- or ch (V- or ch (V- or	U-notch) U-notch) U-notch) U-notch) U-notch)	Fractured Fractured Fractured Fractured Fractured		TESTED TESTED TESTED TESTED TESTED	
CASING DI	ETAILS: [m] to Bot	Diam. t. [m] [mm]	Materi	al	Thickn. [mm]	Type of op	enings	Openings Length	[mm]: H Width D	lor. Vert. Dist. Dist.
0.00 72.00	72 120	.00 165 ).00 165	Steel Steel		4 4	Screen Perforated	or slotted			
TESTING D	ETAILS:	Date	Durat. [min]	Depth to intk. [m]	Disch. rate[l/s]	Drawd. [m]	Recovery [m] % [m	nin] T [m	[⊉] d] Storag	e Comment
CONSTANT CONSTANT SPECIFIC CAI SPECIFIC CAI	PACITY PACITY	20090118 20090310 20090312 20090312	4320 1920 720 1920	118.58 148.50 0 0	18.20 20.07	70.92 115.48 115.10 115.48	0.21 100 2 0.99 99	880 720	24 0.0019 11 0.0019	2 3 0.1744 0.1738
RECOMME Prior. Rec. e	NDATIO	NS: Depth to intk. [m]	Туре с	D Df power	outy cyc. [hrs]	Disch. rate [l/s]	Water quality		Dyn. wate level [m	er Crit. water ] level [m]
1 <i>Note:</i>		144.00	I		24	11.00	CLASS 4			141.00
2		144.00	)		8	19.00	CLASS 4			141.00
						S	VSA P.O. Tel: ( Fax: E-ma	Leboa Con Box 222, P 082 315 29 086 685 77 ail: vsaleboa	sulting (Pty) ietersburg, 0 77/082 315 2 24 / 078 890 anels@mweb	Ltd. 700 2978 2585 0.co.za

Page 1

MANAGEN	IENT RE	COMME	INDA	TIONS	5				D	ate compile	d: 20	10/01/18
BASIC SIT	<b>E INFORI</b> /o.: LI	MATION PLQ502	N: Site	Site Ider Name/D	ntifier: 232 Descr.: WA	7DAV0033 TERKLOOI	<i>Number:</i> F PTN. ELLIS	H21-0701 SRAS	Site	type: Boreho	le	
Latitude [']: Longitude [']. Altitude [m]:	23 : 27	8.682861 7.741861 815.00	Alt. N Alt. N Rep.	lo. 1: lo. 2: inst.: ∨	SA	Dia De Co	am. [mm]: epth [m]: ol. ht. [m]:	24	165 8.46 0.50	Water lev. [m] WL status: Date WL meas	: Sta 5.: 20	1.55 atic 090216
Coordinate System: EXISTING	: Geographic De	cimal Degre	es (Long	itude/Latitu	de), WGS 1984	4		USE AF	PLICA	TION:		
Type of Inst.: Manufacturer	No eq	uipment		F L	Pulley Dian Depth to Ini	n. [mm]: tk. [m]:		Site Statu Purpose:	<b>is:</b> Uni Exp	used Ploration		
Engine: Manufacturer Type of Powe	:: er: No Eq	uipment		ŀ	Power Ratii Pulley Dian	ng [kW] n. [mm]:		Consume Application	e <b>r:</b> Nor on: Dor	n-urban mestic - all purp	oses	
WATER CH		Y:		lad: 00	000010	Donth	compl. [m]			Commont		
Main Paramet	ters: 8.34	Na:	samp	353 †	<i>CI:</i>	30 30	Ca 00 † La	alculated Parangel.:	rameters -0.4	s: Bacter 1 <i>E.Coli</i>	riol. Pa :	rameters:
EC: [mS/m] TDS: T. Alk.:	165 † 1090 † 157	K: Si: Al:		3.01 5.51	NO3 a: SO4: F:	<b>s N:</b> 0. 1! 12	.3 Ag 58 Io 2.7 <b>‡ Ca</b>	ggr-Ind: n-bal: aCO3:	11.7 0.73 18.9	72         Faec.           3         Total           96         SPC:	co: Co:	
Ca: Mg:	6.1 0.91	Fe: Mn:		0.05 0.05	Concentr † Value e ! Value e	ations in [mg/l] exceeds recom xceeds recom	]; Bact. param. ir imended maximu mended minimur	n counts/100ml; C um limit  ‡ Value e n limit  ¡ Value ex	Chemistry St exceeds ma cceeds minin	andard: SABS for h ximum allowable lin nim allowable limit	uman co nit	nsumption
AQUIFER I	NFORMA [m] Dep	TION:	t. [m]	Yield	l[I/s] M	lethod mea	as.	Aquifer ty	/pe	Comr	nent	
122.00 138.00 264.80		125.50 138.20 265.20		3 3 3	8.10 N 8.30 N 8.50 N	otch (V- or otch (V- or otch (V- or	U-notch) U-notch) U-notch)	Fractured Fractured Fractured		TEST TEST TEST	ED ED ED	
CASING D Depth to Top	ETAILS: [m] to Bot	l t. [m] _ [	Diam. [mm]	Materi	al	Thickn. [mm]	Type of op	penings	Ope L	nings [mm]: Length Width	Hoi Dis	r. Vert. t. Dist.
0.00	48	.00	165	Steel		4	Plain casing	g				
<b>TESTING Description</b>	DETAILS	Date		Durat. [min]	Depth to intk. [m]	Disch. rate[l/s]	Drawd. [m]	Recove [m] %	ery [min]	T [m²/d] Sta	orage	Comment
MULTI STEP MULTI STEP CONSTANT SPECIFIC CA SPECIFIC CA	1 2 3 PACITY PACITY	2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009	0216 0216 0216 0217 0219 0219	60 60 36 1440 720 1440	111.47 111.47 111.47 111.47 0 0	1.03 2.00 4.06 2.21	3       18.00         39.60       39.60         5       110.99         57.10       53.53         57.10	0 100 1.96 97	150 1440			0.0413 0.0387
RECOMME	ENDATIO equipm.	NS: D	epth k. [m]	Туре с	of power	Duty cyc. [hrs]	Disch. rate [l/s]	e Water qua	lity	Dyn. Ieve	water el [m]	Crit. wate level [m]
1 <i>Note:</i>			60.00			24	0.70	CLASS 4				55.00
2			60.00			8	1.50	CLASS 4				55.00
							VS	N	VSA Lebo P.O. Box Tel: 082 3 Fax: 086 E-mail: vs	oa Consulting ( 222, Pietersbu 315 2977/082 3 685 7724 / 078 saleboanels@r	Pty) Lt rg, 070 315 297 3 890 2 nweb.c	d. 00 78 585 0.za

MANAGEME	NT REC	OMMEN	IDA1	TIONS	5						Da	ate com	piled	: 201	0/01/	/18
BASIC SITE I Distr./Farm No.:	INFORM	LQ502	Si Site N	ite Ider lame/D	ntifier: 232 Descr.: WA	7DAV003 TERKLOO	4 <b>Numbe</b> DF PTN. E	<i>r:</i> ⊦ LLIS	121-070 RAS	2	Site	t <b>ype:</b> Bo	orehole			
Latitude [°]:	23.0	676639 A	Nt. No	o. 1:		L	Diam. [mm	]:			165 <b>I</b>	Vater lev	. [m]:			2.44
Longitude [°]:	27.7	744417	Nt. No	o. 2:		Ľ	epth [m]:			25	0.00 <b>I</b>	VL status	s:	Sta	tic	
Altitude [m]:		815.00	Rep. iı	nst.: V	SA	0	ol. ht. [m]	]:		(	0.50 <b>L</b>	Date WL	meas.:	200	90124	L
Coordinate System: Geo	ographic Deci	mal Degrees (	Longitu	ide/Latitu	de), WGS 198	34										
EXISTING EC		NT:							USE	AP	PLICA	TION:				
-Pump:									011.0							
Type of Inst.:	No equi	pment		F	Pulley Diai	n. [mm]:			Site S	statu	s: Unu	sed				
Manufacturer:				L	Depth to In	tk. [m]:			Purpo	ose:	Exp	oration				
Engine:					Power Bet	ing [k]//]			Cons	ume	r: Urba	an				
Type of Power:	No Equi	ipment		F	Power Rail	m. [mm]:			Appli	catic	on: Dom	nestic - al	l purpo	ses		
WATER CHE	MISTRY	:														
Sample No.: H2	21-0702	Date sa	ample	<b>d:</b> 20	090125	Dept	h sampl. [	m]:	0		C	omment	:			
Main Parameters	s:							Cal	culated	l Par	ameters	: В	acterio	ol. Pa	ramete	ers:
<b>pH:</b> 7	.92	Na:	3	331 +	CI:	:	309.42 †	Lar	nael.:			E	.Coli:			
EC: [mS/m] 1	64 †	K:	3	3.11	NO3 a	nsN: (	0.06	Aa	ar-Ind:			F	aec. co	o:		
TDS:	- 1	Si:	Ę	5.65	SO4:	-	171.67	lon	-bal:		6.77	'+ <b>T</b>	otal Co	) <i>:</i>		
T. Alk.:		AI:	(	)	F:		12.25 ±	Ca	CO3:		17.7	3 <b>S</b>	PC:	-		
<b>Ca:</b> 6	.03	Fe:	(	)	Concent	rations in Imo	//l]· Bact nara	mino	counts/100	)ml· Cł	nemistry Sta	undard: SAR	S for hum	an con	sumption	n
Ma: 0	654	Mn:	ſ	009	† Value	exceeds reco	mmended ma	iximun	n limit + V	alue e	xceeds max	imum allowable	able limit		oumption	
					: value (				ininit   vai				5 11111			
AQUIFER INF Depth to Top [m	ORMA	FION: h to Bot. [	m]	Yield	d[l/s] N	lethod me	eas.		Aquife	er tyj	pe	c	Comme	ent		
43.80		44.20		C	).05 N	lotch (V- c	r U-notch)		Fractu	red		т	ESTE	)		
132.70		132.80		C	N 80.0	lotch (V- o	vr U-notch)		Fractu	red		Т	ESTE	)		
196.50		196.80		C	).70 N	lotch (V- o	r U-notch)		Fractu	red		Т	ESTE	)		
210.90		211.20		1	.82 N	lotch (V- o	r U-notch)		Fractu	red		Т	ESTE	)		
222.80		223.20		2	2.30 N	lotch (V- o	r U-notch)		Fractu	red		Т	ESTE	)		
236.70		237.30		4	1.20 N	lotch (V- o	r U-notch)		Fractu	red		Т	ESTE	)		
CASING DET	AILS:	Dia	ım.			Thickn.					Ope	nings [m	m]:	Hor	. V	ert.
Depth to Top [m	] to Bot.	[m] [m	m]	Materi	al	[mm]	Type of	f ope	enings		Ĺ	ength V	Vidth	Dist	. D	)ist.
0.00	42.0	00 1	65 65	Steel		4	Plain ca	ising tod o	r slottor	4						
		1	00	Sieer		+	i enoral	ieu o	I SIULLEU	<u>д</u>						
Description	TAILS:	Date	D	ourat. [min]	Depth to intk. [m]	Disch. rate[l/s	Drawo ] [m]	d.	Red [m]	cove %	ry [min]	T [m²⁄d	] Stor	age	Comm	nent
CONSTANT		200901	24	1440	117.47	3.0	)4 61.1	8	3.33	94	1440	2.8	0.00	174		
SPECIFIC CAPA	CITY	200901	28	720	0		53.7	7						(	0.0565	5
SPECIFIC CAPA	CITY	200901	28	1440	0		61.1	8						(	0.0497	,
RECOMMEN	DATION	S: Den	th			Duty ov	Disch	rate						ater	Crit	water
Prior. Rec. equ	uipm.	to intk.	[m]	Туре с	of power	[hrs]	[l/s]	1	Water	qual	lity		level	[m]	level	[m]
1		96	6.00			24	2	.00	CLASS	54					19	7.00
Note:																
0						-		00								7.00
2		96	5.00			8	4	.00	CLASS	54					19	7.00
							V			V P T F E	/SA Lebc 2.O. Box el: 082 3 ax: 086 6 -mail: vs	a Consul 222, Piete 15 2977/ 685 7724 aleboane	ting (Pf ersburg 082 31 / 078 8 !ls@mv	ty) Lto 1, 070 5 297 890 25 veb.co	l. 0 8 585 5.za	

MANAGEME	NT REC	OMMEI	NDATIC	ONS							Da	ate comp	oiled: 20	10/01/18
BASIC SITE Distr./Farm No.:	INFORM	<b>ATION</b> : _Q502	Site Site Nan	ldentii ne/Des	fier: 2327 cr.: WAT	7DAV0035 FERKLOO	<i>Number:</i> F PTN. ELI	H ISF	21-070 RAS	)3	Site t	t <b>ype:</b> Bor	ehole	
Latitude [°]: Longitude [°]: Altitude [m]:	23.6 27.7	576666 744444 815.00	Alt. No. 1 Alt. No. 2 Rep. inst	: :: :: VS4	Ą	Di Di Ci	am. [mm]: epth [m]: ol. ht. [m]:			30 0	254 V 0.00 V 0.50 L	Vater lev. VL status Date WL m	[ <b>m]:</b> : Sta <b>neas.:</b> 20	2.70 atic 090727
Coordinate System: Ge	Ographic Decin	nal Degrees	(Longitude/	Latitude)	, WGS 1984				USE			TION:		
Pump: Type of Inst.: Manufacturer: Engine: Manufacturer:	No equi	oment		Pul Dej Pot	lley Diam oth to Int wer Ratir	n. [mm]: k. [m]: ng [kW]			Site S Purpo Cons	Status ose: sumer	s: Unus Mine :: Urba	sed e drainage an		
Type of Power:	No Equi	pment		Pul	lley Diam	n. [mm]:			Аррп	callo	<i>n.</i> Dom	iestic - ali	purposes	
WATER CHE	MISTRY	:				_		_	_		-			
Sample No.: 20	009/UIS03	Date s	ampled:	2009	0228	Depth	sampl. [m	]: Cal	0 Sulator	d Dorr	C	omment:	otorial P	ramotors
pH: 6	<b>3.</b> 6.71	Na:	19.	5	CI:	4	2.9	Lan	gel.:	a rait	-1.84	. Ба 4 <i>Е.</i> (	Coli:	
EC: [mS/m] 3	30	К:	1.4	7	NO3 as	<b>s N:</b> 0	.07	Agg	gr-Ind:		10.0	8 <b>Fa</b>	ec. co:	
<i>TDS:</i> 1	82	Si:	7.8		SO4:	6	.45	lon	bal:		1.03	То	tal Co:	
T. Alk.: 5	53.6 7 4	Al:	0.0	F	<i>F:</i>	0	.19	CaC	:03:		79.8	1 <b>SF</b>	°C:	
Mg: 8	7.4 3.85	ге: Mn:	1.6	5 3 ‡	Concentra † Value e ! Value ex	ations in [mg/ xceeds recon kceeds recom	]; Bact. param nmended maximended minim	nn c num um l	ounts/100 Iimit ‡V imit ¡Va	0ml; Ch /alue ex lue exce	emistry Sta ceeds max eeds minim	ndard: SABS imum allowat im allowable	for human co ble limit limit	nsumption
		ION:												
Depth to Top [n	n] Deptl	to Bot.	[ <b>m</b> ]	/ield[l/	s] M	ethod me	as.		Aquif	er typ	be and a second s	Co	omment	
7.00		18.00		1.0	0 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)		Weath	nered	basin	TE	STED	
CASING DET Depth to Top [n	TAILS:	Di [m] [m	am. hm] Ma	iterial		Thickn. [mm]	Type of a	ope	nings		Oper L	nings [mn ength Wi	n]: Ho idth Dis	r. Vert. at. Dist.
0.00	6.00	) ·	165 Ste	el		4	Plain cas	ing						
0.00 6.00	8.00 30.0	0 -	254 Ste 165 Ste	el		4	Plain cas Perforate	ng d oi	r slotted	d				
TESTING DE Description	TAILS:	Date	Dur [m	at. L in] ii	Depth to ntk. [m]	Disch. rate[l/s]	Drawd. [m]		Re [m]	cover %	ry [min]	T [m²/d]	Storage	Comment
MULTI STEP 1 MULTI STEP 2 MULTI STEP 3 CONSTANT SPECIFIC CAPA	CITY	200901 200901 200901 200901 200901	27  27  27  27  27	60 60 60 720 720	27.47 27.47 27.47 27.47 0	0.5 0.8 0.7 0.5	1 4.83 2 7.36 4 24.97 2 5.01 5.01		0.14 0	99 100	170 150	4.7	0.00185	0.1055
RECOMMEN Prior. Rec. equ	DATION uipm.	S: Dep to intk.	oth [m] Ty	pe of _l	power	Duty cyc [hrs]	Disch. ra [l/s]	te	Water	quali	ity	D	yn. water level [m]	Crit. water level [m]
1 Note:		1	8.00			24	0.1	5	CLASS	S 2				7.00
2 <i>Note:</i> Water lev	vel 24.04.20	1 )09 - 2.93	8.00 m			6	0.3	0	CLAS	S 2				7.00



MANAGEN	IENT REG	COMMEN	DATIONS	;					Date	compiled	: 201	0/01/18
BASIC SIT			Site Iden	tifier: 2327D	BV0012	Number:	121-0704	S	Site type	: Borehole	;	
l atitude [ %]:	23	654722	It No. 1:	esci GROO		m [mm]:		165	Wate	r lev. [m]:		-0 45000
Lonaitude [ ]:	27	759194 <b>A</b>	lt. No. 2:		Der	oth [m]:		220.00	WLs	tatus:	Sta	tic
Altitude [m]		820 00 <b>B</b>	en inst•V	SA	Col	ht [m]·		0.45	Date	WI meas	· 200	190207
Coordinate System:	Geographic De		opaitude/Latitur	(A) WGS 198/	001	[].		0.40	Date	WE meas.	. 200	50207
			-ongitude/Latitud	<i>ie), wao 1304</i>			LIGE			N-		
							USE	AFFLI	CATIO	IN.		
Type of Inst.:	No equ	uipment	F	Pulley Diam. [	'mm]:		Site St	atus:	Unused			
Manufacturer	:		Ľ	Pepth to Intk.	[m]:		Purpos	se:	Producti	on (water s	upply)	
_Engine:							Consu	mer:	Urban			
Manufacturer Type of Powe	: er: No Eq	uipment	F	ower Rating Pulley Diam. [	[KW] [mm]:		Applic	ation:	Domesti	c - all purpo	ses	
WATER CH	IEMISTR	Y:			-							
Sample No.:	H21-0704	Date sa	<b>mpled:</b> 20	090207	Depth s	ampl. [m]:	0		Comr	nent:		
Main Paramet	ers				•	Ca	lculated	Paramet	lers:	Bacteri	ol. Pa	rameters:
	7 9 9	Nor	200 +	<i>C</i> 1,	07	04 <b>1</b> 0	ngol	i urume	1 20	E Colin	01.10	inanie ter 5.
рп: ЕС: [mɛ/m]	1.33	Na: V	322 1		2/1		ngen: ar Indi		10.70	E.COII:	~.	
EC: [m5/m]	160 T	K:	3.23	NO3 as N	<b>V:</b> 0.0	67 Ag	gr-ina:		10.70	Faec. c	0:	
TDS:	982 †	SI:	7.96	504:	10	Ior	n-bal:		11.72 ‡	Total C	0:	
1. AIK.:	152	AI: _		F:	12	.9‡ <b>Ca</b>	<i>CO3:</i>		16.62	SPC:		
Ca:	6.25	Fe:	0.05	Concentratio † Value exce	ns in [mg/l]; eds recomm	Bact. param. in nended maximur	counts/100n n limit ±Val	nl; Chemisti lue exceeds	ry Standarc s maximum	I: SABS for hui allowable limit	man cor	sumption
Mg:	0.25	Mn:	0.05	Value exce	eds recomm	ended minimum	limit _i Valu	e exceeds i	minimim all	owable limit		
<b>AQUIFER I</b>	<b>NFORMA</b>	TION:										
Depth to Top	[m] Dep	th to Bot. [r	n] Yiela	[ <mark>[/s] M</mark> etl	hod mea	s.	Aquife	r type		Comm	ent	
24.90		25.10	0	.05 Noto	h (V- or l	J-notch)	Weathe	ered basi	n	TESTE	D	
134.10		134.30	0	.20 Noto	ch (V- or l	J-notch)	Fracture	əd		TESTE	D	
185.20		185.40	0	.30 Noto	h (V- or l	J-notch)	Fracture	ed		TESTE	D	
196.90		197.20	1	.70 Noto	h (V- or l	J-notch)	Fracture	ed		TESTE	D	
199.10		200.00	3	.50 Noto	h (V- or l	J-notch)	Fracture	ed		TESTE	D	
CASING D	ETAILS:	Die			Thicks				Decesiere	o [mm].	Hor	Vort
Depth to Top	[m] to Bot	. [m] [mr	n] Materia	al	[mm]	Type of op	enings		Leng	th Width	Dis	t. Dist.
0.00	54	.00 16	65 Steel		4	Plain casing	J					
TESTING D	<b>DETAILS</b> :		Durat.	Depth to	Disch.	Drawd.	Reco	overv				
Description		Date	[min]	intk. [m]	rate[l/s]	[m]	[m] %	6 [mii	n] T [	m²/d] Sto	rage	Comment
MULTI STEP 1	1	2009020	)7 60	118.58	1.36	12.23						
MULTI STEP 2	2	2009020	07 60	118.58	2.05	21.62						
MULTI STEP 3	3	2009020	07 60	118.58	4.10	70.31						
MULTI STEP 4	4	2009020	07 60	118.58	7.79	114.51	0.57 1	00	90			
CONSTANT		2009020	07 160	118.58	3.82	42.53	0 1	00	20			
SPECIFIC CA	PACITY	2009020	08 160	0		42.53						0.0898
RECOMME	NDATIO	NS: Dept	h	D	uty cyc.	Disch. rate				Dyn. v	vater	Crit. wate
Prior. Rec. e	equipm.	to intk. [	m] Type o	f power	[hrs]	[l/s]	Water q	uality		level	[m]	level [m]
1		78	.00		24	1.40	CLASS	4				43.00
Note:												
2		78	.00		8	3.00	CLASS	4				43.00
						S	N	VSA L P.O. E Tel: 08 Fax: 0 E-mai	eboa Co 3ox 222, 82 315 2 86 685 7 I: vsaleb	onsulting (F Pietersburg 977/082 31 7724 / 078 oanels@m	Pty) Lto g, 070 5 297 890 2 web.c	d. 10 78 585 0.za

BASIC SITE INFORMATION:       Site Identifier:       2327DBV0013       Number:       H21-0706       Site type:       Borehole         Distr./Farm No.:       LPLQ501       Site Name/Descr.:       GROOTFONTEIN PTN. ELLISRAS       Water lev. [m]:       1         Latitude [7]:       23.659111       Alt. No. 1:       Diam. [mm]:       165       Water lev. [m]:       1         Longitude [7]:       27.756694       Alt. No. 2:       Rep. inst.: VSA       Do.0.50       Date WL meas.:       20090202         Coordinate System: Geographic Detemption (Longitude) Latitude), WGS 1884       Diam. [mm]:       0.50       Date WL meas.:       20090202         EXISTING EQUIPMENT:       Pulley Diam. [mm]:       Diapth to Intk. [m]:       Site Status:       Unused         Purpose:       No equipment       Pulley Diam. [mm]:       Site Status:       Unused         Manufacturer:       Power Rating [kW]       Type of Power:       No Equipment       Pulley Diam. [mm]:       Site Status:       Unused         Sample No.:       H21-0706       Date sampled:       20090305       Depth sampl. [m]:       0       Comment:         Main Parameters:       Sample Al:       6.18       SO4:       2.71       Ion-bal:       2.69       Total Co:         Total:       359       <
Distr./Farm No.:       LPLQ501       Site Name/Descr.: GROOTEONTEIN PTN. ELLISRAS         Latitude [7]:       23.659111       Alt. No. 1:       Alt. No. 2:       Matr. Soc. 1000       Matr. Soc. 10000       Matr. Soc. 1000       Matr.
Latitude [7]:       23.659111       Alt. No. 1: Alt. No. 2: Alt. No. 2: Rep. inst: VSA       Diam. [mm]: Depth [m]: 0.00       160       Water lev. [m]: 0.00       1         Coordinate System: Geographic Decimal Depretes (Linguide Latitude), WGS 1984       USE APPLICATION: Site Status: Unused Purpose: Exploration       USE APPLICATION: Site Status: Unused Purpose: Exploration         Purpose: Range No.:       H21-070       Date sample d: 20090305       Depth sampl. [m]: 0       0       Comment: Consumer: Urban Application: Domestic - all purposes         WATER CHEMISTRY:       Sample No.:       H21-070       Date sample d: 20090305       Depth sampl. [m]: 0       0       Comment: Consumer: Urban Application: Domestic - all purposes         Main Parameters:       Calculated Parameters:       Bacteriol. Parameters: 155       Bacteriol. Parameters: 155       Bacteriol. Parameters: 155       Bacteriol. Parameters: 155         Calculated Parameters:       F:       1.77       Calculated Parameters: 175       Bacteriol. Parameters: 153       Bacteriol. Parameters: 153       Bacteriol. Parameters: 153         Calculated Norope [m]       141       Vialue exceeds recommended minimum limit; Vialue exceeds minimum allowable limit       Vialue exceeds recommended minimum limit; Vialue exceeds minimum allowable limit         Main Parameters:       Diam.       Consentration in [m][]       Method mees.       Aguifer type       Comment         Calculated Pir
Longitude [']:       27.756694       Alt. No. 2: Rep. inst.: VSA       Depth [m]:       30.00       WL status:       Static         Altitude [m]:       820.00       Rep. inst.: VSA       Depth [m]:       0.50       Date WL meas.:       20090220         Coordinate System: Geographic Decimal Degrees (Longitude Latitude), WGS 1984       EXISTING EQUIPMENT:       VIL status:       Static         FUMp:       Type of Inst.:       No equipment       Pulley Diam. [mm]:       Depth (m]:       0.50         Manufacturer:       Power Rating [kW]       Pulley Diam. [mm]:       Depth to Intk. [m]:       Dist Status:       Unused         Type of Power:       No Equipment       Pulley Diam. [mm]:       Depth to Intk. [m]:       Domestic - all purposes         WATER CHEMISTRY:       Sample No:       H21-0706       Date sampled:       20090305       Depth sampl. [m]:       0       Constance:         Main Parameters:       Section:       Sample A:       1803 as N:       0.3       Aggr-Ind:       12.15       Face. co:         TDS:       1150 †       Si:       6.18       SO4:       27.1       Ion-bal:       2.69       Total Co:         Main Parameters:       Gac-India Fe:       0.05       Concentrations in [mg]; Bact. param. in constar/Ion:       State 2.05       SPC:
Attitude [m]:       820.00       Rep. inst.: VSA       Col. ht. [m]:       0.50       Date WL meas.: 20090220         Coordinate System: Geographic Decimal Degrees (LongitudeLattidude), WGS 1984       USE APPLICATION:       Site Status:       Unused         PUmp:       Type of Inst.:       No equipment       Pulley Diam. [mm]:       Depth to Intk. [m]:       Site Status:       Unused         Manufacturer:       Depth to Intk. [m]:       Depth to Intk. [m]:       Omegasian       Application:       Domestic - all purposes         WATER CHEMISTRY:       Sample No::       H21-0706       Date sampled:       20090305       Depth sampl. [m]:       0       Comment:         Main Parameters:       Calculated Parameters:       Bacteriol. Parameters:       Bacteriol. Parameters:       Bacteriol. Parameters:         Ca:       7.78       Na:       389 †       Cl:       380 †       Langel.:       -0.01       E.Coli:         EC: [mS/m]       197 †       K:       4.81       NO3 as N:       0.3       Aggr-Ind:       12.15       Faec. co:         TDS:       1150 †       Si:       6.18       SO4:       27.1       Ion-bal:       2.69       Total Co:         Mg:       15.3       Mn:       1.41 ‡       Twale exceeds recommended minimum limit. Value exceeds minim
Coordinate System: Geographic Decimal Degrees (Longitude/Latitude), WGS 1984  EXISTING EQUIPMENT: Pump: Type of Inst.: No equipment Pulley Diam. [mm]: Depth to Intk. [m]: Site Status: Unused Purpose: Exploration Consumer: Urban Application: Domestic - all purposes WATER CHEMISTRY: Sample No.: H21-0706 Date sampled: 20090305 Depth sampl. [m]: O Calculated Parameters: Sample No.: H21-0706 Date sampled: 20090305 Depth sampl. [m]: Calculated Parameters: Bacteriol. Parameter PH: 7.78 Na: 389 † Cl: 380 † Langel.: -0.01 E.Coli: E.Coli: E.Coli: E.Ci [mS/m] 197 † K: 4.81 NO3 as N: 0.3 Aggr-Ind: 12.15 Face. co: TDS: 1150 † Si: 6.18 SO4: 27.1 Ion-bal: 2.69 Total Co: T. Alk.: 359 Al: F: 1.77 ‡ CaCO3: 128.05 SPC: Ca: 26.1 Fe: 0.05 Concentrations in [mg]: Bact. param. incounts/100mi Chemistery Standard: SABS for human consumption Mg: 15.3 Mn: 1.41 ‡ Value exceeds recommended minimum limit 1 Value exceeds mainimum allowable limit AQUIFER INFORMATION: Depth to Top [m] Depth to Bot. [m] Material Material Thickn. [mm] Type of openings [mm]: Value Comment Value Calculated Parameter Openings [mm]: Value Comment
EXISTING EGOPPMENT:       Unused         Pump:       Depth to Intk. [m]:         Manufacturer:       Depth to Intk. [m]:         Manufacturer:       Depth to Intk. [m]:         Sile Status:       Unused         Manufacturer:       Power Rating [kW]         Type of Power:       No Equipment         Pulley Diam. [mm]:       Or Consumer:         VATER CHEMISTRY:       Sample No.: H21-0706       Date sampled: 20090305       Depth sampl. [m]:       0       Comment:         Main Parameters:       Calculated Parameters:       Bacteriol. Parameters       Bacteriol. Parameters         EC: [mS/m]       197 †       K:       4.81       NO3 as N:       0.3       Aggr-Ind:       12.15       Face. co:         TDS:       1150 †       Si:       6.18       SO4:       27.1       lon-bal:       2.69       Total Co:         T. Alk:       359       Al:       F:       1.77 ‡       CaCO3:       128.05       SPC:         Ca:       26.1       Fe:       0.05       Concentrations in [mg]; Bact. param. in constructs 100ml; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumptor         Mg:       15.3       Mn:       1.41 ‡       1 Value exceeds recommended realized recommended recominmended reconstructon main initing 1 Value exceeds maininini main
Type of Inst::       No equipment       Pulley Diam. [mm]: Depth to Intk. [m]:       Site Status:       Unused         Manufacturer:       Depth to Intk. [m]:       Site Status:       Unused         Figure of Power::       No Equipment       Power Rating [kW] Pulley Diam. [mm]:       Site Status:       Unused         Type of Power::       No Equipment       Power Rating [kW] Pulley Diam. [mm]:       Omestic - all purposes         WATER CHEMISTRY:         Sample No::       H21-0706       Date sampled:       20090305       Depth sampl. [m]:       0       Comment:         Main Parameters:       Calculated Parameters:       Bacteriol. Parameters:       Bacteriol. Parameters:         pH:       7.78       Na:       389 †       Cl:       380 †       Langel.:       -0.01       E.Coli:         EC: [mS/m]       197 †       K:       4.81       NO3 as N:       0.3       Aggr-Ind:       12.15       Face. co:         TJS:       1150 †       Si:       6.18       SO4:       27.1       Ion-bal:       2.69       Total Co:         T. Alk::       359       Al:       F:       1.77 ‡       CaCO3:       128.05       SPC:         Ca:       26.1       Fe:       0.05       Connernetionenininmut init ±
Manufacturer:       Depth to Intk. [m]:       Purpose:       Exploration         Engine:       Power Rating [kW]       Onumer:       Urban         Manufacturer:       No Equipment       Pulley Diam. [mm]:       Onestic - all purposes         WATER CHEMISTRY:       Sample No.:       H21-0706       Date sampled:       20090305       Depth sampl. [m]:       0       Comment:         Main Parameters:       Calculated Parameters:       Bacteriol. Parameters:       Bacteriol. Parameters:       Bacteriol. Parameters:         PI:       7.78       Na:       389 †       Cl:       380 †       Langel.:       -0.01       E.Coli:         EC: [mS/m]       197 †       K:       4.81       NO3 as N:       0.3       Aggr-Ind:       12.15       Faec. co:         TDS:       1150 †       Si:       6.18       SO4:       27.1       Ion-bal:       2.69       Total Co:         Ca:       26.1       Fe:       0.05       Concentrations in (mg]! Bact, param. in counter/100m! Chemistry Standard: SkB for human consumption t Value exceeds recommended maximum limit. Value exceeds maximum allowable limit.         Mg:       15.3       Mn:       1.41 ‡       Value exceeds recommended maximum limit. Value exceeds maximum allowable limit.         Mg:       15.3       Mn:       1
Engine:
Mainlacture:       Power raining [kWr]       Application:       Domestic - all purposes         Type of Power:       No Equipment       Pulley Diam. [mm]:       Application:       Domestic - all purposes         WATER CHEMISTRY:       Sample No.:       H21-0706       Date sampled:       20090305       Depth sampl. [m]:       0       Comment:         Main Parameters:       Sample No.:       H21-0706       Date sampled:       20090305       Depth sampl. [m]:       0       Comment:         Main Parameters:       Sample No.:       H21-0706       Date sampled:       20090305       Depth sampl. [m]:       0       Comment:         Main Parameters:       Calculated Parameters:       Bacteriol. Parameters:       Bacteriol. Parameters:       Bacteriol. Parameters:         EC: [mS/m]       197 †       K:       4.81       NO3 as N:       0.3       Aggr-Ind:       12.15       Faec. co:         TDS:       1150 †       Si:       6.18       SO4:       27.1       Ion-bal:       2.69       Total Co:         Ca:       26.1       Fe:       0.05       Concentrations in [mg]! Bact.parame.incounts'100m; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumption of value exceeds recommended maximum illimit ± Value exceeds maximum allowable limit       Material       Value exceeds recommended maximum illimit ± Value exceeds maximum allo
WATER CHEMISTRY:         Sample No.: H21-0706 Date sampled: 20090305 Depth sampl. [m]: 0 Comment:         Main Parameters:       Calculated Parameters:       Bacteriol. Parameter         pH:       7.78       Na:       389 †       Cl:       380 †       Langel.:       -0.01       E.Coli:         EC: [mS/m]       197 †       K:       4.81       NO3 as N:       0.3       Aggr-Ind:       12.15       Faec. co:         TDS:       1150 †       Si:       6.18       SO4:       27.1       Ion-bal:       2.69       Total Co:         T. Alk.:       359       Al:       F:       1.77 ‡       CaCO3:       128.05       SPC:         Ca:       26.1       Fe:       0.05       Concentrations in [mgi]: Lange.L. param. in counts/100m; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumptior ¹ Value exceeds recommended maximum limit y Value exceeds maximum allowable limit         Mg:       15.3       Mn:       1.41 ‡ ¹ Value exceeds recommended minimum limit y Value exceeds minimim allowable limit         AQUIFER INFORMATION:       Depth to Bot. [m]       Depth to Bot. [m]       Method meas.       Aquifer type       Comment         12.00       18.00       0.05       Notch (V- or U-notch)       Weathered basin       PUMP SUCTION <td< th=""></td<>
Sample No.:       H21-0706       Date sample:       20090305       Depth sampl. [n]:       0       Comment         Main Parameter:       Calculated Parameter:       Calculated Parameter:       Bacteriol. Parameter:       Parameter:       Parameter:       Calculated Parameter:
Main Parameters:       Calculated Parameters:       Bacteriol. Parameters:         pH:       7.78       Na:       389 †       Cl:       380 †       Langel.:       -0.01       E.Coli:         EC: [mS/m]       197 †       K:       4.81       NO3 as N:       0.3       Aggr-Ind:       12.15       Faec. co:         TDS:       1150 †       Si:       6.18       SO4:       27.1       Ion-bal:       2.69       Total Co:       Total Co:         T. Alk.:       359       Al:       F:       1.77 ‡       CaCO3:       128.05       SPC:       SPC:         Ca:       26.1       Fe:       0.05       Concentrations in [mg/1]; Bact. param. in counst/100mil: Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumption 1 Value exceeds recommended maximum limit ½ Value exceeds max
pH:       7.78       Na:       389 †       Cl:       380 †       Langel.:       -0.01       E.Coli:         EC: [mS/m]       197 †       K:       4.81       NO3 as N:       0.3       Aggr-Ind:       12.15       Faec. co:         TDS:       1150 †       Si:       6.18       SO4:       27.1       Ion-bal:       2.69       Total Co:         T. Alk.:       359       Al:       F:       1.77 ‡       CaCO3:       128.05       SPC:         Ca:       26.1       Fe:       0.05       Concentrations in [mg/I]; Bact param. in counts/100ml; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumption f' Value exceeds recommended maximum allowable limit       Yalue exceeds recommended maximum limit ± Value exceeds maximum allowable limit         Mg:       15.3       Mn:       1.41 ‡       Yield[I/S]       Method meas.       Aquifer type       Comment         12.00       18.00       0.05       Notch (V- or U-notch)       Weathered basin       PUMP SUCTION         CASING DETAILS:       Diam.       Material       Thickn.       Imm]       Openings       Imm]:       Hor.       Mo         0.00       12.00       165       Steel       4       Plain casing       Imm]:       Hor.       Mo         12.00       30.00<
EC: [mS/m]       197 †       K:       4.81       NO3 as N:       0.3       Aggr-Ind:       12.15       Faec. co:         TDS:       1150 †       Si:       6.18       SO4:       27.1       Ion-bal:       2.69       Total Co:         T. Alk.:       359       Al:       F:       1.77 ‡       CaCO3:       128.05       SPC:         Ca:       26.1       Fe:       0.05       Contentrations in [mg/]; Bact. param. in counts/100ml; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumption       Yalue exceeds recommended mainum limit ‡ Value exceeds maximum allowable limit       Value exceeds recommended mainum limit ‡ Value exceeds maximum allowable limit         Mg:       15.3       Mn:       1.41 ‡       Yield[l/s]       Method meas.       Aquifer type       Comment         12.00       18.0       0.05       Notch (V- or U-notch)       Weathered basin       PUMP SUCTION         12.00       18.0       0.05       Notch (V- or U-notch)       Weathered basin       PUMP SUCTION         CASING DETAILS:       Diam.       Material       Imm]       Thickn.       Imm]       Openings [mm]:       Hor. biot.
TDS:       1150 †       Si:       6.18       SO4:       27.1       Ion-bal:       2.69       Total Co:         T. Alk.:       359       Al:       F:       1.77 ‡       CaCO3:       128.05       SPC:         Ca:       26.1       Fe:       0.05       Concentrations in [mg/]; Bact: param. in counts/100ml; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumption
T. Alk.:       359       Al:       F:       1.77 ‡       CaCO3:       128.05       SPC:         Ca:       26.1       Fe:       0.05       Concentrations in [mg/l]; Bact. param. in counts/100ml; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumption 1 Value exceeds recommended maximum limit ‡ Value exceeds maximum allowable limit         Mg:       15.3       Mn:       1.41 ‡       Concentrations in [mg/l]; Bact. param. in counts/100ml; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumption 1 Value exceeds recommended maximum limit ‡ Value exceeds maximum allowable limit         AQUIFER INFORMATION:       Maint       Yield[I/s]       Method meas.       Aquifer type       Comment         12.00       18.00       0.05       Notch (V- or U-notch)       Weathered basin       PUMP SUCTION         CASING DETAILS:       Diam.       Material       Thickn.       Type of openings       Openings [mm]:       Hor.       Ventor         0.00       12.00       165       Steel       4       Plain casing       Length Width       Dist.       Dist.       Dist.       Dist.         12.00       30.00       165       Steel       4       Perforated or slotted       Steel       4       Perforated or slotted       Steel       Steel       Steel       Steel       Steel       Steel       Steee       Steee       Steel       <
Ca:       26.1       Fe:       0.05       Concentrations in [mg/l]; Bact. param. in counts/100ml; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumption 1 Value exceeds recommended maximum limit ‡ Value exceeds maximum allowable limit         Mg:       15.3       Mn:       1.41 ‡       Concentrations in [mg/l]; Bact. param. in counts/100ml; Chemistry Standard: SABS for human consumption 1 Value exceeds recommended maximum limit ‡ Value exceeds maximum allowable limit         AQUIFER INFORMATION:       Depth to Bot. [m]       Depth to Bot. [m]       Yield[l/s]       Method meas.       Aquifer type       Comment         12.00       18.00       0.05       Notch (V- or U-notch)       Weathered basin       PUMP SUCTION         CASING DETAILS:       Diam.       Material       Thickn.       Openings [mm]:       Hor.       Value bist.       Method mease         0.00       12.00       165       Steel       4       Plain casing       Length Width       Dist.
Mg:       15.3       Mn:       1.41 ‡ [†] Value exceeds recommended maximum limit ‡ Value exceeds maximum allowable limit         AQUIFER INFORMATION:       Depth to Top [m]       Depth to Bot. [m]       Yield[I/s]       Method meas.       Aquifer type       Comment         12.00       18.00       0.05       Notch (V- or U-notch)       Weathered basin       PUMP SUCTION         CASING DETAILS:       Diam.       Diam.       Thickn.       Openings [mm]:       Hor.       Value exceeds recommended maximum limit ‡ Value exceeds maximum allowable limit         0.00       12.00       165       Steel       4       Plain casing       Image: 12.00
AQUIFER INFORMATION:         Depth to Top [m]       Depth to Bot. [m]       Yield[I/s]       Method meas.       Aquifer type       Comment         12.00       18.00       0.05       Notch (V- or U-notch)       Weathered basin       PUMP SUCTION         CASING DETAILS:       Diam.       Material       Thickn.       Openings [mm]:       Hor.       Ventile of the bits of the bi
12.00       18.00       0.05       Notch (V- or U-notch)       Weathered basin       PUMP SUCTION         CASING DETAILS: Depth to Top [m] to Bot. [m]       Diam. [mm]       Diam. Material       Thickn. [mm]       Type of openings       Openings [mm]: Length       Hor. Dist.       Ventor         0.00       12.00       165       Steel       4       Plain casing       Ventor       Ve
CASING DETAILS:       Diam.       Diam.       Thickn.       Thickn.       Openings       Openings [mm]:       Hor.       Vertical         0.00       12.00       165       Steel       4       Plain casing       12.00       165       Steel       4       Perforated or slotted       165       Steel       165 <td< th=""></td<>
0.00       12.00       165       Steel       4       Plain casing         12.00       30.00       165       Steel       4       Perforated or slotted
TESTING DETAILS: Durate Double to Direct Deputer Deputer
Description Date [min] intk. [m] rate[l/s] [m] [m] % [min] T [m ² d] Storage Comm
MULTI STEP 1 20090220 60 26.80 0.10 19.30
MULTI STEP 2 20090220 9 26.80 0.20 26.53 24.14 9 120
RECOMMENDATIONS: Depth Duty cyc. Disch. rate Dyn. water Crit. v
Prior. Rec. equipm. to intk. [m] Type of power [hrs] [l/s] Water quality level [m] level [
1 27.00 1 0.10 CLASS 3
<i>Note:</i> Not recommended; water level 24.04.2009 - 9.49m



MANAGEMEN	IT RECOMM	ENDATIONS					Date co	ompiled	: 2010/0	01/18
BASIC SITE IN	FORMATIO	N: Site Identifie	r: 2327DAV	0036 <b>N</b>	<i>umber:</i> H	21-0707	Site type:	Borehole	1	
Distr./Farm No.:	LPLQ591	Site Name/Descr	.: RIVERSB	END PT	N. ELLISR	AS				
Latitude [°]:	23.731611	Alt. No. 1:		Diam	. [mm]:	165	Water	lev. [m]:		5.61
Longitude [°]:	27.746639	Alt. No. 2:		Dept	h [m]:	305.00	WL sta	ntus:	Static	
Altitude [m]:	825.00	Rep. inst.: VSA		Col.	ht. [m]:	0.45	Date V	/L meas.	200903	310
Coordinate System: Geog	graphic Decimal Degre	es (Longitude/Latitude), W	GS 1984							
	UIPMENT:					USE APPL		:		
Type of Inst.:	No equipment	Pulle	y Diam. [mn	n]:		Site Status:	Unused			
Manufacturer:		Deptl	h to Intk. [m]	]:		Purpose:	Exploratio	n		
Engine:		0	n Datin n II-l	A/7		Consumer:				
Manufacturer:	No Equipment	Powe	er Rating [KV v Diam Imn	//j n1·		Application:	Domestic	- all purpo	ses	
Type of Forter:			y Blaini [inii							
WATER CHEM	AISTRY:									
Sample No.:	Date	sampled:	D	epth sa	mpl. [m]:		Comme	ent:		
Main Parameters:	:				Cal	culated Parame	eters:	Bacteri	ol. Paran	neters:
pH:	Na:		CI:		Lan	ngel.:		E.Coli:		
EC: [mS/m]	К:	1	NO3 as N:		Agg	gr-Ind:		Faec. c	o:	
TDS:	Si:	;	SO4:		lon	-bal:		Total C	0:	
T. Alk.:	AI:		F:		Cat	CO3:		SPC:		
Ca:	Fe:	(	Concentrations ir Value exceeds	n [mg/l]; Ba recomme	act. param. in c nded maximum	counts/100ml; Chemis	try Standard: S ds maximum a	SABS for hur lowable limit	nan consum	ption
Mg:	Mn:	!	Value exceeds	recommen	ded minimum I	limit ¡ Value exceeds	minimim allow	able limit		
AQUIFER INF	ORMATION:									
Depth to Top [m]	Depth to Bo	t. [m]       Yield[l/s]	Method	l meas.		Aquifer type		Comme	ent	
0.00	0.00	0.00	Notch ('	V- or U-	notch)			DRY-DI	RILL	
CASING DET	AILS:	Diam.	Thic	ckn.			Openinas	[mm]:	Hor.	Vert.
Depth to Top [m]	to Bot. [m]	[mm] Material	[mi	m] T	ype of ope	nings	Length	Width	Dist.	Dist.
0.00	18.00	165 Steel	2	4 P	lain casing					



MANAGEM	ENT RI	ECOMM	ENDA	TIONS	3					Date c	ompileo	d: 20 ⁻	10/01/18
BASIC SITE Distr./Farm No	E INFO	RMATIO	N: Site	Site Iden Name/D	ntifier: 2323 escr.: RIVI	7DCV0005 ERSBEND	Number: PTN. ELLIS	H21-070	8 <b>S</b>	Site type:	Borehol	e	
Latitude [°]: Longitude [°]: Altitude [m]:	:	23.757583 27.747306 835.00	Alt. N Alt. N Rep.	lo. 1: lo. 2: inst.: ∨	SA	Dia De Co	am. [mm]: pth [m]: I. ht. [m]:		165 307.96 0.35	Water WL sta Date V	lev. [m]: atus: VL meas	Sta .: 200	10.79 atic 090219
Coordinate System:	Geographic EQUIPN	Decimal Degre	es (Longi	tude/Latitu	de), WGS 1984	1		USE	APPLI	CATION	1:		
Pump: Type of Inst.:	No e	quipment		F	Pulley Dian	n. [mm]:		Site S	Status:	Unused			
Manufacturer:				Ľ	Depth to Int	tk. [m]:		Purpo	ose:	Exploratio	'n		
Engine: Manufacturer: Type of Power	r: No E	Equipment		F F	Power Ratii Pulley Dian	ng [kW] n. [mm]:		Consi Applie	umer: cation:	Domestic	- all purp	oses	
WATER CH	EMIST	RY:						<u> </u>					
Sample No.:	H21-0708	B Date	sampl	<i>ed:</i> 20	090219	Depth	sampl. [m]	: 0		Comm	ent:		
Main Paramete	ers:						С	alculated	Parame	ters:	Bacter	iol. Pa	rameters:
pH:	6.05	Na:		45.3	CI:	45	5.6 <b>L</b>	angel.:		-3.20	E.Coli:		
EC: [mS/m]	29	K:		3.27	NO3 as	<b>S N:</b> 1.	b A	ggr-Ind:		8.72	Faec. (	:0:	
TDS: TAIK ·	198 21 4	51: Al·		12.1	504: E·	1	58 + <b>(</b>	aCO3.		12.37 <del>+</del> 39 71	SPC	<i>,</i> 0:	
Ca:	8.86	Fe:		0.95 +	Concentr	. ۱ ations in [mɑ/l]	Bact param i	n counts/100	Iml: Chemist	rv Standard	SABS for h	iman coi	asumption
Mg:	4.28	Mn:		0.05	† Value e ! Value e	exceeds recom xceeds recom	mended maxim nended minimu	um limit ‡ Val	alue exceeds ue exceeds	s maximum a minimim allov	llowable lim vable limit	it	loumption
AQUIFER I	NFORM	IATION:											
Depth to Top	[m] Do	epth to Bo	t. [m]	Yield	l[l/s] M	lethod mea	IS.	Aquife	er type		Comm	nent	
21.00		33.00		0	.60 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Fractu	red		TESTE	Đ	
68.00		71.00		1	.30 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Fractu	red		TESTE	Ð	
88.00		93.00		1	.70 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Fractu	red		TESTE	ED	
246.00		230.00		2	.90 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Fractu	red		TESTE	ED ED	
CASING DE	TAILS		<b>.</b>										. Mart
Depth to Top	[m] to B	ot. [m]	Diam. [mm]	Materia	al	[mm]	Type of o	penings	(	Denings Length	i Width	Dis	t. Dist.
0.00 18.00	1	18.00 36.00	165 165	Steel Steel		4 4	Plain casir Perforated	ng or slotted	ł				
TESTING D	ETAIL	S: Date	,	Durat. [min]	Depth to intk. [m]	Disch. rate[l/s]	Drawd. [m]	Rec [m]	overy % [mii	n] T [n	n²∕d] Sto	rage	Comment
MULTI STEP 1		2009	0219	60	111.47	1.07	14.23						
MULTI STEP 2	2	2009	0219	60	111.47	2.05	19.29						
MULTI STEP 3	8	2009	0219	21	111.47	4.07	100.29	12.45	88 1	80	70 00	0100	
SPECIFIC CAP	PACITY	2009	0220	720	0	1.01	25.79	11.20	57 14	40	7.2 0.0	0189	0 0833
SPECIFIC CAF	PACITY	2009	0222	1440	0		25.79						0.0702
RECOMME	NDATIO	ONS: D	epth			Duty cyc.	Disch. rat	e			Dyn.	water	Crit. water
Prior. Rec. e	quipm.	to in	tk. [m]	Туре с	of power	[hrs]	[l/s]	Water	quality		leve	l [m]	level [m]
1 <b>Note:</b>			42.00			24	0.70	) CLASS	33				68.00
0			40.00			0	4 64		<b>`</b> 2				60.00
Z Page 1			42.00			8			VSA L P.O. E Tel: 00 Fax: 0 E-mai	_eboa Cor 3ox 222, F 82 315 29 986 685 73 I: vsalebo	nsulting (l Pietersbur 77/082 3 724 / 078 anels@m	Pty) Lt rg, 070 15 297 890 2 web.c	68.00 d. 00 78 585 0.za

MANAGEN	IENT REG	COMME	NDA	TIONS							Date c	ompiled	: 201	0/01/18
BASIC SIT			N: 5	Site Identifi	er: 20	327DBV	015 015	Number:	: H	21-0709	Site type:	Borehole		
	U Li		Sile		<i></i> G					ISNAS	14/- 4	1 5 7		
Latitude [ ']:	- 23	750000	Alt. N	10. 1: 10. 2:			Dia	am. [mm]:	:	162.00	Water	lev. [m]:		
Altitudo [m]:	21	.752280	All. N	10.2:			De	pun ung: Nationalis		163.00	Date W	alus: M. moac		
Coordinate System:	Geographic De	cimal Degree		tude/Latitude)	WGS 1	984		<i></i>		0.40		vL meas		
EXISTING		ENT:	53 (Eoligi	idde/Latitude),	wao i	504				USE APPL		l:		
–Pump: — Type of Inst.:	No equ	uipment		Pull	ey Di	am. [mm	ŋ]:			Site Status:	Unused			
Manufacturer				Dep	th to	Intk. [m]	:			Purpose:	Exploratio	n		
Engine:				Dou		ating [[c]]	1/7			Consumer:	Non-urbar	า		
Type of Powe	<i>er:</i> No Eq	uipment		Pow	ey Di	am. [mm	v] ]:			Application:	Domestic	- all purpo	ses	
WATER CH	IEMISTR	Y:												
Sample No.:	H31-0709	Date	sampl	l <b>ed:</b> 20090	0310	De	epth	sampl. [m	ŋ]:	0	Comm	ent:		
Main Paramet	ters:								Cal	culated Param	eters:	Bacterio	ol. Par	ameters:
pH:	8.45	Na:		337 †	CI:		30	01 †	Lan	igel.:		E.Coli:		
EC: [mS/m]	172 †	К:		2.99	NO3	as N:	0.	3	Agg	gr-Ind:		Faec. co	o:	
TDS:	1140 †	Si:		7.93	SO4	!:	16	66	lon	-bal:	8.96 †	Total Co	o:	
T. Alk.:		AI:			F:		12	2.3 ‡	Ca	203:	18.18	SPC:		
Ca: Mg:	6.94 0.21	Fe: Mn:		0.06 0.05	Conce † Valu ! Valu	entrations in le exceeds e exceeds r	[mg/l] recom ecomr	; Bact. param mended maxi mended minin	i. in c imum num l	ounts/100ml; Chemi n limit ‡ Value excee limit ¡ Value exceed	stry Standard: ds maximum a s minimim allow	SABS for hun Ilowable limit vable limit	nan cons	umption
AQUIFER I	NFORMA [m] Dep	TION:	. [m]	Yield[l/s	s]	Method	mea	as.		Aquifer type		Comme	ent	
104 90		105 10		0.10		Notch ()	/- or	Ll-notch)		Fractured		TO TES	т.	
148.10		148.20		1.50		Notch ()	/- or	U-notch)		Fractured		TOTES	T	
159.00		160.00		20.0	C	Notch (N	/- or	U-notch)		Fractured		TO TES	т	
CASING D Depth to Top	ETAILS: [m] to Bot	[ . [m] [	Diam. [mm]	Material		Thic [mr	kn. n]	Type of	ope	nings	Openings Length	[mm]: Width	Hor. Dist.	Vert. Dist.
0.00	16	.90	254	Steel		4		Plain cas	ing					
16.90	52	.90	208	Steel		4		Plain cas	ing					
52.90	160	0.00	132	Steel		4		Plain cas	ing					
RECOMME	NDATIOI equipm.	NS: Do to int	epth k. [m]	Type of p	ower	Duty [hrs	cyc. s]	Disch. ra [l/s]	ate	Water quality		Dyn. w level	ater [m]	Crit. wate level [m]
1 <i>Note:</i> This bo	orehole will I	be drilled	0 deepe	r with core o	drill (D	WAF)	0		0	CLASS 4				



MANAGEM	IENT RE	COMMI	ENDA	TIONS	;					D	ate co	mpile	ed: 20	10/01	/18
BASIC SITE			N: Site	Site Iden Name/D	escr.: WO		Number:	H21-07	712 ISRAS	Site	type: E	Boreho	ole		
Latituda [9].		70/200		1 1.			[mm]:			4.05	Motor la	in Im	۰.		0.71
	23	3.734389		vo. 1:			am. [mm]:		004			v. [m]	]: 	- 4' -	2.71
	21	(.745917		vo. 2:	~ *	De	eptn [m]:		204	.95	WL Stat	us:	Sta		_
Altitude [m]:		825.00	Rep.	inst.: V	SA	Co	ol. ht. [m]:				Date WI	. mea	<b>s.:</b> 20	090330	)
Coordinate System:	Geographic De	ecimal Degre	es (Long	itude/Latitud	de), WGS 1984	4									
EXISTING E	EQUIPME	ENT:						US	E APF	PLICA	TION:				
_Pump: Type of Inst.:	No ea	uipment		F	Pullev Dian	n. [mm]:		Site	Status	s: Unu	ised				
Manufacturer:	;			Ľ	Depth to In	tk. [m]:		Pur	nose:	Exp	loration				
_Enaine:										u Nor	urban				
Manufacturer:	;			F	Power Ratii	ng [kW]		Cor	isumer	: 1101	I-UIDall				
Type of Power	r: No Eq	uipment		F	Pulley Dian	n. [mm]:		Арр	olicatio	n: Don	nestic - a	all pur	poses		
WATER CH	IEMISTR	Y:													
Sample No.:	2009/CS08	B7 Date	samp	<i>led:</i> 20	090330	Depth	sampl. [m	<b>]:</b> 0		C	Commer	nt:			
Main Paramet	ers:					•		Calculat	ed Para	ameters		Bacte	eriol. Pa	aramet	ers:
nU:	7 74	Nat		2026+	CI:	2	161	angol	ou i uic	0.5	0	ECol		anumot	0.01
рп. ЕС: [mS/m]	1207+	Na.		292.0	UI.	<u></u>	+0.4 L	.angen Naar Inc		-0.0	51	E.C01			
	750.1	<u>л</u> .		0.12	NO3 a	<b>S IN.</b> 0.				11.5		Taec.	0.		
TDS:	759 T	SI:		0.007	504:	8	3.8 <b>I</b>	on-bal:		6.33	3 T	I OTAI	<i>C</i> 0:		
Т. АІК.:	165.6	AI:		0.037	F:	8.	616‡ (	cacos:		60.7	1	SPC:			
Ca:	14.33	Fe:		0.001	Concentr † Value e	ations in [mg/l]	; Bact. param. mended maxir	in counts/1	00ml; Ch Value ex	emistry Sta ceeds ma	andard: SA ximum allo	BS for l wable li	human co mit	nsumptio	n
Mg:	6.067	Mn:		0.013	. Value e	xceeds recom	mended minim	um limit ¡ \	/alue exce	eeds minin	nim allowal	ole limit			
<b>AQUIFER II</b>	NFORM/	ATION:													
Depth to Top	[m] Dep	oth to Bo	t. [m]	Yield	l[l/s] M	lethod mea	as.	Aqu	ifer typ	be in the second se		Com	ment		
28.90		29.10		0	.10 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Frac	tured			TEST	ED		
186.00		186.20		1	.00 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Frac	tured			TEST	ED		
193.10		193.20		1	.80 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Frac	tured			TEST	ED		
198.50		201.40		8	.00 N	otch (V- or	U-notch)	Frac	tured			TEST	ED		
CASING DE	ETAILS: [m] to Bo	t. [m]	Diam. [mm]	Materia	al	Thickn. [mm]	Type of a	pening	s	Ope L	nings [ɪ .ength	nm]: Widtl	Ho h Dis	r. V st. I	'ert. Dist.
0.00	24	.00	165	Steel		4	Plain casi	na							
24.00	30	.00	165	Steel		4	Perforated	d or slott	ed						
Description		Date	,	Durat. [min]	Depth to intk. [m]	Disch. rate[l/s]	Drawd. [m]	R [m]	ecover %	ry [min]	<b>T [m</b> ⅔	d] Si	torage	Com	nent
MULTI STEP 1		2009	0330	60	76.40	3.41	29.13								
MULTI STEP 2	2	2009	0330	60	76.40	5.03	55.85								
MULTI STEP 3	}	2009	0330	25	76.40	8.17	72.57	4.66	94	120					
CONSTANT		2009	0331	720	76.40	4.04	57.83	0.88	95	720	3.	3 0.	.00179		
SPECIFIC CAP	PACITY	2009	0401	720	0		57.83							0.0699	3
RECOMME	NDATIO	NS: n	enth			Duty eve	Disch ra	te				Dyp	water	Crit	water
Prior. Rec. e	equipm.	to int	k. [m]	Туре о	of power	[hrs]	[l/s]	Wate	er quali	ity		lev	vel [m]	level	[m]
1			120.00			24	2 0		SS 4					18	36.00
Note:							2.0								
2			120.00			8	4.0	0 CLA	SS 4					18	36.00
									V: P. Te Fa	SA Lebo .O. Box el: 082 3 ax: 086 -mail: vs	ba Cons 222, Pie 315 2977 685 772 saleboar	ulting etersbu 7/082 4 / 07 nels@	(Pty) Lt urg, 070 315 29 8 890 2 mweb.o	d. 00 78 2585 co.za	

MANAGEMEN	IT RECOMM	ENDA	TIONS				[	Date co	mpiled	: 2010/	01/18
BASIC SITE IN	FORMATIO	N: :	Site Identifier:	2327DAV(	039 <i>Number:</i>	M21-0713	Sit	e type:	Borehole		
Distr./Farm No.:	LPLQ528	Site	Name/Descr.:	TOUWFO	NTEIN PTN. EL	LISRAS					
Latitude [°]:	23.700694	Alt. I	No. 1:		Diam. [mm]:	1(	65	Water I	ev. [m]:		6.15
Longitude [°]:	27.749361	Alt. I	No. 2:		Depth [m]:	240.0	00	WL sta	tus:	Static	
Altitude [m]:	825.00	Rep.	inst.: VSA		Col. ht. [m]:	0.5	50	Date W	L meas.	200903	313
Coordinate System: Geog	graphic Decimal Degre	es (Long	itude/Latitude), WGS	1984							
EXISTING EQ	UIPMENT:					USE APP	LIC	ATION			
-Pump:	Becorder		Pulley [	Diam Imn	n7-	Site Status:	Ur	nused			
Manufacturer:	Tiecorder		Depth to	o Intk. [m]		Burnose:	Ev	nloration	1		
_Engine:			•			Concurrent			I		
Manufacturer:			Power F	Rating [kV	V]	Consumer:		on-urban			
Type of Power:	Other		Pulley L	Diam. [mn	ŋ]:	Application	: Do	omestic -	all purpo	ses	
WATER CHEM	<b>MISTRY:</b>										
Sample No :	Date	samn	led.	D	onth samnl [m]	1.		Comme	nt·		
Main Deremetere	. Date	Jamp	icu.	D	pui sampi. [m]	,. Soloulated Darar	noto		Pootori	Doron	otoro
main Parameters:							nete	s:	Dacterio	Ji. Paran	leters:
рп: EC: [mS/m]	Na: K:			)2 ac N:	L	.angei.: Naar-Ind:			E.COII:	<b>.</b> .	
	K.		NC SC	л. Л.	-	on-hal:			Total C	o.	
T. Alk.:	۵۱: ۵۱:		F.		(	CaCO3:			SPC:		
Ca:	Fe:		Con	centrations in	[mg/l]: Bact param	in counts/100ml: Cher	mistry 9	Standard: S	ABS for hur	nan consum	ntion
Ma:	Mn:		† Va I Va	alue exceeds	recommended maxim	num limit + Value exce	eeds m	naximum allow	owable limit		ption
			. •••								
AQUIFER INFO	ORMATION:										
Depth to Top [m]	Depth to Bo	t. [m]	Yield[l/s]	Method	meas.	Aquifer type	•		Comme	ent	
21.10	21.20		0.05	Notch (	/- or U-notch)	Weathered b	asin		MONIT	ORING	
100.20	100.30		0.08	Notch (	/- or U-notch)	Fractured			MONIT	ORING	
176.10	176.30		0.40	Notch (	/- or U-notch)	Fractured			MONIT	ORING	
213.00	214.00		0.80	Notch (	/- or U-notch)	Fractured			MONIT	ORING	
229.00	229.50		1.30	Notch (	/- or U-notch)	Fractured			MONIT	ORING	
CASING DET	AILS:	Diam.		Thic	kn.		Ор	enings	mm]:	Hor.	Vert.
Depth to Top [m]	to Bot. [m]	[mm]	Material	[mi	n] Type of o	penings		Length	Width	Dist.	Dist.
0.00	45.00	165	Steel	4	Plain casi	ng					
						5					



ASIC SITE INFORMATION:       Site Identifier: 23270BV0016 Number: H2         Distr.Farm No.:       LPLQ501       Site Name/Descr.: GROOTFONTEIN PTN. ELLK         atitude [']:       23.660222       Alt. No. 1:       Distr.Farm No.:       Cites Care       Distr.Farm No.:       Cites Care       Distr.Farm No.:       Cites Care       Distr.Farm:       Care       Distr.Farm:       Care       Distr.Farm:       Care       Distr.Farm:       Care       Distr.Farm:       Distr.		
Not.:       LPLQ501       Site Name/Desct.: GHOOTFONTEINPTIN. ELLIN         atitude [1]:       23.660222       Alt. No. 1:       Dam. [nm]:         ongitude [1]:       27.756260       Alt. No. 2:       Dam. [nm]:         ititude [n]:       82.0022       Alt. No. 2:       Dam. [nm]:         propintititude [n]:       82.0022       Alt. No. 2:       Date (nm):         Variante System:       Geographic Decimal Degree (LongitudeLatitude), WGS 1984       Date (nm):         EXISTING EQUIPMENT:       Pulley Diam. [nm]:       Date (nm):         Pump:       System:       Degth to Intk. [m]:       Edition (nm):         Sinufacturer:       Power Rating [kW]       Pulley Diam. [nm]:         Yater CHEMISTRY:       Sample No.:       Depth sampl. [m]:         Sinufacturer:       No1:       Ci:       Lang         C:       [ms]:       NO3 as N:       Agg         DS:       Si:       SO4:       Img:         :       Alk:       F:       CaC         :       Mn:       Yield[lis]       Method meas.         0.00       0.00       0.00       Notch (V- or U-motch)	21-0714 <b>Si</b> i	te type: Borehole
atitude [']: 23.660222    Alt. No. 1: ongliude [']: 27.756250    Alt. No. 2: Alt. No. 2: Alt. No. 2: Alt. No. 2: Alt. No. 2: Alt. No. 2: Alt. No. 2: Diam. [mm]: Depth [m]: Col. ht. [m]: Col.	SRAS	
ongitude [1]:       27.756250       Alt. No. 2:       Bep. Inst.: VSA       Depth [m]:       Col. ht. [m]:         condinate System: Geographic Decimal Degrees (Longitude/Latitude), WGS 1984       Existing EQUIPMENT:       Depth to Intk. [m]:         Pump:	165	Water lev. [m]:
Wittude [m]:       820.00       Rep. inst.: VSA       Col. ht. [m]:         cordinate System: Geographic Docimal Degrees (Longhude/Latitude), WGS 1984       EXISTING EQUIPMENT:         Pump:       Depth to Intk.:       No equipment       Pulley Diam. [mm];         fanufacturer:       Depth to Intk. [m]:       Tempine:         Fanufacturer:       Power Rating [kW]       ype of Power:       No Equipment         Fanufacturer:       Date sampled:       Depth sampl. [m]:         tain Parameters:       Calc         H:       Na:       Cd:         [Ci [mS/m]       K:       NO3 as N:       Agg         [Ci [mS/m]       Mn:       'Value exceeds recommended minimum in         [QUIFER INFORMATION:       Covertain the commended minimum in       'Value exceeds recommended minimum in         QUIFER INFORMATION:       0.00       0.00       Notch (V- or U-notch)         0.00       0.00       0.00       Notch (V- or U-notch)	24.00	WL status:
ordinate System: Geographic Decimal Degrees (LongitudeLtaitude), WGS 1984		Date WL meas.:
XISTING EQUIPMENT:         Pump:         Yge of Inst.:       No equipment       Pulley Diam. [mm]:         Baufacturer:       Depth to Intk. [m]:         Engine:       Power Rating [kW]         Yge of Power:       No Equipment       Pulley Diam. [mm]:         VATER CHEMISTRY:       Sample No.:       Date sampled:       Depth sampl. [m]:         Iain Parameters:       Ci:       Lang         K:       NO3 as N:       Agg         DS:       Si:       SO4:       ton-I         Alk::       Al:       F:       CaC         Yait:       Na:       Ci:       tang         Ig:       Mn:       I'value exceeds recommended manual in         Ig:       Mn:       I'value exceeds recommended manual in         QUIFER INFORMATION:       Ivalue exceeds recommended manual in         QUIFER INFORMATION:       Ivalue exceeds recommended manual in         0.00       0.00       0.00       Netch (V- or U-notch)         0.00       0.00       0.00       Netch (V- or U-notch)		
Pump:	USE APPLIC	ATION:
Induitation: Into Graphics in the link. [m]:   Engine: Power Rating [kW]   Haufacturer: Power Rating [kW]   Varea of Power: No Equipment   Pulley Diam. [mm]: VATER CHEMISTRY:   Iample No: Date sampled:   Iamination in frequencies Calc   H: Na:   C[mS/m] K:   NO3 as N: Agg   C[mS/m] K:   Nall: F:   Calk: Al:   Calk: <td>Site Status: U</td> <td>Inused</td>	Site Status: U	Inused
Engine: Idenufacturer: Power Rating [kW] Ype of Power: No Equipment Pulley Diam. [mm]: VATER CHEMISTRY: isample No.: Date sampled: Depth sampl. [m]: tain Parameters: Calc H: Na: Cl: Lang C: [mS/m] K: NO3 as N: Agg DS: Si: SO4: Ion-1 Alk: Al: F: CaC a: Fe: Concentrations in [mg]!: Bact. param. In co 1 Value exceeds recommended maximum Ig: Mn: Value exceeds recommended maximum QUIFER INFORMATION: Depth to Top [m] Depth to Bot. [m] Vield[I/s] Method meas. 0.00 0.00 0.00 Notch (V- or U-notch)	Purpose: E	xploration
Translation       Power Rating [kW]         Ype of Power: No Equipment       Pulley Diam. [mm]:         VATER CHEMISTRY:       Date sampled:       Depth sampl. [m]:         Sample No.:       Date sampled:       Depth sampl. [m]:         Main Parameters:       Calc         K:       N03 as N:       Agg.         DS:       Si:       SO4:       Ion-         Alk.:       Al:       F:       Calc         Alk.:       Al:       F:       Calc         Ig:       Mn:       Usue exceeds recommended maximum in Value exceeds recommended minimum in Value exceeds recommended min	Concumer	
Type of Power:       No Equipment       Pulley Diam. [mm]:         VATER CHEMISTRY:       Sample No.:       Date sampled:       Depth sampl. [m]:         Iain Parameters:       Calc       Calc         H:       Na:       Cl:       Lang         C:       Sinf       NO3 as N:       Agg         DS:       Si:       SO4:       Ion-I         Alk:       Al:       F:       Cacc         Aik:       Al:       F:       Cac         G:       Mn:       Value exceeds recommended maximum         Ig:       Mn:       Value exceeds recommended maximum         QUIFER INFORMATION:       Concentrations in [mg]!       Bepth to Top [m]         Depth to Top [m]       Depth to Bot. [m]       Yield[l/s]       Method meas.         0.00       0.00       0.00       Notch (V- or U-notch)	Consumer.	·
VATER CHEMISTRY:         Sample No.:       Date sampled:       Depth sampl. [m]:         Itain Parameters:       Calc         H:       Na:       Cl:       Lang         CC: [mS/m]       K:       NO3 as N:       Agg.         DS:       S1:       S04:       Long         Alk.:       Al:       F:       Calc         NAIK:       Al:       F:       Calc         Na:       Fe:       Concentrations in [mqi]: Bact. param. in control of Value exceed recommended minimum in Value exceed recommended minin the Value exceed recommended minimum in Value exceed	Application: U	omestic - all purposes
Sample No.: Date sampled: Depth sampl. [m]: Itain Parameters: Calc H: Na: CI: Lang CC: [mS/m] K: NO3 as N: Agg DS: Si: SO4: Ion-1 Alk.: Al: F: Concentrations in [mg1]: Bact. param. in co 1 Value exceeds recommended minimum in Value exceeds recommended minimum in Noter (V- or U-notch)		
Itain Parameters:       Calc         H:       Na:       CI:       Lang         IC:       [ms/m]       K:       NO3 as N:       Agg.         DS:       SI:       SO4:       Ion-I         Alk.:       Al:       F:       Calc         Alk.:       Al:       F:       Calc         Namport Alian       Na:       SO4:       Ion-I         Alk.:       Al:       F:       Calc         Name exceeds recommended mainmunit       Value exceeds recommended mainmunit       Value exceeds recommended mainmunit         QUIFER INFORMATION:       Value exceeds recommended mainmunit       Value exceeds recommended mainmunit         QUIFER INFORMATION:       0.00       0.00       Notch (V- or U-notch)         0.00       0.00       0.00       Notch (V- or U-notch)		Comment:
Ham Parameters.       Na:       Cl:       Lang         H:       Na:       Cl:       Lang         CC: [mS/m]       K:       NO3 as N:       Agg         DS:       Si:       SO4:       Ioni         JS:       Alk:       F:       CaC         Alk:       Al:       F:       CaC         Ca:       Fe:       Concentrations in (mg/l): Bact. param. In contradistic exceeds recommended maintum in the seconds recommended maintum in the second reco	oulated Paramete	Bacteriol. Parameter
H:       Na:       O:       Leng         C:       [mS/m]       K:       NO3 as N:       Agg         DS:       Si:       SO4:       Ion-I         Alk.:       Al:       F:       Caccentrations in [mgi]: Bact. param. in constraints         Alg:       Fe:       Concentrations in [mgi]: Bact. param. in constraints         Agg:       Mn:       ! Value exceeds recommended maximum list         QUIFER INFORMATION:       Image:       Image:         Depth to Top [m]       Depth to Bot. [m]       Yield[l/s]       Method meas.         0.00       0.00       0.00       Notch (V- or U-notch)		
C: [mS/m]       K:       NOS as N.       rggs         DS:       Si:       SO4:       Ion-i         Alk.:       Al:       F:       CaC         2a:       Fe:       Concentrations in [mg/l]; Bact, param. in control of the acceds recommended maximum in the acceds recommended ma	gel.:	E.Coll:
DS:       SI:       SO4:       FUTFI         Alk.:       Al:       F:       CaC         Sa:       Fe:       Concentrations in (mg/l); Bact, param. In control decoder decoder scoonmended maximum live acceeds recommended maximum live acceeds recommended minimum live       Interview control decoder decoder decoder maximum live         AQUIFER INFORMATION:       Interview control decoder deco	ir-Ina:	Faec. cu:
Alk.:       Al:       F:       cate         Ca:       Fe:       Concentrations in [mg/l; Bact, param, in cate         Ig:       Mn:       1 Value exceeds recommended maintum         Ig:       Mn:       1 Value exceeds recommended maintum         AQUIFER INFORMATION:       Interview       Interview         Depth to Top [m]       Depth to Bot. [m]       Yield[I/s]       Method meas.         0.00       0.00       0.00       Notch (V- or U-notch)	bal:	Total Co:
Ca:       Fe:       Concentrations in [mg]; Back param, in	:03:	SPC:
Mg:         Mn:         Yeld[I/s]         Method meas.           Oppint to Top [m]         Depth to Bot. [m]         Yield[I/s]         Method meas.           0.00         0.00         0.00         Notch (V- or U-notch)	ounts/100ml; Chemistry limit ± Value exceeds	Standard: SABS for human consumption
AQUIFER INFORMATION:         Vield[I/s]         Method meas.           0.00         0.00         0.00         Notch (V- or U-notch)	imit ¡ Value exceeds mi	inimim allowable limit
	VSALE	
	P.O. Bo	ox 222, Pietersburg, 0700
	Tel: 082	2 315 2977/082 315 2978

MANAGEN	IENT RE	СОММ	ENDA	TIONS	;					Da	ate cor	npiled	: 201	0/01/18
BASIC SIT			N: 5	Site Iden	tifier: 232	7DAV0041	Number:	H21-07	15	Site	type: E	orehole		
Distr./Farm No	<b>0.:</b> L	PLQ524	Site		escr.: 50F		ISPIN. EL	LISKAS			Nataria			0.40
Latitude [ ]:	20	3.68/361	Alt. N	10.1:		Di	am. [mm]:		2	254	Nater le	v. [m]:	0	0.49
Longitude [ ]:	: 2	(./45580	AIT. N	10. 2:	<b>•</b>	De	eptn [m]:		32	.45	VL Stati	ls:	Sta	
Altitude [m]:	0	820.00	кер.	Inst.: V	SA		oi. nt. [m]:		U	0.50 <b>L</b>	Jate WL	. meas.:	200	90319
oordinate System:	Geographic De	ecimal Degre	ees (Longi	tude/Latitud	de), WGS 1984	1					TION			
EXISTING I	EQUIPMI	ENI:						USE	: API	PLICA	HON:			
Type of Inst.: Manufacturer	No eq	uipment		F	Pulley Dian Depth to Inc	n. [mm]: tk. [m]:		Site	Status	s: Unu	sed			
Engine:	-								ose:	Expi				
Manufacturer	:			F	Power Ratii	ng [kW]		Cons	sumer	: Non	-urban			
Type of Powe	er: No Ec	luipment		F	Pulley Dian	n. [mm]:		Appl	icatio	n: Dom	nestic - a	all purpo	ses	
	IEMISTR	Y:						<u>  </u>						
Sample No.:	2009/CS08	36 <b>Date</b>	e sampl	l <b>ed:</b> 20	090319	Depth	sampl. [m]:	. 0		С	ommer	nt:		
Main Paramet	ters:						C	alculate	d Para	ameters	:	Bacterio	ol. Pa	rameters:
oH:	6.82	Na:		5.942	CI:	5.	2 <b>L</b> a	angel.:		-2.5	4	E.Coli:		
EC: [mS/m]	8.05	K:		1.63	NO3 a	<b>s N:</b> 0.	057 <b>A</b>	ggr-Ind:		9.25		Faec. c	o:	
TDS:	39	Si:			SO4:	5.	7 <b>Io</b>	n-bal:		-3.1	5	Total Co	o:	
T. Alk.:	22.9	AI:		14.12 ‡	F:	0.	277 <b>C</b>	aCO3:		19.8	2	SPC:		
Ca:	4.68	Fe:		4.58 ‡	Concentr	ations in [mg/l]	; Bact. param. ir	n counts/10	0ml; Ch	emistry Sta	andard: SA	BS for hun	nan con	sumption
Mg:	1.981	Mn:		0.017	† Value e ! Value e	exceeds recom xceeds recom	mended maximi mended minimu	um limit ‡' m limit ¡Va	Value exce alue exce	ceeds max eeds minim	kimum allo nim allowat	wable limit ble limit		
Depth to Top 0.50	[m] Dep	oth to Bo 36.00	t. [m]	Yiela 1(	<b>[[<i>\</i>/s] M</b> 0.00 N	lethod mea otch (V- or	<b>is.</b> U-notch)	Aqui	fer typ	)e		Comme TESTEI	ent D	
CASING D	ETAILS: [m] to Bo	t. [m]	Diam. [mm]	Materia	al	Thickn. [mm]	Type of op	penings		Opei L	nings [r ength	nm]: Width	Hor Dist	Vert. Dist.
0.00	3.	.00	165	Steel		4	Plain casin	g						
0.00	10	.50	254	Steel		4	Perforated	or slotte	d					
3.00	30	.00	165	Steel		4	Perforated	or slotte	d					
30.00	36	5.00	165	Steel		4	Plain casin	g						
TESTING D	DETAILS	:		Durat.	Depth to	Disch.	Drawd.	Re	cover	y				
Description		Date	9	[min]	intk. [m]	rate[l/s]	[m]	[m]	%	[min]	<b>T [m</b> ⅔	d] Stor	age	Comment
MULTI STEP 1	1	2009	90319	60	28.16	5.72	0.80							
MULTI STEP 2	2	2009	90319	60	28.16	7.57	1.30							
MULTISTEP	3	2009	0319	60	28.16	12.70	5.05	0	100	00				
CONSTANT	4	2008	0319	60 150	20.10	20.70	4.92 15.32	0 11	001	20				
CONSTANT		2003	90322	20	16.16	14 11	26.62	1.28	95	15				
CONSTANT		2005	90322	150	27.40	13.60	20.02	0.45	98	150	5	6 0.00	178	
		NS: D	)epth			Duty cyc.	Disch, rat	e				Dvn. w	ater	Crit. water
Prior. Rec. e	equipm.	to in	tk. [m]	Туре о	of power	[hrs]	[l/s]	Water	<b>qual</b> i	ity		level	[m]	level [m]
1			24.00			24	2.00	CLAS	S 2					
Note:														
2			24.00			8	4.00	CLAS	S 2					
								N	V: P: Te	SA Lebo .O. Box 3 el: 082 3 ax: 086 6	a Consi 222, Pie 15 2977 685 772	ulting (P tersburg 7/082 31 4 / 078 8	ty) Lto g, 070 5 297 390 25	l. 0 8 585

MANAGEMEN	NT RECOMM	ENDA	TIONS				Date co	ompiled:	2010	)/01/18
BASIC SITE I	NFORMATIO	N: 5	Site Identifier: 2	327DAV00	40 Number:	H21-0716	Site type:	Borehole		
Distr./Farm No.:	LPLQ503	Site	Name/Descr.: O	NVERWA	CHT PTN. ELLI	ISRAS				
Latitude [ ]:	23.688944	Alt. N	lo. 1:		Diam. [mm]:	16	5 Water I	ev. [m]:		1.67
Longitude [°]:	27.692250	Alt. N	lo. 2:		Depth [m]:	27.0	0    WL sta	tus:	Stati	С
Altitude [m]:	845.00	Rep.	<i>inst.:</i> VSA		Col. ht. [m]:	0.5	0 Date W	'L meas.:	2009	0424
Coordinate System: Geo	graphic Decimal Degr	ees (Longi	tude/Latitude), WGS 1	984						
	UIPMENT:					USE APPL	ICATION	:		
Type of Inst.:	No equipment		Pulley Di	am. [mm]:		Site Status:	Unused			
Manufacturer:			Depth to	Intk. [m]:		Purpose:	Exploration	ı		
Engine:			Power P	otina [kW/]		Consumer:	Non-urban			
Type of Power:	No Equipment		Power na Pullev Di	anng [kw] am. [mm]:		Application:	Domestic -	all purpos	es	
WATER CHEI	MISTRY:									
Sample No.:	Date	e sampl	led:	Dep	th sampl. [m]:		Comme	ent:		
Main Parameters	:				Ca	alculated Param	eters:	Bacteriol	. Para	ameters:
pH:	Na:		CI:		La	angel.:		E.Coli:		
EC: [mS/m]	К:		NOS	3 as N:	Ag	ggr-Ind: 		Faec. co:		
TDS:	Si:		S04	l:	lo	n-bal:		Total Co:		
1. AIK.:	AI: Eoi		F:					SPC:		
Ca: Ma:	re: Mn·		Conce † Valu	entrations in [r ue exceeds rec	ig/I]; Bact. param. ir commended maximu	n counts/100ml; Chem um limit ‡ Value excee m limit : Value excee	istry Standard: S eds maximum all	ABS for huma owable limit	n cons	umption
ing.			: vaiu	e exceeds led		IT IIITIIL   Value exceed				
AQUIFER INF	ORMATION:									
Depth to Top [m]	Depth to Bo	ot. [m]	Yield[l/s]	Method n	ieas.	Aquifer type		Commer	nt	
4.00	5.00		0.05	Notch (V-	or U-notch)	Weathered ba	sin	DRY-DRI	LL	
CASING DET	AILS:	Diam.		Thick	1.		Openinas l	mm1:	Hor.	Vert.
Depth to Top [m]	to Bot. [m]	[mm]	Material	[mm]	Type of op	penings	Length	Width	Dist.	Dist.
0.00	3.00	165	Steel	4	Plain casin	g				
3.00	15.00	165	Steel	4	Perforated	or slotted				
RECOMMEN	DATIONS: L	Depth		Duty c	c. Disch. rate	e		Dyn. wa	ter (	Crit. water
Prior. Rec. equ	ipm. to in	tk. [m]	Type of power	[hrs]	[l/s]	Water quality		level [	m] I	evel [m]
1		0		(	0					
Note: Water leve	el monitoring at g	olf cour	se; diver remove	d 24.04.20	09; water level	24.04.2009 - 9.4	9m			



## Appendix F: Water Quality

#### F1: Box-and Whisker plots of available water quality























### F2: Stable isotope report – iThemba labs



### Environmental Isotope Group (EIG)

Postal address: Private Bag 11, Wits, 2050, South Africa. Physical Address: Empire Road (between Jan Smuts Avenue and Yale Road) Tel ++27 11 351 7000/1 (switchboard/secretary), Fax ++27 11 351 7053

> Report Reference: VGS001

> > Date: 4th May 2009

# D/H and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O analyses on ten (10) water samples

submitted by Ms. Sonia Veltman Veltwater

M.J. Butler, O.H.T. Malinga, M. Mabitsela

# confidential

#### 1. General

Ten water samples were submitted by Ms. S. Veltman of Veltwater for D/H  $(^{2}H/^{1}H)$  and  $^{18}O/^{16}O$  isotope analysis. The samples were received on the 13th of March

2009. As the mass spectrometer had an electronics failure, the analyses took much longer to process than would be customary.

#### 2. Stable Isotope Analysis

Water D/H  $(^{2}H/^{1}H)$  and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratios were analysed in the laboratory of the Environmental Isotope Group (EIG) of iThemba Laboratories, Gauteng. The equipment used for stable isotope analysis consists of a PDZ Europa GEO 20-20 gas massspectrometer connected to peripheral sample preparation devices. PDZ А water equilibration system (WES),

working in dual inlet mode is employed for hydrogen and oxygen isotope analysis of water. Equilibration time for the water sample with hydrogen is about one hour and  $CO_2$  is equilibrated with a water sample in about eight hours. Laboratory standards, calibrated against international reference materials, are analysed with each batch of samples. The analytical precision is estimated at 0.1% for O and 0.5% for H.

Analytical results are presented in the common delta-notation:

$$\delta^{18}O(\%_{0}) = \left[\frac{({}^{18}O/{}^{16}O)_{sample}}{({}^{18}O/{}^{16}O)_{standard}} - 1\right] \times 1000$$

which applies to D/H ( 2 H/ 1 H), accordingly. These delta values are expressed as per mil deviation relative to a known standard, in this case standard mean ocean water (SMOW) for  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ D.

#### 3. Results

The analytical results are presented in Tables 1 and 2 and partially illustrated in Figure 1.



**Figure 1**: Stable isotope data relative to Global Meteoric Water Line (Craig, 1961).

The stable isotope analyses for all samples data could be well reproduced within the expected analytical error limits. Figure 1 shows these data in a  $\delta^{18}$ O vs.  $\delta$ D space relative to the Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL, Craig, 1961). The isotopically lighter samples plot along and slightly above the GMWL, while the two isotopically heavier samples plot along what is most likely an evaporation slope.

#### 4. References

Craig, H. (1961). Isotopic variations in meteoric waters. *Science*, **133**, 1702–1703.

#### **Table 1: Analytical Results**

			Deuterium	Oxygen-18
Lab No	Field Name	Description	δD‰ SMOW	δ ¹⁸ 0‰ SMOW
VGS 001	H21-0704	2009/02/19	-31.2	-5.58
VGS 002	H21-0706	2009/02/19	-20.0	-3.07
VGS 003	H21-0708	2009/02/19	-31.4	-5.62
VGS 004	H21-0681	2009/02/19	-32.1	-5.57
VGS 005	H21-0702	2009/02/19	-27.1	-4.78
VGS 006	H21-0703	2009/02/19	-8.1	-0.72
VGS 007	H21-0666	2009/02/19	-30.0	-5.00
VGS 008	H21-0665	2009/02/19	-31.5	-5.59
VGS 009	H21-0709	2009/02/19	-31.7	-5.59
VGS 010	Mogol River	Marken Bridge	-29.4	-5.67

### Table 2: Stable isotope aliquot determinations

				Deuterium			Oxygen-18		
Lab No.	Field Name:	Description	analysis	Batch	δD‰ SMOW	analysis	Batch	δ ¹⁸ O‰ SMOW	
VGS 001	H21-0704	2009/02/19 08:46	а	2009/05/02	-31.6	а	2009/04/30	-5.59	
			b		-30.9	b		-5.56	
				avg.:	-31.2		avg.:	-5.58	
				diff.:	0.7		diff.:	0.03	
VGS 002	H21-0706	2009/02/19 09:18	a	2009/04/18	-20.1	a	2009/04/30	-3.07	
			b	2009/05/02	-19.8	b		-3.08	
				avg.:	-20.0		avg.:	-3.07	
				diff.:	0.2		diff.:	0.01	
VGS 003	H21-0708	2009/02/19 10:00	a	2009/04/18	-31.4	a	2009/04/30	-5.64	
			b		-31.5	b		-5.60	
				avg.:	-31.4		avg.:	-5.62	
				diff.:	0.1		diff.:	0.03	
VGS 004	H21-0681	2009/02/19 10:35	a	2009/04/18	-32.1	a	2009/04/30	-5.56	
			b		-32.1	b		-5.57	
				avg.:	-32.1		avg.:	-5.57	
				diff.:	0.1		diff.:	0.01	
VGS 005	H21-0/02	2009/02/19 11:10	a	2009/04/18	-26.8	a	2009/04/30	-4.78	
			b		-27.3	b		-4.78	
				avg.:	-27.1		avg.:	-4.78	
	1104 0700	0000/00/40 11 10	-		0.4			0.00	
VGS 006	H21-0703	2009/02/19 11:40	a	2009/04/18	-8.4	a	2009/04/30	-0.74	
			D		-7.8	D		-0.71	
				avg.:	-8.1		avg.:	-0.72	
100.007		0000/00/40 40:05	-		0.6			0.03	
VGS 007	H21-0666	2009/02/19 12:35	a	2009/04/18	-30.4	a	2009/04/30	-4.98	
			D		-29.6	D		-5.01	
				avg.:	-30.0		avg.:	-5.00	
<u> </u>	1104 0005	0000/00/40 14/00		0000/04/40	0.8			0.04	
VGS 008	H21-0665	2009/02/19 14:30	a	2009/04/18	-31.4	a	2009/04/30	-5.60	
			D		-31.0	a		-5.58	
				avg.:	-31.5		avg.:	-5.59	
		2000/02/10 10:00	_	000/04/19	0.2	-	0000/04/20	0.01	
VG2 009	H21-0709	2009/02/19 16.00	a h	2009/04/18	-31.9	a h	2009/04/30	-5.60	
			U		-31.0	U	0.42	-0.07	
				avg.:	-31./		avy.:	- <b>5.59</b>	
	Magal River	Markon Bridge	_	2000/04/19	0.2 20 F	_	2000/04/20	0.03 E 67	
VG3 010	woyor raiver	warken bruge	a h	2009/04/18	-29.0	d h	2009/04/30	-0.0/	
			U	21/2 -	-29.3 _ <b>30</b> /	U	ava :	-0.00 _ <b>5 67</b>	
				avy.:	- <b>23.4</b> 0 1		avy	-5.07	
			1	um	0.1		um	0.07	
## Appendix G: Data Logger Graphs

CONSTANT RATE TESTS	DATE	PUMP RATE	CR BOREHOLE DISTANCE FROM									
		(I/s)	H21-0636	H21-0664	H21-0667	H21-0669	H21-0670	H21-0700	H21-0702	H21-0703	H21-0706	H21-0860
START H21-0638	8/1/08 14:00	11.1	515m	220m								
STOP H21-0638	8/4/08 14:00											
START H21-0663	8/7/08 17:00	5.6	695m	40m								
STOP H21-0663	8/9/08 17:00											
START H21-0637	8/12/08 12:00	5.7	285m	450m								
STOP H21-0637	8/14/08 12:00											
START H21-0700	1/11/09 6:00	18.1	700m	800m	5Km	2.5Km	7.8Km					
STOP H21-0700	1/11/09 11:50											
START H21-0700	1/12/09 10:00	18.1	700m	800m	5Km	2.5Km	7.8Km					
STOP H21-0700	1/13/08 4:00											
START H21-0700	1/16/09 14:00	18.2	700m	800m	5Km	2.5Km	7.8Km					
STOP H21-0700	1/17/09 18:00											
START H21-0700	1/18/09 11:00	18.2	700m	800m	5Km	2.5Km	7.8Km	0m	1.9Km	1.9Km	4Km	
STOP H21-0700	1/21/09 11:00											
START H21-0702	1/24/09 18:00	3	1.8Km	2.6Km				1.9Km	0m	10m	2.3Km	
STOP H21-0702	1/25/09 18:00											
START H21-0665	2/1/09 11:50	20	465m	270m	4.9Km	2.1Km	7.4Km	700m	2.3Km	2.3Km	4.5Km	
STOP H21-0665	2/2/09 16:00											
START H21-0665	2/3/09 17:00	20	465m	270m	4.9Km	2.1Km	7.4Km	700m	2.3Km	2.3Km	4.5Km	
STOP H21-0665	2/4/09 7:00											
START H21-0665	2/5/09 14:00	20	465m	270m	4.9Km	2.1Km	7.4Km	700m	2.3Km	2.3Km	4.5Km	
STOP H21-0665	2/5/09 21:00											
START H21-0704	2/11/09 10:00	3.8									500m	
STOP H21-0704	2/12/09 11:00											
START H21-0681	2/14/09 17:00	2										145m
STOP H21-0681	2/15/09 17:00	20	105			2.414		200		2.01		
START H21-0665	2/15/09 7:00	20	465m	270m	4.9Km	2.1Km	7.4Km	700m	2.3Km	2.3Km	4.5Km	
STOP H21-0665	2/21/09 7:00	2.2	4 21/	2.41/				4 614	000	000	2.41/	
START H21-0701	2/17/09 20:00	2.2	1.3KM	2.1Km				1.6KM	800m	800m	3.1KM	
STOP H21-0701	2/18/09 20:00	10.2	700	800m	E K an	2.51/100	7.01/10	0.00	1.01/m	1.01/10	416.00	
START H21-0700	3/10/09 11:00	18.2	700m	8000	SKIII	2.5Km	7.8Km	Um	1.9Km	1.9Km	460	
STOP H21-0700	3/11/09 19:00	0.2	295 m	450m	E 1Km	2.41/m	7.61/m	600m	2 1Km	2.1Km	4.2Km	
START H21-0037	3/15/09 13:00	0.5	265111	45011	5.1KIII	2.4KIII	7.0KIII	60011	2.1KIII	2.1KIII	4.5KIII	
STOP H21-0637	3/16/09 13:00	0.2	29Em	450m	E 1Km	2.41/m	7.61/m	600m	2.1Km	2.1Km	4.2Km	
STOP H21-0627	2/19/09 5:00	9.2	265111	45011	5.1KIII	2.4KIII	7.0KIII	60011	2.1KIII	2.1KIII	4.5KIII	
STOP H21-0637	5/16/09 5.00											
TEST H21-0706	2/20/09 12:30	NO CONSTANT D	ONE - TEST F	AILED								
Artesian borehole H21-0709 was drilled on 25/02/2009 flowing at approximately 5l/s - 3.5km from H21-0680											1	
							Colour	Legend				
									Semi-cor	fined WB		
									Confin	ed WB		
									Allu	vium		
									Daarb	y fault		

COMPARISON OF CONSTANT RATE DISCHARGE TEST AND OBSERVATION BOREHOLE INFORMATION





















## Appendix H: Numerical Model Maps





## Appendix I: RPTSolv Diagrams









Appendix J: Scenario Impact Maps

J1: Scenario 1







J2: Scenario 2







J3: Scenario 3







J4: Scenario 4






J5: Scenario 5







## END OF DOCUMENT